

Figure 2-2: Peat Slope Showing Balance of Forces to Maintain Stability

The factor of safety provides a direct measure of the degree of stability of a slope and is the ratio of the shear resistance over the downslope destabilising force. Provided the available shear resistance is greater than the downslope destabilising force then the factor of safety will be greater than 1.0 and the slope will remain stable. If the factor of safety is less than 1.0 the slope is unstable and liable to fail. The acceptable result for factor of safety is 1.3 and above.

2.6 Applicability of the Factor of Safety (Deterministic) Approach for Peat Slopes

The factor of safety approach is a standard engineering approach in assessing slopes which is applied to many engineering materials, such as peat, soil, rock, etc.

The factor of safety approach is included in the Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessments Best Practice Guide for Proposed Electricity Generation Developments (PLHRA, 2017); see section 5.3.1 of the guide. This guide provides best practice methods to identify, mitigate and manage peat slide hazards and associated risks in respect of consent applications for electricity generation projects.

Furthermore, the best practice guide notes that the results from the factor of safety approach 'has provided the most informative results' with respect to analysing peat stability (section 5.3.1 of the guide).

The factor of safety approach in this report includes undrained (short-term stability) and drained (long-term stability) analyses. The undrained condition is the critical condition for the development. The purpose of the drained analysis is to identify the relative susceptibility of rainfall-induced failures at the site.

Notwithstanding the above, the stability analysis used by FT in this report also includes qualitative factors to determine the potential for peat stability i.e. the analysis used does not solely rely on the factor of safety approach.

The deterministic analysis is an acceptable engineering design approach. This concurs with the best practice guide referenced above.

2.7 Assessment of Intense Rainfall and Extreme Dry Events on the Peat Slopes

The deterministic approach carried out by FT examines intense rainfall and extreme dry events. The deterministic approach includes an undrained (short-term stability) and drained (long-term stability) analysis to assess the factor of safety for the peat slopes against a peat failure.

The drained loading condition applies in the long-term. This condition examines the effect of in particular, the change in groundwater level as a result of rainfall on the existing stability of the natural peat slopes. For the drained analysis the level of the water table above the failure surface is required to calculate the factor of safety for the peat slope.

In order to represent varying water levels within the peat slopes, a sensitivity analysis is carried out which assesses varying water level in the peat slopes i.e. water levels ranging between 0 and 100% of the peat depth is conducted, where 0% equates to the peat being completely dry and 100% equates to the peat being fully saturated.

By carrying out such a sensitivity analysis with varying water level in the peat slopes, the effects of intense rainfall and extreme dry events are considered and analysed. The results of this are presented in Section 8 of this report.

3 DESK STUDY

3.1 Desk Study

The main relevant sources of interest with respect to the site include:

- Literature review of peat failures/landslides
- Ordnance survey plans
- Geological plans and Geological Survey of Ireland database

The desk study also included a review of both published literature and GSI online dataset viewer (GSI, 2019) on peat failures/landslides in the vicinity of the site. In addition, this section of text includes commentary on a number of landslides within the proposed development of the wind farm which were inspected during the site walkover.

The Ordnance Survey Ireland (OSI, 2019) mapping/plans were reviewed to determine if any notable features or areas of particular interest (from a geotechnical or hydrology point of view) are present on the site.

The Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI, 1996) geological plans for the site were used to verify the bedrock conditions. The GSI (2019) database was used to verify soil and subsoil types on site.

3.2 Review of Previous Failures

The Lough Allen upland area has a high density of historical landslides (Pellicer, 2006), which are dominantly associated with peat failures from the plateau and surrounding steep slopes outside of the proposed development footprint (Figure 3-1).

A review of the historical landslides in the area indicates the following:

- (1) All landslides (except 3 no.) in the area are located on the upland plateau or surrounding slopes.
- (2) The landslides from the upland plateau and surrounding slopes are mostly peat slides, with occasional slumping of rock-soil at the steep margin of the plateau.
- (3) The peat slides from the upland plateau and surrounding slopes have occurred due to mainly a combination of deeper (and weaker) peat on poorly drained sloping ground. Most of the slides have been triggered by intense rainfall events.
- (4) Within the site, there are 3 no. landslides (Figure 3-1). These landslides are described as peat slides (2 no.) and a rotational slide (1 no.). The landslides appear to have been identified from aerial photography. These landslides were inspected by FT/AGEC during a site walkover and are discussed in Section 3.2.1.

Based on a broad assessment of landslide susceptibility the site is generally classified by the GSI (2019) as 'low' to 'moderately low' susceptibility, see Figure 3-1.

3.2.1 Historical Landslides on Site

The walkover inspection included the area of the 3 no. historical landslides within the site. The following observations are given:

- (1) In the area of the recorded historical landslides, the inspection noted that the area was welldrained with a cover of heather over a shallow slope with a number of low-level topographic ridges.
- (2) One of the historical failures identified is a rotational slide associated with minor erosion of a stream bank.
- (3) The other two failures are located within hummocky well-drained terrain and it is not thought that these are actual peat slides. It is likely that these locations have been misidentified from the aerial photography based on the type of terrain present in the area.

(4) From the above, the historical peat slides comprise misidentified locations and minor slumping of a stream bank, and as such would not be indicators of peat instability on the site.



Figure 3-1: Plan showing landslide events and landslide susceptibility (GSI, 2019)

3.2.2 2016 Landslide

A landslide not yet included in the GSI database was identified during a previous walkover of the site by FT/AGEC, referred to hereafter as the 2016 landslide.

A previous walkover inspection identified a peat slide that occurred on the surrounding plateau slopes of Croagh to the southwest on the site in 2016. The slide travelled approximately 1km downslope and into the site (Figure 4-3). The peat slide originated on sloping ground within an area of peat cuttings outside the site boundary.

A combination of contributory factors for the peat slide are discussed in section 4.2 of this report. In summary, the likely cause of the failure was peat cutting using a 'sausage' machine in combination with high intensity rainfall in an area prone to failure. A number of previous peat failures have been related to 'sausage' cutting.

3.3 Review of OSI Mapping

The site is within the northwest part of the Lough Allen upland, which typically comprises plateau and ridges with steep sides separated by valleys. The proposed site is within the headwaters of the Arigna River valley which is situated between two upland plateaux ridges, namely Carrane Hill/Kilronan Mountain (437m OD) to the southwest and Corry Mountain (428m OD) to the northeast. The elevation at the site varies from 240 to 370m OD.

The site lies on the watershed divide between the Arigna River to the southeast and the Bonet River to the northwest. Lough Nacroagh, a small lochan some 150m long by about 30m wide, lies centrally within the site. The lochan is drained by a stream that flows to the northwest. The Arigna River rises on the site and flows to the southeast.

A number of streams drain the northern part of the site. These streams are generally linear through the site and drain in a northwest direction. Beyond the site, the streams pass across a drumlinised terrain and enter the Bonet River, located about 5km to the northwest, which flows into Lough Gill.

From a review of the OSI mapping for the area, no notable geotechnical features are recorded in the area.

3.4 Soils, Subsoil & Bedrock

From a review of the GSI (2019) database, the soils within the proposed development footprint consist predominantly of blanket peat and glacial till chiefly derived from Namurian sandstones and shales.

The peat is underlain by mineral soil comprising glacial till derived from Namurian bedrock.

The underlying bedrock was described by the Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI, 1996) and shown on Sheet 7 (Geology of Sligo-Leitrim). In the area of the Croagh site, Sheet 7 shows 4 no. bedrock formations.

The dominant bedrock formation is the Dergvone shale formation and is typically described as shale and minor turbiditic sandstone. The three remaining bedrock formations located within the proposed development footprint are Gowlaun shale formation, Lackagh sandstone formation and Carraun shale formation. Rock from the Gowlaun shale formation is typically described as dark grey silty sidenitic shale, from the Lackagh sandstone formation as cyclothemic sandstone, siltstone and coal and from Carraun shale formation is typically described as grey to black fossiliferous shale with subordinate micritic limestones and dolomites.

There is a mapped fault located in the south of the site with a southwest to northeast trend.

The bedrock is not affected by karst. No karst features were identified within the proposed development footprint; a number of karst features are located 2km southwest of the site and are recorded as swallow holes and caves.

Ironstones outcropping are present in the area but no evidence of workings are evident on the site.

Coal workings from mines and at-surface are located to the east and south-east of the site. No coal workings are present on the site.

3.5 Ground Conditions along Grid Connection Route

It is proposed to construct a substation within the site and to connect from here to the existing Garvagh substation. Connection will be via underground cabling located within existing forestry roads. The cabling route measures approximately 6.1km in total.

It is proposed to excavate the trenches for the underground cable at a uniform depth in peat or other overburden material. The trenches will be 900mm wide and 1220mm deep.

The cable trench route will encounter peat. No peat stability or geotechnical issues are expected as a result of the proposed grid connection works.

4 SITE WALKOVER

As part of the peat stability assessment at the proposed wind farm, numerous site walkovers were carried out by FT/AGEC between 2017 and 2019 with recording of salient geomorphological features with respect to the wind farm development and to provide peat thickness and preliminary assessment of peat strength.

The following salient geomorphological features were considered:

- Active, incipient or relict instability (where present) within the peat deposits
- Presence of shallow valley or drainage line
- Wet areas
- Any change in vegetation
- Peat depth
- Slope inclination and break in slope

The survey covered the proposed locations for the turbine bases, substation, met mast, temporary construction compounds, borrow pit, peat repositories, existing and proposed new access roads and all associated infrastructure.

The method adopted for carrying out the site walkover relied on practitioners carrying out a visual assessment of the site supplemented with peat depth probes, peat strength testing and measurement of slope inclinations.

4.1 Findings of Site Walkover for Wind Farm

The site walkover comprised numerous walkover inspections of the site by FT between 2017 and 2019. The findings from the site walkover have been used to optimise the layout of the infrastructure on site.

The main findings of the site walkover of the wind farm site is as follows:

- (1) The site is covered in blanket peat and has undulating terrain. Peat depths vary across the site depending on mainly topography. As expected, deeper peat was encountered in the flatter areas of the site with thinner peat on the surrounding slopes. Young, mature forestry and areas of felled forestry are present across the site (see Appendix A Photos 1 & 2).
- (2) Peat depths recorded within the proposed infrastructure envelope ranged from 0 to 6m with an average of 2.1m (figure 4-1). Peat depths recorded across the site and outside the proposed infrastructure footprint from over 850 no. peat depth probes ranged from 0 to 8.2m with an average of 2.2m. The deeper peat areas were avoided when optimising the wind farm layout and main infrastructure elements for site.
- (3) The peat depths recorded at the turbine locations varied from 0.3 to 4.5m with an average depth of 2.0m. The slope angle at the turbine locations range from 2 to 12 degrees, locally up to 12 degrees where the peat depth is shallow.
- (4) The access tracks for the wind farm will comprise upgrading of existing and construction of new tracks. The existing tracks were noted as being in relatively good condition and consist of both excavated/founded and floated tracks. An example of the existing tracks are shown in Photos 6 and 7.
- (5) With respect to the new proposed and existing tracks, peat depths are typically less than 3m with localised depths of up to 5m recorded.
- (6) At a number of the deeper peat areas on site, quaking (or buoyant) peat was noted. Quaking peat is indicative of highly saturated peat, which would generally be considered to have a low strength. Quaking peat is a feature on sites that have been previously linked with peat instability. The areas identified as having quaking peat are highlighted on Figure 4-3 and were avoided when optimising/selecting infrastructure locations on site.



- (7) Mechanically cut peat is locally present in an area adjacent to the proposed location for turbine T1. Mechanically cut peat is typically cut using a sausage machine which is used to extract peat for harvesting. The machine cuts, which vary in depth essentially sever the acrotelm layer (upper fibrous layer of peat) where most of the intrinsic strength of peat lies. It should however be noted that the area where the mechanically cut peat is recorded as relatively flat, and that the works to construct T1 will not encroach on this area. The area is highlighted on Figure 4-3.
- (8) Localised areas of ponding water were recorded on site. This is not unexpected given the ground conditions and the flat terrain present in localised areas across the site.
- (9) A peat slide that occurred in 2016 on the surrounding plateau slopes of Croagh to the southwest of the site was inspected during the FT walkovers. An exclusion zone, where no development is recommended, around the landslide is shown on Figure 4-3. Section 4.2 of this report contains the main observations of the peat slide. In addition, see Photos 8 and 9 within Appendix A.
- (10) A watercourse crossing is present along the proposed access route to turbine T1 (Photo 10). A suitably sized culvert with structural up-fill to allow the construction of the access road will be required at this location. See Chapter 4 of the EIAR for details.
- (11) A summary of the site walkover findings for the wind farm are as follows:
 - (a) The site is typically covered in blanket peat with undulating terrain and widespread young, mature and felled forestry. Peat depths recorded within the proposed infrastructure envelope ranged from 0 to 6m with an average of 2.1m (figure 4-1).
 - (b) A construction buffer zone plan has been produced for the site (Figure 4-3). This Figure shows areas on the site where no development is proposed and areas with an elevated or higher construction risk. The above identified areas are based on qualitative factors identified during the walkover survey e.g. relatively deep peat, quaking peat, mechanically cut peat, historic peat landslides, etc.
 - (c) The results of the peat depth probing, shear strength testing of the peat and qualitative factors identified on site have been used in the stability and risk assessment, see sections 7 and 8 of this report.

4.2 Findings of Site Walkover for 2016 Landslide

The landslide of October 2016 identified during a previous walkover of the site by FT/AGEC was inspected during the site walkovers (Photos 8 and 9). The following findings from the site walkover are given:

- (1) The slide travelled approximately 1km downslope, away from any forestry, and into the proposed Croagh wind farm site. The peat slide originated on sloping ground within an area of mechanically cut peat outside the site boundary.
- (2) Based on anecdotal information from a local landowner, the failure/landslide is thought to have occurred in October 2016.
- (3) Peat thicknesses recorded at the head of the failure typically ranged from 2.2 to 2.5m.
- (4) Based on an inspection of the shear plane/failure surface, the failure is thought to have occurred within the silt/clay underlying the peat deposits. Photo 8 shows the failure surface.
- (5) Following a review of the failure source area, an estimated plan area of 25,000m² with a typical peat depth of 2.3m is given. This gives an estimated failure volume of 57,500m³. It should be noted that further material within the scar and downslope of the initial the scar is likely to have been mobilised during the failure. As an approximate guide the potential volume mobilised downslope of the failure source area could be in excess of 18,000m³ (assumed 900m length, 20m width and 1m peat depth).
- (6) It should be noted that the failed material was deposited along the edges of the failure scar, within the failure and towards the end of the failure run-off.
- (7) The following combination of contributory factors to the peat slide are as follows:
 - (a) Peat cutting. 'Sausage' machine cutting was carried out within the source area of the failure. This cutting severs particularly the upper fibrous layer (acrotelm) reducing shear strength and allows ingress of surface water. The peat appears to have been cut in multiple directions.

- (b) Drainage. Several ditches drain into the area, including a downslope drain that feeds directly into the head of the failure.
- (c) Previous failures. The area of the 2016 slide corresponds with a notable break (step) in the peat cover along the slope. This is identified further south as an historical 'peat burst'. It is likely that this break in the peat cover represents the back-scarp to previous multiple peat slides. At the location of the 2016 slide the break in peat cover extended downslope as a spur of peat, this spur failed in the slide.
- (d) Rainfall. High intensity rainfall is usually associated with such failures. A review of rainfall data shows no significant rainfall in October 2016 based on data from Markree Rainfall Station in Sligo. The possibility of a localised rainfall event at the site cannot however be discounted.
- (8) In summary, the likely major contributory causes of the failure were peat cutting using a 'sausage' machine in combination with high intensity rainfall in an area prone to failure.

4.3 Findings of Walkover for Upland Slopes (outside of site boundary)

A walkover survey of the upland slopes outside of the Croagh wind farm site boundary was carried out on 26th and 27th October 2017. The upland slopes outside of the proposed development covered by the FT/AGEC walkover are shown on Figure 4-2.

The walkover findings presented in this section of the report have been separated into the following upland slopes (both of which are outside the proposed site boundary for the Croagh site):

- (1) Southwestern upland slopes
- (2) Eastern upland slopes

4.3.1 Southwestern Upland Slopes

The following findings were recorded from the site walkover:

- (1) The upland slopes to the southwest of the development boundary are covered in blanket peat with peat depths ranging from 0.7 to 4.5m with an average of 3m.
- (2) Areas of mechanically cut and cut-over peat are present on the slopes. In some cases, the peat has been cut in a direction parallel to the slope contours which encourages water to build-up within the mechanical cuts. This area is highlighted and further discussed below.
- (3) There is evidence of relict (likely multiple) failures along the slope.
- (4) A peat slide which occurred in 2016 is present on the slope. The slide travelled approximately 1km downslope into the proposed footprint of the Croagh wind farm site, as described in Section 4.2. The likely cause of the failure was peat cutting using a 'sausage' machine in combination with high intensity rainfall in an area prone to failure.
- (5) Given the high density of relict failures and the 2016 failure noted on the south-western slopes, the risk of peat instability/failure on the remaining areas of the slope is considered medium risk provided the natural state of the peat slopes remains unchanged i.e. no construction works, change in drainage regime or similar activities take place on the slopes. However, as stated above, this area is outside of the site boundary and as such no works are proposed in this area.

4.3.2 Eastern Upland Slopes

The following findings were recorded from the site walkover:

- (1) The upland slopes to the east of the site boundary are covered in blanket peat with peat depths ranging from 0.9 to in excess of 7.5m with an average of 3.3m. The deeper peat was encountered in the flatter areas with thinner peat on the steeper surrounding slopes.
- (2) Quaking peat was noted at a number of the deeper peat areas. Quaking peat has previously been linked with peat instability/failure however with the presence of the flat terrain in these areas, the risk of peat failure is considered low.

- (3) No significant signs of previous peat instability/failure were noted on the slopes.
- (4) Notwithstanding the significantly deep peat recorded on the eastern slopes, the risk of peat instability/failure in this area is low provided the natural state of the peat slopes remains unchanged i.e. no construction works, change in drainage regime or similar activities take place on the slopes. The low risk in the deeper peat areas is attributed to the flat terrain present.
- (5) Where construction works are proposed within this area, the implementation of appropriate construction measures will maintain a low risk of peat instability.

It should be noted that the findings presented above are based on the condition of the slopes at the time of the FT/AGEC site inspection. Alterations to the surrounding slopes such as construction activities, change in the drainage regime, harvesting of peat, etc, can change the condition and stability of the slopes.





Legend

Indicative extent of adjacent upland slopes inspected by FT



Date - 03.07.20

Rev -

5 GROUND INVESTIGATION

A number of phased ground investigations were carried out at the site by FT/AGEC, National Material Testing Laboratory (NMTL) and Irish Drilling Ltd (IDL). A summary of the ground investigation is presented below.

Table 5-1: Summary of Geotechnical Parameters

Investigation Type	Number
Peat Probe	850
Hand Shear Vane	54
Trial Pit	40
Borehole	4

The initial phase of ground investigation comprised 11 no. trial pits and was carried out on 24th and 25th October 2017. Laboratory testing of samples was carried out by NMTL. A 13-tonne tracked excavator was used for the ground investigation works. The trial pits were carried out at various locations across the site to depths of 4.4m bgl. The laboratory testing comprised classification testing of the soft silt/clay underlying the peat. The trial pit logs, photographs and laboratory test results from the 2017 ground investigation are included in Appendix B.

The second phase of ground investigation comprised 21 no. trial pits and was carried out on 29th April and 2nd May 2019 and 29th and 30th May 2019. Laboratory testing of samples was carried out by IDL. A 13-tonne tracked excavator was used for the ground investigation works. The trial pits were carried out at various locations across the site to depths of 4.4m bgl. The laboratory testing comprised classification and density testing of the non-peat overburden deposits. The trial pit logs, photographs and laboratory test results from the 2019 ground investigation (trial pits) are included in Appendix C.

The third phase of ground investigation comprised 4 no. boreholes/rotary cores and were carried out between 28th May and 13th June 2019. Laboratory testing of samples was carried out by IDL. The boreholes/rotary cores were carried out at potential borrow pit locations across the site to depths of 30m bgl. The laboratory testing comprised strength and reusability testing of rock core recovered. In-situ standard penetration testing (SPT) was carried out in the non-peat overburden at typically 1.5m intervals. In-situ permeability testing was also carried out at each of the boreholes. The borehole/rotary core logs, photographs and laboratory and in-situ test results from the 2019 ground investigation are included in Appendix D.

Two trial pits were excavated under the supervision of MKO at potential borrow pit locations in September 2019. An additional 6 no. trial pits were excavated along the construction access route in March 2020.

The purpose of the ground investigations was to assess the ground conditions across the site in particular the extent, characteristics and strength of the soil immediately underlying the peat, to determine the potential founding stratum of various infrastructure elements across the site and to determine the potential to develop borrow pits at the site.

The ground investigations were carried out in accordance with the principles in BS 5930:2015 and Eurocode 7 Part 2. A ground investigation location plan showing all trial pit and borehole locations is included as Figure 5-2 in this report.

5.1 Summary of In-situ & Laboratory Tests

As part of the 3 no. phases of ground investigation carried out at the site, laboratory testing was carried out as part of the works. The laboratory testing carried out included:

- Soil classification tests
- Soil density tests
- Rock strength tests

• Rock reusability tests

Laboratory testing was scheduled on bulk samples recovered from the trial pits and rock core recovered from the boreholes/rotary cores.

Particle size distribution (PSD) tests and Atterberg limit classification tests were carried out on samples from the trial pits. The PSD tests showed that the material is a slightly gravelly slightly sandy clayey Silt/silty Clay based on the percentage of the particle sizes. The Atterberg limit test results show the material as either a clay or silt of low to intermediate plasticity.

5.2 Interpretation & Summary of Ground Conditions

The ground conditions and stratigraphy at the site can be typically categorised into the following sequence:

Peat

Typically described as firm and spongy locally plastic black & brown fibrous to amorphous peat. Peat thicknesses from the trial pits ranged from 0.3 to 4.2m.

Soft Silt/Clay Deposit

Described as very soft and soft, locally firm, light brown/grey clayey silt. The thickness of the layer generally varies from 0.3 to 0.6m, locally up to 0.8m, with an average thickness of 0.5m. The soft silt/clay deposit was recorded in all but one of the 15 no. trial pits. This layer is locally known as 'Leitrim daub'.

Undrained shear strength recordings within this layer range from 6 to 51 with an average of 25kPa. Locally a number of relatively higher strength readings (greater than 40kPa) were recorded.

The soft silt/clay deposit frequently has a lower strength than the overlying peat. No clear separation was noted between the soft silt/clay deposit and the overlying peat.

This material would be unsuitable as a founding stratum for all infrastructure elements on site e.g. access tracks, hardstands, turbine bases, etc.

Glacial Till

Described as firm and stiff, locally very stiff, sandy very gravelly silt/clay with occasional to frequent cobbles and locally occasional boulders. Cobbles and boulders were typically noted as angular and sub-rounded and rounded. The thickness of the layer is variable across the site depending on topography and depth to bedrock. The base of the glacial till was not encountered in most of the trial pits; noted as "not bottomed out' in trial pits logs.

Gravel and cobbles comprised fragments of weathered shale. The till is essentially derived from the underlying Namurian sandstones and shales.

The till would be suitable for a founding stratum for some of the infrastructure elements on site e.g. access tracks, hardstands, etc.

SPT 'N' values within this layer ranged from 5 to 50 (refusal), indicating a strength range of soft to very stiff.

Bedrock

Possible weathered bedrock was encountered in 2 of the 15 no. trial pits (TP6 & TP13) and was typically described as highly weathered grey/blue shale.

The weathered shale rock recovered during the ground investigation is considered poor quality shale based on its physical properties from a visual inspection. Higher quality rock is likely to be present at depth.

Bedrock was recovered from rotary boreholes drilled at 4 no. locations across the site to provide an overview and comprised a medium strong thinly laminated fine-grained Limestone with closely spaced horizontal and

subvertical discontinuities. A medium strong thinly laminated calcareous Siltstone with closely to very closely spaced discontinuities was also recorded.

Rock strength and durability testing was undertaken on core samples taken from the four boreholes. A single Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) test was carried out on a sample from BH3 and recorded a strength of 80MPa (strong). Five sets of Point Load Index (PLI) testes were undertaken on rock core samples. Each set comprised five tests, with strengths ranging from 0 to 1.9MPa (very weak to medium strong).

A total of six number Los Angeles abrasion tests were carried out on samples from the rotary boreholes, with results ranging from 33 to 48.

A total of three Slake Durability tests were carried out with results ranging from 70.5 to 98.3%. A total of three Magnesium Sulphate Soundness tests were undertaken with results ranging from 55 to 91.

Groundwater & Permeability

Groundwater monitoring locations were installed in the 4 no. rotary boreholes. Groundwater readings ranged from 1.76 in BH4 to 12.56m bgl in BH2.

Two rising head and two falling head permeability tests were undertaken in the boreholes.

Other Comments and Observations

The stability of the excavation faces of the trial pits was frequently noted as unstable.

5.3 Overview of Ground Conditions

The site is covered with blanket bog. Based on in excess of 850 probes carried out during previous walkover surveys the peat depth ranged from 0 to 6.0m with an average peat depth from probes of 1.7m.

Peat depths vary across the site depending on mainly topography. Generally deeper peat was encountered in the flatter areas of the site with thinner peat on sloping ground. Localised variations in peat depth over short distances were recorded, which reflects the undulations in the underlying surface of the mineral soil/rock topography.

The peat is immediately underlain by a soft silt/clay deposit and glacial till derived from Namurian sandstones and shales. The soft silt/clay deposit appears to be present across the site. Based on a desk study, bedrock on the site comprises dominantly shale with interbedded minor sandstone. Bedrock recorded in the rotary boreholes was a mixture of fine-grained Limestone and calcareous Siltstone

5.4 Summary of Geotechnical Parameters

Table 5-1 contains characteristic geotechnical parameters for the main material types likely to be encountered on the Croagh Wind Farm site. Where direct measurement of parameters has not been carried out, established correlations with measured properties have been used to derive values. Characteristic values are defined as a cautious estimate of the value affecting the occurrence of limit state based on clause 2.4.5.2 from Eurocode 7.

Material Type/Strata	Unit	Geotechnical Parameters			
	Weight	Undrained Parameters	Drained F	Parameters	
	γ (kN/m³)	c _u (kPa)	φ' (°)	c' (kPa)	
Peat	11	6	25	4	
Soft Silt/Clay	18	20	26	0	
Glacial Till	19	75	30	0	
Bedrock	21	-	34	250	

Table 5-2: **Summary of Geotechnical Parameters**

Notes

Note (1) The above parameters are indicative only and have been derived based on experience and from a review of the ground investigation carried out at the site. Note (2) Where direct measurement of parameters has not been carried out, established correlations with measured

properties have been used to derive values.



FIGURE 5-1 : GROUND INVESTIGATION LOCATION PLAN

Date - 03.07.20

Checked -

Rev -

6 PEAT DEPTH, STRENGTH & SLOPE AT PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE LOCATIONS

Based on the peat depths recorded within the proposed infrastructure envelope by FT/AGEC, HES and MKO, the peat varied in depth from 0 to 6m with an average of 1.7m. All peat depth probes (in excess of 850 no. probes) carried out on site have been utilised to produce a peat depth contour plan for the site (Figure 4-1).

A summary of the peat depths at the proposed infrastructure locations is given in Table 6-1. The data presented in Table 6-1 is used in the peat stability assessment of the site; see Section 7 of this report.

Turbine	Easting	Northing	Peat Depth Range (m) ^{(1) (4)}	Average Peat Depth (m)	Slope Angle (o)
T1	583322	823639	1.8 to 2.2	2.0	3
T2	583831	824112	1.8 to 2.8	2.4	2
Т3	583648	823314	1.9 to 2.8	2.2	2
T4	584223	823820	0.5 to 1.0	0.8	12
Т5	584259	823347	0.3 to 1.3	0.8	2
Т6	584841	823616	1.8 to 2.4	2.0	2
Τ7	584968	823032	2.0 to 2.8	2.4	4
Т8	585523	822935	3.3 to 3.9	3.5	4
Т9	586144	822595	2.1 to 4.5	3.2	3
T10	584676	822493	0.8 to 1.0	0.9	6
Substation	584584	823867	0.9 to 1.7	1.2	6
Temporary Construction Compound 1	584170	823980	0.7 to 1.6	1.2	12
Temporary Construction Compound 2	585150	823232	1.3 to 1.9	1.6	5
Met Mast	584059	823136	0.6 to 1.5	1.2	3
Borrow Pit	585697	822449	Тур. 0.5	0.5	4-12
Repository Area 1	586141	821416	1 to 1.5	1.25	1-4
Repository Area 2	583669	823855	0.9 to 1.5	1.2	6-10

Table 6-1: Peat Depth & Slope Angle at Proposed Infrastructure Locations

Note (1) Based on probe results from the site walkovers. The range of peat depths for the infrastructure locations are generally based on a 10m grid carried out around the infrastructure element.

Note (2) Slope angle obtained during site survey by FT using handheld equipment or from slope contour survey data. The slope angle quoted reflects the slope immediately around the infrastructure location.

Note (3) The data presented in the Table above is used in the peat stability assessment of the site; see Section 8 of this report.

In addition to probing, in-situ shear vane testing was carried out as part of the ground investigation. Strength testing was carried out at selected locations across the site to provide representative coverage of indicative peat strengths. The results of the vane testing are presented in Figure 6-1.

The hand vane results indicate undrained shear strengths in the range 5 to 45kPa, with an average value of about 18kPa. The lower bound strengths recorded would be typical of deep weak saturated peat and were recorded in the deeper peat deposits in the flatter areas of the site.

Peat strength at sites of known peat failures (assuming undrained loading failure) are generally very low, for example the undrained shear strength at the Derrybrien failure (AGEC, 2004) as derived from essentially back-analysis, though some testing was carried out, was estimated at 2.5kPa.







7 PEAT STABILITY ASSESSMENT

The peat stability assessment analyses the stability of the natural peat slopes for individual parcels across the site including at the turbine locations, along the proposed access roads, at the other main infrastructure elements and at various locations across the site. The assessment also analyses the stability of the natural peat slopes with a surcharge loading of 10kPa, equivalent to placing 1m of stockpiled peat on the surface of the peat slope.

7.1 Methodology for Peat Stability Assessment

Stability of a peat slope is dependent on several factors working in combination. The main factors that influence peat stability are slope angle, shear strength of peat, depth of peat, pore water pressure and loading conditions.

An adverse combination of factors could potentially result in peat sliding. An adverse condition of one of the above-mentioned factors alone is unlikely to result in peat failure. The infinite slope model (Skempton and DeLory, 1957) is used to combine these factors to determine a factor of safety for peat sliding. This model is based on a translational slide, which is a reasonable representation of the dominant mode of movement for peat failures.

To assess the factor of safety for a peat slide, an undrained (short-term stability) and drained (long-term stability) analysis has been undertaken to determine the stability of the peat slopes on site.

- 1. The undrained loading condition applies in the short-term during construction and until construction induced pore water pressures dissipate.
- 2. The drained loading condition applies in the long-term. The condition examines the effect of in particular, the change in groundwater level as a result of rainfall on the existing stability of the natural peat slopes.

Undrained shear strength values (c_u) for peat are used for the total stress analysis. Based on the findings of the 2003 Derrybrien failure, and other failures in peat, undrained loading during construction was found to be the critical failure mechanism.

A drained analysis requires effective cohesion (c') and effective friction angle (ϕ') values for the calculations. These values can be difficult to obtain because of disturbance experienced when sampling peat and the difficulties in interpreting test results due to the excessive strain induced within the peat. To determine suitable drained strength values a review of published information on peat was carried out.

Table 7-1 shows a summary of the published information on peat together with drained strength values.

Table 7-1: List of Effective Cohesion and Friction Angle Values

Reference	Cohesion, c' (kPa)	Friction Angle, ø (degs)	' Testing Apparatus/ Comments
Hanrahan et al (1967)	5 to 7	36 to 43	From triaxial apparatus
Rowe and Mylleville (1996)	2.5	28	From simple shear apparatus
Landva (1980)	2 to 4	27.1 to 32.5	Mainly ring shear apparatus for normal stress greater than 13kPa
	5 to 6	-	At zero normal stress
Carling (1986)	6.5	0	-

Reference	Cohesion, c' (kPa)	Friction Angle, ø' (degs)	Testing Apparatus/ Comments
Formell and the bib	0	38	From ring shear and shear box apparatus. Results are not considered representative.
(1998)	0.61	31	From direct simple shear (DSS) apparatus. Result considered too low therefore DSS not considered appropriate
Rowe, Maclean and	1.1	26	From simple shear apparatus
Soderman (1984)	3	27	From DSS apparatus
McGreever and	6	38	From triaxial apparatus using soil with 20% organic content
Farrell (1988)	6	31	From shear box apparatus using soil with 20% organic content
Hungr and Evans (1985)	3.3	-	Back-analysed from failure
Dykes and Kirk (2006)	3.2	30.4	Test within acrotelm
Dykes and Kirk (2006)	4	28.8	Test within catotelm
Warburton et al (2003)	5	23.9	Test in basal peat
Warburton et al (2003)	8.74	21.6	Test using fibrous peat
Hendry et al (2012)	0	31	Remoulded test specimen
Komatsu et al (2011)	8	34	Remoulded test specimen
Zwanenburg et al (2012)	2.3	32.3	From DSS apparatus
Den Haan & Grogne (2014)	t _	37.4	From large DSS apparatus
O'Kelly & Zhang (2013)	0	28.9 to 30.3	Tests carried out on reconstituted, undisturbed and blended peat samples

From Table 7-1 the values for c' ranged from 1.1 to 8.74kPa and ø' ranged from 21.6 to 43°. The average c' and ø' values are 4.5kPa and 30° respectively. Based on the above, it was considered to adopt a conservative approach and to use design values below the averages.

For design the following general drained strength values have been used for the site:

c' = 4kPa $\phi' = 25$ degrees

7.2 Analysis to Determine Factor of Safety (Deterministic Approach)

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the Factor of Safety (FoS) of the peat slopes using infinite slope analysis. The analysis was carried out at the turbine locations, along the proposed access roads, at the other main infrastructure elements and at various locations across the site.

The FoS provides a direct measure of the degree of stability of the slope. A FoS of less than unity indicates that a slope is unstable, a FoS of greater than unity indicates a stable slope.

The acceptable safe range for FoS typically ranges from 1.3 to 1.4. The previous code of practice for earthworks BS 6031:1981 (BSI, 1981), provided advice on design of earthworks slopes. It stated that for a first-time failure with a good standard of site investigation the design FoS should be greater than 1.3.

As a general guide the FoS limits for peat slopes in this report are summarised in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2: Factor of Safety Limits for Slopes

Factor of Safety (FoS)	Degree of Stability
Less than 1.0	Unstable (red)
Between 1.0 and 1.3	Marginally stable (yellow)
1.3 or greater	Acceptable (green)

Eurocode 7 (EC7) (IS EN 1997-1:2005) now serves as the reference document and the basis for design geotechnical engineering works. The design philosophy used in EC7 applies partial factors to soil parameters, actions and resistances. Unlike the traditional approach, EC7 does not provide a direct measure of stability, since global Factors of Safety are not used.

As such, and in order to provide a direct measure of the level of safety on a site, EC7 partial factors have not been used in this stability assessment. The results are given in terms of FoS in order to provide a direct measure of the level of safety at specific points on the site.

A lower bound undrained shear strength, c_u for the peat of 6kPa was selected for the assessment based on the c_u values recorded at the site. It should be noted that a c_u of 6kPa for the peat is considered a conservative value for the analysis and is not representative of all peat present across the site. In reality the peat generally has a higher undrained strength.

The formula used to determine the factor of safety for the undrained condition in the peat (Bromhead, 1986) is as follows:

$$F = \frac{c_u}{\gamma z \sin \alpha \cos \alpha}$$

Where,

- F = Factor of Safety
- cu = Undrained strength
- γ = Bulk unit weight of material
- z = Depth to failure plane assumed as depth of peat
- a = Slope angle

The formula used to determine the factor of safety for the drained condition in the peat (Bromhead, 1986) is as follows:

$$F = \frac{c' + (\gamma z - \gamma_w h_w) \cos^2 \alpha \tan \phi'}{\gamma z \sin \alpha \cos \alpha}$$

Where,

- F = Factor of Safety
- c' = Effective cohesion
- γ = Bulk unit weight of material

- z = Depth to failure plane assumed as depth of peat
- γ_w = Unit weight of water
- h_w = Height of water table above failure plane
- a = Slope angle
- $\phi' =$ Effective friction angle

For the drained analysis the level of the water table above the failure surface is required to calculate the factor of safety for the slope. Since the water level in blanket peat can be variable and can be recharged by rainfall, it is not feasible to establish its precise location throughout the site. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis using water level ranging between 0 and 100% of the peat depth was conducted, where 0% equates to the peat been completely dry and 100% equates to the peat been fully saturated.

The following general assumptions were used in the analysis of peat slopes at each location:

- (1) Peat depths are based on the maximum peat depth recorded at each location from the walkover surveys carried out by FT/AGEC, MKO and HES.
- (2) A lower bound undrained shear strength, cu for the peat of 6kPa was selected for the assessment based on the cu values recorded at the site. It should be noted that a cu of 6kPa for the peat is considered a conservative value for the analysis and is not representative of all peat present across the site. In reality the peat generally has a higher undrained strength.
- (3) Slope angle on base of sliding assumed to be parallel to ground surface.

For the stability analysis two load conditions were examined, namely;

Condition (1): no surcharge loading Condition (2): surcharge of 10 kPa, equivalent to 1 m of stockpiled peat assumed as a worst case.

7.3 Results of Analysis

7.3.1 Undrained Analysis for the Peat

The results of the undrained analysis for the natural peat slopes are presented in Appendix F and the results of the undrained analysis for the most critical load case (load condition 2) are shown on Figure 7-1. The undrained analysis for load condition 2 is considered the most critical load case as most peat failures occur in the short term upon loading of the peat surface. The results from the main infrastructure locations are summarised in Table 7-3.

The calculated FoS for load condition (1) is in excess of 1.30 for each of the 332 no. locations analysed with a range of FoS of 1.53 to in excess of 10, indicating a low risk of peat instability.

The calculated FoS for load condition (2) for the 332 no. locations analysed, only 7 no. FoS points were less than 1.3 where FoS's of 1.05 and 1.28 were calculated. In relation to the marginally low FoS's, 6 no. of the FoS points are located alongside existing access roads on site which have been in operation for a number of years and hence are not considered areas at risk of peat instability. However, these areas have an elevated construction risk and are highlighted on the construction buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3).

Areas with marginally low FoS's frequently coincide with steeper slope angles of between 7.6 and 12.1 degrees or localised deeper pockets of peat. The slope angles are based on lidar survey data for the area and the steeper slope angles calculated are likely as a result of localised undulations or variations in vegetation at those particular locations. Applying slope angles reflective of site conditions at these locations in the assessment would likely result in FoS's greater than 1.3 in these areas.

The remaining 1 no. marginally low FoS is located along the new proposed access road to turbine T9 and coincides with a deeper pocket of peat. This area has an elevated construction risk and is highlighted on the construction buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3). This location is subject to additional control and mitigation measures as per the adjacent turbine T9 (Appendix E).

The remainder of the locations analysed had acceptable FoS's of greater than 1.3, indicating a low risk of peat instability.

Turbine No./Waypoint	Easting	Northing	Factor of Safety for Load Condition	
			Condition (1)	Condition (2)
T1	583322	823639	5.22	3.59
T2	583831	824112	6.14	4.53
Т3	583648	823314	6.14	4.53
T4	584223	823820	2.95	1.48
T5	584259	823347	13.23	7.48
T6	584841	823616	7.17	5.06
T7	584968	823032	11.16	8.23
Т8	585523	822935	2.39	1.90
Т9	586144	822595	2.55	2.09
T10	584676	822493	5.77	2.89
Substation	584584	823867	13.69	5.13
Temporary Construction Compound 1	584170	823980	3.84	1.92
Temporary Construction Compound 2	585150	823232	3.87	2.19
Met Mast	584059	823136	20.78	7.79

Table 7-3: Factor of Safety Results (Undrained Condition)



7.3.2 Drained Analysis for the Peat

The results of the drained analysis for the peat are presented in Appendix F. The results from the main infrastructure locations are summarised in Table 7-4. As stated previously, the drained loading condition examines the effect of in particular, rainfall on the existing stability of the natural peat slopes.

The calculated FoS for load condition (1) for the 332 no. locations analysed, only 10 no. FoS points were less than 1.3 where FoS's of between 1.02 and 1.17 were calculated. In relation to the marginally low FoS's, 6 no. of the FoS points are located alongside existing access roads on site which have been in operation for a number of years and hence are not considered areas at risk of peat instability. However, these areas have an elevated construction risk and are highlighted on the construction buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3).

Areas with marginally low FoS's frequently coincide with steeper slope angles of between 7.6 and 12.1 degrees or localised deeper pockets of peat. It should be noted that the slope angles quoted for these locations are not generally a reflection of the topography at these locations. The slope angles are based on lidar survey data for the area and the steeper slope angles calculated and are likely as a result of localised undulations or variations in vegetation at those particular locations. Applying slope angles reflective of site conditions at these locations in the assessment would result in FoS's greater than 1.3 in these areas.

The remaining 2 no. marginally low FoS's are located along the new proposed access road to turbine T9 and coincides with a deeper pocket of peat. This area has an elevated construction risk and is highlighted on the construction buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3). This location is subject to additional control and mitigation measures as per the adjacent turbine T9 (Appendix E).

The remainder of the locations analysed had acceptable FoS's of greater than 1.3, indicating a low risk of peat instability.

The calculated FoS for load condition (2) is in excess of 1.30 for each of the 332 no. locations analysed with a range of FoS of 1.47 to in excess of 10, indicating a low risk of peat instability.

Turbine No./Waypoint	Easting	Northing	Factor of Safety for Load Condition	
			Condition (1)	Condition (2)
T1	583322	823639	3.48	5.17
T2	583831	824112	4.10	6.53
Т3	583648	823314	4.10	6.53
T4	584223	823820	1.97	2.08
T5	584259	823347	8.82	10.79
Т6	584841	823616	4.78	7.30
T7	584968	823032	7.44	11.88
Т8	585523	822935	1.59	2.74
Т9	586144	822595	1.69	2.99
T10	584676	822493	3.85	4.14
Substation	584584	823867	9.13	7.39
Temporary Construction Compound 1	584170	823980	2.56	2.74
Temporary Construction Compound 2	585150	823232	2.58	3.13
Met Mast	584059	823136	16.63	13.49

Table 7-4: Factor of Safety Results (Drained Condition)

8 PEAT STABILITY RISK ASSESSMENT

A peat stability risk assessment was carried out for the main infrastructure elements at the wind farm. This approach takes into account guidelines for geotechnical/peat stability risk assessments as given in PLHRA (2017) and MacCulloch (2005).

The risk assessment uses the results of the stability analysis (deterministic approach) in combination with qualitative factors, which cannot be reasonably included in a stability calculation but nevertheless may affect the occurrence of peat instability, to assess the risk for each infrastructure element.

For each of the main infrastructure elements, a risk rating (product of probability and impact) is calculated and rated as shown in Table 8-1. Where a subsection is rated 'Medium' or 'High', control measures are required to reduce the risk to at least a 'Low' risk rating. Where a subsection is rated 'Low' or 'Negligible', only routine control measures are required.

Table 8-1:Risk Rating Legend

17 to 25	High: avoid works in area or significant control measures required
11 to 16	Medium: notable control measures required
5 to 10	Low: only routine control measures required
1 to 4	Negligible: none or only routine control measures required

A full methodology for the peat stability risk assessment is given in Appendix G.

8.1 Summary of Risk Assessment Results

The results of the risk assessment for potential peat failure at the main infrastructure elements is presented as a Peat Stability Risk Register in Appendix E and summarised in Table 8-2.

The risk rating for each infrastructure element at the Croagh wind farm is designated negligible and low following some mitigation/control measures being implemented. Sections of access roads to the nearest infrastructure element should be subject to the same mitigation/control measures that apply to the nearest infrastructure element.

Details of the required mitigation/control measures can be found in the Peat Stability Risk Register for each infrastructure element (Appendix E).

Infrastructure	Pre-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating	Pre-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating Category	Notable Control Measures Required	Post-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating	Post-Control Measure Implementatio n Risk Rating Category
Turbine T1	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T2	Negligible	1 to 4	Yes	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T3	Low	5 to 10	Yes	Low	5 to 10
Turbine T4	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T5	Low	5 to 10	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T6	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4

Table 8-2: Summary of Peat Stability Risk Register

Infrastructure	Pre-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating	Pre-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating Category	Notable Control Measures Required	Post-Control Measure Implementati on Risk Rating	Post-Control Measure Implementatio n Risk Rating Category
Turbine T7	Negligible	1 to 4	Yes	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T8	Negligible	1 to 4	Yes	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T9	Negligible	1 to 4	Yes	Negligible	1 to 4
Turbine T10	Low	5 to 10	No	Low	5 to 10
Met Mast	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Substation	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Temporary Construction Compound 1	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Temporary Construction Compound 2	Negligible	1 to 4	No	Negligible	1 to 4
Construction access road	Low	5 to 10	No	Low	5 to 10

9 COMPARISON OF SITE CONDITIONS WITH KNOWN FAILED SITES

A comparison of conditions at the Croagh site was carried out with sites of known significant failures namely Garvagh Glebe, Derrybrien and Ballincollig Hill. Given the close proximity of the Garvagh Glebe site to Croagh, this comparison is deemed pertinent.

Site and ground conditions at the Croagh site are described as blanket peat on undulating terrain. Peat depths across the site vary based mainly on topography with depths within the proposed infrastructure envelope ranging from 0 to 6m with an average of 2.1m. Undrained shear strengths for the peat recorded using a Geonor H-60 hand-held vane range from 5 to 45kPa with an average of 18kPa.

There is a soft silt/clay deposit immediately underlying the peat on the Croagh site which is typically described as very soft and soft, locally firm, light brown/grey clayey silt. The thickness of the layer varies from 0.3 to 0.6m, locally up to 1m. Undrained shear strength recordings within this layer range from 6 to 50 with an average of 25kPa. Locally a number of relatively higher strength readings (greater than 40kPa) were recorded.

The Garvagh Glebe wind farm site is located to the northeast of the proposed Croagh wind farm site. The failure at Garvagh Glebe occurred in 2008 in a low strength deep peat area at the head of a watercourse/natural drainage route. The failure took place following the construction of a section of access track. Undrained shear strengths of 2 to 4kPa were reported in the failure area along with peat thicknesses of up to 6.3m. Ground conditions comprised blanket peat over a thin soft clay layer with an approximate thickness of 0.2m. The failure occurred within the soft clay layer underlying the peat.

A second, and notably smaller peat failure, occurred at the Garvagh Glebe site whilst constructing an access road along a ridge line in the southwest of the site. This failure occurred on relatively thin peat on steep ground.

With respect to the major failure at Garvagh Glebe the key characteristics are as follows:

- (1) Head of a watercourse/natural drainage route
- (2) Peat thicknesses of up to 6.3m.
- (3) Weak clay below peat
- (4) Undrained shear strengths of 2 to 4kPa

As stated above and as per the Garvagh Glebe site there is a soft silt/clay deposit underlying the peat at Croagh. The deposit recorded on the Croagh site, based on descriptions from trial pits, appears similar to the deposit present on the Garvagh Glebe site. It should however be noted that the presence of an underlying soft deposit would be quite common on peatland sites and such sites have been successfully developed in the past.

In summary, in comparison to the location where the major failure occurred on the Garvagh Glebe site, the proposed development footprint at the Croagh site has significantly less likelihood of a similar failure due to:

- (1) Head of watercourse/natural drainage routes have been avoided
- (2) Reduced peat thicknesses (deeper peat deposits on the Croagh site are located in areas of flat terrain)
- (3) Relatively higher strength clay below peat
- (4) Higher undrained shear strengths in peat (5 to 45kPa with an average of 18kPa recorded on the Croagh wind farm site)

Peat strength at other sites of known peat failures (assuming undrained loading failure) are generally very low, for example the undrained shear strength at the Derrybrien failure (AGEC, 2004) as derived from essentially back-analysis, though some testing was carried out, was estimated at 2.5kPa. Derrybrien wind farm is located in county Galway and the failure occurred in 2003. The recorded undrained strengths at Croagh are significantly greater than the lower bound values for Derrybrien indicating that there is no close correlation to the peat conditions at the Derrybrien site and that there is significantly less likelihood of failure on the Croagh site.

Another peat failure, namely Ballincollig Hill in county Kerry occurred in 2008, and is included here for comparison purposes. In-situ shear strength (undrained) measurements showed high but typically variable peak strength within the upper 1.5m (acrotelm) that varied from about 5 to 40kPa. Within peat below 1.5m

(catotelm) the results show a narrower variation in peak strength that varied between 2.5kPa and about 6kPa. A number of factors were considered to have contributed to the failure namely mechanically cut/harvested peat, high rainfall preceding the failure, weak peat and construction works. The recorded undrained strengths at Croagh are significantly greater than the lower bound values for Ballincollig indicating that there is significantly less likelihood of failure on the Croagh site.

Figure 9-1 shows a comparison of peat strengths with depth recorded at the site compared to sites that have experienced significant failures, as outlined above. The results show that the failed sites have a notably greater proportion of lower recorded strengths, with lower strengths extending to greater depth.

This distribution of recorded strength with depth is illustrated more clearly in Figure 9-2; this shows at failed sites that about 40% of all recorded strengths are less than 10kPa. At the Croagh site approximately 15% of the recorded strengths are below 10kPa.

Whilst the difference between sites may not appear significant in absolute strength terms (the values represent very low soil strengths) the lower bound strengths for the Croagh site are considerably greater than those for the failed sites, which is significant in terms of stability.

For all the cases presented above, construction activities were the common triggering factor for the failures/landslides. The management of peat stability and appropriate construction practices will be inherent in the construction phase of the wind farm to ensure peat failures do not occur on site.



Figure 9-1: Comparison of peat strength (shear vane) with depth from other sites

Notes:

- (1) Peat strength measured using a Geonor hand-head shear vane (H60).
- (2) Shear strength is unfactored.



Figure 9-2: Comparison of distribution of peat strength (shear vane) from other sites

Notes:

Peat strength measured using a Geonor hand-head shear vane (H60).
 Shear strength is unfactored.

10 IMPLICATIONS OF SOFT DEPOSIT UNDERLYING PEAT

A summary of the main implications for the development of a site with the presence of a soft deposit underlying the peat is given below.

- (1) Firstly, it should be noted that the presence of a soft silt/clay layer underlying the peat would be quite common on peatland sites and many such sites have been successfully developed in the past.
- (2) A cautious design and construction approach has been adopted for site.
- (3) The soft deposit underlying the peat has been taken into account in the geotechnical design of all infrastructure elements.
- (4) A ground investigation and subsequent interpretation to confirm the ground conditions predicted in the EIAR, with particular emphasis on peat and underlying soft material stability, will be required prior to the development of the site.
- (5) Risk assessments and registers carried out for the site will take the soft deposit underlying the peat into account.
- (6) From the ground investigation data, silt is present within the soft material underlying the peat. The strength and deformation behaviour of silt is very susceptible to instability caused by disturbance and the presence of groundwater or surface water.
- (7) The use of founded access tracks on competent strata beneath the peat and soft material will be the dominant road construction type on site. The use of floated tracks is limited to areas of flatter terrain on site (i.e. areas less than 5 degrees gradient).
- (8) Suitable storage of excavated arisings generated during the construction of the wind farm is pertinent. The side casting and temporary storage of excavated arisings on peat slopes will be limited on site to suitable areas (i.e. flat terrain with competent underlying strata) to avoid triggering instability.

11 INDICATIVE FOUNDATION TYPE FOR TURBINES

Based on a review of the ground investigation information for site, an assessment of the likely foundation type and founding depths for each turbine location was carried out. A summary of this assessment is provided in Table 11-1.

Turbine No.	Indicative Turbine Foundation Type	Relevant GI	Ground Conditions
T1	Gravity type foundation	TP24A	Peat to 2.2m overlying firm, locally soft, silt/clay to 2.7m overlying stiff, locally firm, silt/clay
T2	Gravity type foundation	TP1	Peat and soft silt/clay to 1.2m, underlain by firm to very stiff sandy very gravelly Silt/Clay to 3.2m
Т3	Possible piled foundation	TP 2A (closest trial pit)	Peat and soft silt/clay to in excess of 4.4m
T4	Gravity type foundation	TP4A (closest trial pit)	Peat and soft silt/clay to 0.8m overlying firm silt/clay to 1.9m overlying stiff silt/clay to 3.1m overlying very stiff silt/clay
Т5	Gravity type foundation	TP25A	Peat and soft silt/clay to 1.1m overlying firm, locally stiff, silt/clay to 2.1m overlying very stiff silt/clay
Т6	Gravity type foundation	TP26A	Peat and soft silt/clay to 1.9m overlying firm, locally stiff, silt/clay to 2.9m overlying stiff silt/clay
Τ7	Gravity type foundation	TP12A	Peat and soft silt/clay to 2.8m overlying firm and stiff silt/clay to 3.7m overlying stiff silt/clay
Т8	Possible piled foundation	TP14A (closest trial pit)	Peat and soft silt/clay to 2.4m overlying firm, locally stiff, silt/clay
Т9	Possible piled foundation	TP16A	Peat to 1.3m overlying firm silt/clay to 1.9m overlying stiff, locally very stiff, silt/clay
T10	Gravity type foundation	TP27A	Peat and very soft and soft silt/clay to 1.2m overlying firm silt/clay to 2.0m overlying stiff silt/clay. Material at base of trial pit recovered as residual soil/extremely weathered shale

Table 11-1: Summary of Indicative Turbine Foundation Type

It should be noted that confirmatory ground investigation will be carried out at each turbine location in the form of boreholes with in-situ SPT testing at 1 to 1.5m intervals in the overburden and follow-on rotary cores through bedrock to confirm the foundation types outlined in Table 11-1.

For gravity type turbine foundations, where the depth of excavation exceeds the minimum required founding depth for the proposed turbine base, up-fill material consisting of granular fill (6N/6P) in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements shall be used to backfill the excavation to the required founding depth.

12 FOUNDING DETAILS FOR OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS

12.1 Access Roads

Up to 11.1km of existing access tracks requiring upgrade are present across the Croagh wind farm site and based on Coillte records have been in operation for a number of years. The existing access tracks were constructed using both excavate and replace and floated construction techniques.

Up to 7.5km of new proposed access roads will be constructed as part of the wind farm construction. The new proposed access roads will be constructed using both excavate and replace and floated construction techniques (see Figure 2-1 of the Peat & Spoil Management Plan). A founded access road will be constructed to provide access the site.

The typical make-up of the new proposed access roads is typically a minimum stone thickness of 1000mm. The requirement for a layer of geotextile and geogrid and the necessary stone thickness will be confirmed by confirmatory investigations.

See the Peat & Spoil Management Plan for Croagh wind farm for further details on the existing and new proposed access roads on site.

12.2 Crane Hardstands

The crane hardstands will be constructed using the founded technique (i.e. not floated technique). Crane hardstands are generally constructed using compacted Class 1/6F material in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements on a suitable sub-formation to achieve the required bearing resistance. The hardstands will be designed for the most critical loading combinations from the crane.

The hardstands will require to be founded on material underlying the peat deposits. The founding levels for the hardstands will be variable across the site and will be confirmed during the pre-construction ground investigations.

The typical make-up of the hardstands would include up to 1000mm of granular stone fill with a layer of geotextile and/or geogrid.

12.3 Substation Foundations & Platforms

The substation platforms will be constructed using the founded technique (i.e. not floated technique). The substation foundations may comprise strip/raft foundations under the main footprint of the building with possibly a basement/pit for cable connections.

Substation platforms are constructed using compacted Class 1/6F material in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements on a suitable sub-formation to achieve the required bearing resistance. The substation platforms will be founded on material underlying the peat deposits.

Given the ground conditions present at the proposed substation, the foundations will be founded on glacial till. The peat and underlying soft silt/clay are not suitable founding strata for the substation foundations.

The typical make-up of the substation platform may include up to 1000mm of granular stone fill with possibly a layer of geotextile and/or geogrid. At the underside of the substation foundations, a layer of structural up-fill (class 6N/6P) material in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements will be required.

12.4 Temporary Construction Compound Platforms

The temporary construction compound platforms will be constructed using the founded technique (i.e. not floated technique). The construction compound platforms are generally constructed using compacted Class 1/6F material in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements on a suitable sub-formation to achieve the required bearing resistance.

The construction compound platforms will require to be founded on competent material underlying the peat deposits.

The typical make-up of the temporary construction compound platform would include up to 1000mm of granular stone fill with a layer of geotextile and/or geogrid.

12.5 Met Mast Foundation

The met mast foundation will comprise gravity type foundation. Given the ground conditions present at the proposed met mast, the foundation will require to be founded on glacial till. The peat and soft silt/clay are not suitable founding strata for the met mast foundation.

Typical founding depth for the met mast foundation is envisaged to be 3.0m. At the underside of the met mast foundation, a layer of structural up-fill (class 6N/6P) material in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) requirements will likely be required.

12.6 Potential for Development of a Borrow Pit

A number of potential borrow pit locations were reviewed as part of the assessment of the site. A number of trial pits and boreholes were carried out as part of the ground investigation at the site.

A number of the potential borrow pit areas investigated were not progressed further based on ground conditions, in particular the depth to competent rock, or based on the ground profile/topography present.

Ground conditions at the proposed borrow pit location were described as peat to 0.35m overlying very soft and soft clayey Silt to 0.85m overlying firm very gravelly Silt/Clay with occasional cobbles (fragments of shale to 2.2m) overlying weathered shale. Photo 4 of Appendix A shows the shale rock present at a shallow depth at the proposed borrow pit location. The presence of rock at a relatively shallow depth makes this location suitable for development as a borrow pit.

An estimated volume of suitable granular deposits for use during construction was determined from the available ground investigation data and the topographical survey of the area. In addition, it is proposed that the borrow pit will be reinstated using excavated peat and spoil from site.

Further discussion on the proposed borrow pit is provided in the Peat and Spoil Management Plan (FT, 2020) for the site.

12.7 Peat Repository Areas

A number of potential peat repository locations were reviewed as part of the assessment of the site. Two locations were selected and are shown on the site layout plans.

Ground conditions at the repository locations comprise up to 1.5m of peat overlying overburden. Perimeter buttresses required for the repositories will be founded on a competent stratum below the peat deposits. Buttresses will be constructed of well graded granular rock fill.

Further discussion on the peat repositories is provided in the Peat and Spoil Management Plan (FT, 2020) for the site.

12.8 Recreational Infrastructure

A series of walkways/trails are also proposed as part of the development. This includes the construction of car parking area at the northern end of the site. The car park will be constructed of a crushed rock fill placed on a competent stratum. No significant excavations are proposed for the walkways/trails.
13 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

13.1 Summary

The following summary is given.

FT was engaged by McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan to undertake an assessment of the proposed wind farm site with respect to peat stability.

The findings of the geotechnical and peat stability assessment showed that the site generally has an acceptable margin of safety and is suitable for the proposed wind farm development. A number of areas where no development is proposed and areas with an elevated or higher construction risk were identified and are presented in Figure 4-3.

The site is typically covered in blanket peat with undulating terrain. Peat depths vary across the site depending on mainly topography. Peat depths recorded within the proposed infrastructure envelope ranged from 0 to 6m with an average of 2.1m. Peat depths recorded across the site and outside the proposed infrastructure footprint from over 850 no. peat depth probes ranged from 0 to 8.2m with an average of 2.2m. The deeper peat areas were avoided when optimising the wind farm layout and main infrastructure elements for site.

As part of the geotechnical and peat stability assessment at the site the following activities were undertaken:

- Numerous site walkovers
- Extensive peat depth probing across the site (in excess of 850 no. probes)
- Ground investigation in the form of trial pits and boreholes along with in-situ and laboratory tests
- Desk study including a review of historical landslides in the area
- Inspection of historical landslides within the area
- Assessment of peat stability of upland slopes outside of the Croagh site boundary
- · Comparison of ground conditions on the Croagh site with known failed sites

In addition, an analysis of peat sliding was carried out at the main infrastructure locations on site for both the undrained and drained conditions. The purpose of the analysis was to determine the Factor of Safety (FoS) of the peat slopes. The findings of the analyses, which involved analysis of 324 no. locations, showed that the site has an acceptable margin of safety.

For both the undrained and drained condition, all 324 no. locations showed an acceptable FoS of greater than 1.3 except for 10 no. marginally low FoS's. The locations of the marginally low FoS's are highlighted on the construction and buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3) and are typically located alongside existing access roads on site which have been in operation for a number of years and hence are not considered to be areas at risk of peat instability. In addition, 2 no. marginally low FoS's are located along the new proposed access road to turbine T9 and coincide with a deeper pocket of peat. This area has an elevated construction risk and is also highlighted on the construction buffer zone plan (Figure 4-3). This location is subject to additional control and mitigation measures as per the adjacent turbine T9 (Appendix E). The remainder of the locations analysed had acceptable FoS's of greater than 1.3, indicating a low risk of peat instability.

The peat stability risk assessments at each of the main infrastructure locations identified a number of mitigation/control measures to reduce the potential risk of peat failure (see Appendix E).

Whilst there is a high density of historical landslides in the area, no peat failures/landslides are recorded on the Croagh site. A peat slide occurred in 2016 on the surrounding plateau slopes of Croagh to the southwest of the site (outside the site boundary). The likely cause of the peat slide was peat cutting using a 'sausage' machine (mechanically cut peat) in combination with high intensity rainfall. No areas of mechanically cut peat are located within the proposed infrastructure envelope for site.

In relation to the failure which occurred on the Garvagh Glebe site. This failure occurred in a low strength (2 to 4kPa) deep peat (in excess of 6m) area at the head of a watercourse/natural drainage route. Based on data and site findings recorded on the Croagh site, similar site conditions to those recorded at the Garvagh Glebe failure are not present within the proposed infrastructure envelope hence there is a very low likelihood of a similar type failure occurring.

In summary, the findings of the geotechnical and peat stability assessment showed that the proposed Croagh wind farm site has an acceptable margin of safety and is suitable for wind farm development. However, due to the historical landslides in the area around the site, management of peat stability and appropriate

construction practices will be required in the construction phase of the wind farm to ensure peat failures do not occur on site. Overall, the peat characteristics and ground conditions on the Croagh site are similar to those encountered on successfully developed wind farm sites in the area, i.e. sites that were developed without peat instability occurring.

13.2 Recommendations

The following general recommendations are given.

Notwithstanding that the site has an acceptable margin of safety a number of mitigation/control measures are given to ensure that all works adhere to an acceptable standard of safety for work in peatlands. Mitigation/control measures identified for each of the infrastructure elements in the risk assessment shall be taken into account and implemented throughout design and construction works (Appendix E).

Suitable storage of excavated arisings generated during the construction of the wind farm is pertinent. Recommendations and guidelines given in FT's report 'Peat & Spoil Management Plan for Croagh Wind Farm, County Leitrim/Sligo' (FT 2019) will be implemented during the design and construction stage of the wind farm development.

A construction buffer zone plan has been produced for the site (Figure 4-3). This Figure shows areas which have an elevated or higher construction risk due to the terrain and features encountered during the site walkover and are areas where additional mitigation/control measures will be required (Appendix E). In addition, Figure 4-3 shows areas on the site where no development is proposed. The above identified areas are based on qualitative factors identified during the site walkover e.g. relatively deep peat, quaking peat, mechanically cut peat and historical peat landslides in the area.

To minimise the risk of construction activity causing potential peat instability the Construction Method Statements (CMSs) for the project shall take into account, but not be limited, to the recommendations above. This will ensure that best practice guidance regarding the management of peat stability will be inherent in the construction phase.

14 REFERENCES

Applied Ground Engineering Consultants (AGEC) (2004). Derrybrien Wind Farm Final Report on Landslide of October 2003.

British Standards Institute (1981). BS 6031:1981 Code of practice for earthworks.

Bromhead, E.N. (1986). The Stability of Slopes.

Carling, P.A. (1986). Peat slides in Teesdale and Weardale, northern Pennines, July 1983: Description and failure mechanisms. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 11.

Den Haan EJ and Grognet M (2014). A large direct simple shear device for the testing of peat at low stresses. Géotechnique Letters 4(4): 283–288, http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geolett. 14.00033.

Dykes, A.P. and Kirk, K.J. (2006). Slope instability and mass movements in peat deposits. In Martini, I.P., Martinez Cortizas, A. and Chesworth, W. (Eds.) Peatlands: Evolution and Records of Environmental and Climatic Changes. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Farrell, E.R. & Hebib, S. (1998). The determination of the geotechnical parameters of organic soils. Proceedings of International Symposium on problematic soils, IS-TOHOKU 98, Sendai, Japan.

Fehily Timoney and Company (FT) (2020). Peat & Spoil Management Plan for Croagh Wind Farm, County Leitrim/Sligo (rev 1). March 2020.

Geological Survey of Ireland (1996). Sheet 7 Geology of Sligo-Leitrim.

Geological Survey of Ireland (2006). Landslides in Ireland. Geological Survey of Ireland -Irish Landslides Group. July 2006.

Geological Survey of Ireland (2019). Online dataset public viewer <u>http://spatial.</u> <u>dcenr.gov.ie/imf/imf.jsp?site=GSI Simple</u> August 2019.

Hanrahan, E.T., Dunne, J.M. and Sodha, V.G. (1967). Shear strength of peat. Proc. Geot. Conf., Oslo, Vol. 1.

Hendry MT, Sharma JS, Martin CD and Barbour SL (2012). Effect of fibre content and structure on anisotropic elastic stiffness and shear strength of peat. Canadian Geotechnical Journal 49(4): 403–415, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/t2012-003.

Hungr, O. and Evans, S.G. (1985). An example of a peat flow near Prince Rupert, British Columbia. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 22.

Komatsu J, Oikawa H, Tsushima M and Igarashi M (2011). Ring shear test on peat. In Proceedings of the 21st International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Maui, Hawaii, USA (Chung JS, Hong SY, Langen I and Prinsenberg SJ (eds)). International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers, Cupertino, CA, USA, vol. 2, pp. 393–396.

Landva, A.O. (1980). Vane testing in peat. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 17(1).

MacCulloch, F. (2005). Guidelines for the Risk Management of Peat Slips on the Construction of Low Volume/Low Cost Roads over Peat. RoadEx 11 Northern Periphery.

McGeever J. and Farrell E. (1988). The shear strength of an organic silt. Proc. 2nd Baltic Conf., 1, Tallin USSR.

O'Kelly BC and Zhang L (2013). Consolidated-drained triaxial compression testing of peat. Geotechnical Testing Journal 36(3): 310–321, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1520/ GTJ20120053</u>.

PLHRA (2017). Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessments: Best Practice Guide for Proposed Electricity Generation Developments. Prepared for Energy Consents Unit Scottish Government, 2nd Edition. Dated April 2017.

Rowe, R.K. & Mylleville, B.L.J (1996). A geogrid reinforced embankment on peat over organic silt; a case history. Can. Geotech. J. 33 (1), 106 – 122.

Rowe, R.K., MacLean, M.D., Soderman, K.L. (1984). Analysis of a geotextile reinforced embankment constructed on peat. Can. Geotech. J. 21 (3), 563 – 576.

Skempton, A. W. and DeLory, F. A. (1957). Stability of natural slopes in London Clay. Proc 4th Int. Conf. On Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Rotterdam, vol. 2, pp.72-78.

Warburton, J., Higgett, D. and Mills, A. (2003). Anatomy of a Pennine Peat Slide. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms.

Warburton, J., Holden, J. and Mills, A. J. (2003). Hydrological controls of surficial mass movements in peat. Earth-Science Reviews 67 (2004), pp. 139-156.

Zwanenburg C, Den Haan EJ, Kruse GAM and Koelewijn AR (2012). Failure of a trial embankment on peat in Booneschans, the Netherlands. Géotechnique 62(6): 479–490, http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geot.9.P.094.

Appendix A

Photos from FT/AGEC Site Walkovers











Photo 1 Overview of site conditions



Photo 2 Overview of site conditions



Photo 3 Example of ground profile at an existing excavation on site



Photo 4 Example of shale rock present at proposed borrow pit



Photo 5 Example of ground conditions on site (peat overlying till)



Photo 6 Example of founded access track on site



Photo 7 Example of floated access track on site



Photo 8 Overview of failure in the southwest of the site (looking south) – no development proposed for this area



Photo 9 Overview of failure in the southwest of the site (looking north) – no development proposed for this area



Photo 10 Watercourse crossing along proposed access route to turbine T1

Appendix B

Ground Investigation (2017) – Trial Pit Logs, Laboratory Testing & Photographs









	FEH		Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore Igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial P	it Lo	bg		TrialPit TP Sheet 1	No 1 of 1
Project		Carrane	Hill Wi	ind Farm	1		Project	t No.	Coords (E,N):	583	605.00	824288.00	Date	
Name:		Garrane					1726		Level:				25/10/2	017
Locatio	n:	Carrane H	Hill Co	. Leitrim/Sli	go				Dimensions (m):				1:25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth				Logge	d
ъe		Sampl	es & In S	Bitu Testing		Donth			5.20				GK	
Vate Strik		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrip	otion		
						0.70 1.20 1.70 3.20			Spongy brown Very soft, loca Shear vane sti Firm grey sand Stiff, locally ve occasional cot angular, sub-re	fibrous and lly soft, light rength record dy Silt/Clay ry stiff, sand obles and bo bunded and i End of	amorpho brown/gre lings of 1 y very gra ulders. Ca ounded	avelly Silt/Clay obbles noted a	ey Silt. 2kPa	
Remark	(S:	No groundv	vater en	icountered, flo	ow of su	rface water ir	nto exca	avation no	ted.				Plant Use	d:

	Г	Fel	nily Timoney & Co. The Grainstore	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit	No
		5	Singletons Lane Bagenalstown Co. Carlow	Email www.fe	il: info@ftco.ie Web: rehilytimoney.ie		•	Trial F	Pit Lo	bg		TP	2
	TIMONEY	R	21 XA66 Ireland					1				Sheet 1	of 1
Project	Carra	ne Hill V	Vind Farm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5831	85.00	823794.00) Date	
						1726		Level:				25/10/20	017
Locatio	n: Carra	ne Hill C	o. Leitrim/Sli	go				(m):	ſ			1:25	
Client:	Coillte	Э						Depth				Logge	d
50	s	amples & Ir	n Situ Testing					2.90				GR	
Wate Strik	Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum	n Descrip	tion		
							316 316 316 6 316 316	Firm and spo	ongy brown fibr	ous and	amorphous P	eat	-
							ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد م						-
							د ماد ماد ماد ماد						
							૬ હાદ હાદ હાદ હાદ હા						-
							د ماد ماد ماد ماد ما						-
							e ste ste ste ste st						-
					0.80		$\times \times \times \times \times$	Very soft ligh	t brown/grey sa	andy clay	/ey Silt. Shea 20kPa	r vane	
							$(\times \times \times \times \times)$	eachgarroot	, ange er e, e,	, • • • -			1 -
							XXXX						
							$\times \times \times \times \times$						-
					1.40		× × × × × × <u>× × × ×</u> ×	Firm arev sli	abtly sandy ara	velly Silt	Clay with occ	rasional	
							$(\times \times \times \times \times)$	cobbles	ginty suridy gra	veny on	oldy with occ	305101101	-
													-
							$(\overline{\times} \times \overline{\times} \times \overline{\times})$						2 -
					2.20			Stiff Jocally	ony stiff groves	lightly or	ndy yory gray	volly Silt/	
								Clay with fre	quent cobbles.	Cobbles	noted as ang	jular and	
							$\overline{X} \times \overline{X} \times \overline{X}$	Sub-rounded					-
													-
							$\times \times \times \times \times$						-
					2.00								
					2.90				End of F	Pit at 2.90	00m		3 —
													-
													-
													-
													4 -
Remark	ks: No gro	oundwater	encountered.									Plant Use	d:

	FEHI		Fehily Th Sin Ba	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial P	it Lo	сg		TrialPit I	No 3
1	ГІМОМ	IEY	R21	XA66 Ireland			Desian	4.51-	Occurring (FIN):	500	000.00	000070.00	Sheet 1 o	of 1
Project Name:	C	arrane	Hill Wi	nd Farm			Projec	t NO.		583	802.00	823870.00		17
							1720		Dimensions				Scale	,,,,
Locatio	n: C	arrane H	Hill Co.	Leitrim/Sli	go				(m):				1:25	
Client:	С	oillte							Depth 3 20				Logge	d
ъ e		Sample	es & In S	itu Testing		Donth			0.20				UIX.	
Wati Strik	De	epth	Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend	Firm brown fibre	Stratu	m Descrip	otion		1
	0.30	- 0.70	В	B1		0.30 0.70 2.10 3.20			Soft light grey/b recordings of 30 Firm and stiff gr occasional cobt Stiff, locally ver Clay with freque in layer.	y stiff grey sent cobbles	y clayey S 33kPa sandy ver	y gravelly Silt/	e strength Clay with	
Remark	ks: N	o groundv	vater en	countered, sn	nall flow	v of surface w	ater in	to excavat	ion noted.				Plant Used	::

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit I	No
	EEI		Sin Ba	gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Email www.fe	l: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial F	Pit Lo	bg		TP	4
1	ГІМ	ONEY	R21	XA66 Ireland					1				Sheet 1 o	of 1
Project		Carrane	Hill Wi	nd Farm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	584	223.00	823964.00	Date	
Name.							1726		Level:				25/10/20	017
Locatio	n:	Carrane I	Hill Co.	Leitrim/Sli	go				Dimensions (m):				5cale 1.25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth 3 90				Logge	d
ъe		Sampl	es & In S	itu Testing		Death			0.00					
Wate Strik		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrip	otion		1
								sille sille sille te sille sille sille sille sille	Firm brown f	ibrous Peat				
						0.20		લ હોલ હોલ હોલ હોલ હો						-
						0.30		× × × × × ×	Soft light gre recordings of	y/brown sandy f 32, 36, 30 & 3	/ clayey S 31kPa	Silt. Shear vane	strength	
								$(\times \times $	-					
						0.60			Firm and stif	f sandy gravell	y Silt/Cla	y with occasior	nal	-
									CODDIES					
								$\times \times \times \times \times$						-
								$ X \times X \times X $						1 -
								$\times \times \times \times$						-
														-
														-
								$\times \times $						-
								$\begin{array}{c} \times \times \times \times \\ \times \times \times \end{array}$						-
														-
														2
								$\overline{\times \times \times \times}$						-
								$\times \times \times \times \times$						-
						2.60			Stiff grey gra	velly Silt/Clay	with freq	uent cobbles.		
								$\frac{x \times x \times x}{x \times x \times x}$	Fragments o	f weathered sh	nale note	d in arisings		-
								$\begin{array}{c} x \times x \times x \\ x \times x \times x \end{array}$						
														3 —
														-
								$\times \times \times \times \times$						-
								$ X \times X \times X $						-
						3.90				End of	Pit at 3.9	00m		
Remark	(S:	No groundv	vater en	countered, sr	nall flow	of surface w	ater in	to excavat	ion noted.				Plant Used	1: 1:

	Γ		Fehily Th	/ Timoney & Co. le Grainstore	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit N	No
			Sir	igletons Lane agenalstown	Email	l: info@ftco.ie Web: ebilytimoney ie			Irial	Pit Lo	Эg		TP	5
1		ONEY	R21	XA66 Ireland					1				Sheet 1 c	of 1
Project		Carrane	Hill W	ind Farm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	584	872.00	824052.00	Date	
							1726		Level:				24/10/20 Scale	017
Locatio	n:	Carrane	Hill Co	. Leitrim/Sli	go				(m):				1:25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth				Logged	b
г ө		Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		Denth			2.00				OR	
Wat Stril		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend	Firm & spon	Stratu igy brown fibro	m Descrij us Peat	ption		-
						0.80			Firm grey sa of 44, 50, 42 Stiff grey sa Fragments o	andy clayey Sil 2kPa ndy gravelly Si of weathered s End of	t. Shear w ilt/Clay wi hale note	vane strength th frequent cc d in arisings	recordings	
Remark	ks:	No ground Due to loca deeper leve	water er ation of t el.	ncountered, flo rial pit (alongs	ow of su side edg	rface water in le of existing	nto exc track a	avation no nd close to	ted. 5 fence line) dif	ficult to exca	vate trial	pit to a	Plant Used	 - I:

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit N	No
	EEI		Sin Ba	igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Email www.fe	l: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie		•	Trial F	Pit Lo	bg		TP	6
· ·	тім	ONEY	R21	XA66 Ireland									Sheet 1 c	of 1
Project		Carrane	Hill Wi	ind Farm			Project	t No.	Coords (E,N):	584	772.00	823870.00	Date	
							1726		Level:				24/10/20)17
Locatio	n:	Carrane I	Hill Co	. Leitrim/Slig	jo				(m):				1:25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth				Logged	d
		Sampl	les & In S	Situ Testina					2.10				GK	
Water Strike		Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	le Ref	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrip	otion		
								sstie sste sste is sste sste	Firm brown fi	brous Peat				
								506 506 50 6 516 516 16 516 516						
						0.40		is site site is site site						
						0.40		$(\times \times$	Soft grey sar of 22, 24, 31,	ndy clayey Silt. , 25, 27kPa	Shear v	ane strength re	ecordings	-
								:						
								(
								XXXX						1
						1.00		× × × × × × × × × ×						
						1.20		$(\times \times \times \times)$	Firm and stiff Fragments of	sandy gravell f weathered sh	y Silt/Cla ale note	y with frequen d in arisings. F	t cobbles. Potential	
									weathered sh	nale rock enco	untered a	at 2.1m bgl		
														-
								<u>; </u>						
						2.10		<u>x x x x 3</u>		End of	Dit at 2 1)0m		
										End of	111 01 2.10	50111		
														-
														3
Remar	ks [.]	No around	water en	countered flor	wofer	rface water in		avation no	ted				District	
- Contain			water en			nace waler If	no exca	avalion no					Plant Used	1:

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit	No
		1	Sin	gletons Lane	Email	: info@ftco.ie Web:			Trial F	Pit Lo	DC		TP	7
	FEHI		(R21	Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	www.fe	ehilytimoney.ie					- 3		Sheet 1	of 1
Project							Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	584	998.00	823376.00) Date	
Name:	C	Carrane	Hill Wi	ind Farm			1726		Level:				24/10/2	017
Locatio	n. (arrano F		Laitrim/Sli	20				Dimensions				Scale	;
	····				gu				(m):				1:25	
Client:	0	Coillte							3.00				GK	a
ke fe		Sampl	es & In S	itu Testing		Depth	Level	Land		Otrat	- Deseri	. 4:		
Wa Stri	D	epth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratu	m Descrip	DTION		
								الا، مثلا، مثلا، الا مثلا، مثلا،	Firm brown f	ibrous Peat				
								ین مین مین مان مان مان						
						0.40		د. ماد. ماد. ما <u>د. ما</u> د. ماد						
						0.10		$(\times \times $	Very soft and vane strengt	d soft light brov h recordings o	wn/grey s f 16, 18,	andy clayey S 18, 20, 17kPa	Silt. Shear a	
								$(\times \times $						
						0.80		$\times \times $			-			
								$(\times \times \times \times)$	Firm grey sa	ndy clayey Sili	t			
								$\times \times $						1 -
								$(\times \times $						
						1 50		$(\times \times $						
						1.00		$(\times \times \times \times \times)$	Firm and stiff occasional c	f grey slightly s obbles	sandy gra	velly Silt/Clay	/ with	
								$\left[\begin{array}{c} \times \times$						2
						2 20								
						2.20		$(\overline{X} \times \overline{X} \times \overline{X})$	Stiff grey ver Cobbles note	y gravelly Silt/ ed as angular	Clay with and sub-r	frequent cobl ounded. Frag	bles. ments of	
								$(\times \times \times \times \times)$	weathered sl depth.	hale noted in a	arisings. E	Boulders noted	d at 3.0m	
								$(\times \times \times \times)$						
						3.00		<u> </u>		End of	Pit at 3.0	00m		3 -
										2.10 01	in at oro			
Remark	(S' N		vater en	countered flo	wofsu	rface water in	nto evo	avation no	ted				Diantilian	
Remain	(ö.) [*]	o groundv		countered, no	w or su			avalion no	ieu.				Plant Use	a:
												L		

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel· +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit N	No
			Sin	igletons Lane	Emai	l: info@ftco.ie Web		-	Trial I	Pit I a	าต		TP	8
	FE	HILY	R21	Co. Carlow	www.fe	ehilytimoney.ie			i na i		-9		Sheet 1 c	of 1
	IIM	UNEY					Proiec	t No.	Coords (E.N):	585	240 00	823102.00	Date	
Name:		Carrane	Hill Wi	ind Farm			1726		Level:				24/10/20)17
		0							Dimensions				Scale	
Locatio	n:	Carrane		. Leitrim/Sil	go				(m):				1:25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth 4 40				Logged	t
ъэ		Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		Donth							0.1	
Wat Strik		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratu	m Descri	otion		
								ماند ماند مان د ماند ماند	Spongy bro	wn fibrous Pea	t			-
								316 316 31 6 316 316						
								یادہ مادہ ماد مادہ مادہ م						
								site site site e site site						-
								હોય હોય હોય હ હોય હોય પ્રાપ્ય પ્રાપ્ય						-
								5. 316 316 5. 316 316						-
								6 316 316 316 316 31						-
						0.90		દ કોદ કોદ હોદ કોદ કો	Plastic and	spongy /black a	amorpho	us Peat		
								e ste ste ste ste st						' -
								ه مانه مانه مانه مانه مان						
								ماند ماند م ماند ماند ماند ماند ماند						-
								site site site e site site						-
								site site sit 6 site site						
								site site site e site site						-
								ાય આદ આદ કે આદ આદ પ્રાપ્ય પ્રાપ્ય						-
								6 316 316 316 316						2 -
								દ ગોદ ગોદ ગોદ ગોદ ગો						-
								6 316 316 316 316 31						-
								ક એદિ એદિ એદિ એદિ એદિ						
								یاند ماند ماند باند ماند ماند						
								یادہ مادہ ماد مادہ مادہ م						-
								ماند ماند ماند م ماند ماند						
								ماده ماده ماده ماده ماده م باد براد براد						3
								6 316 316 316 316						
								د. ماند ماند ماند ماند مان						
								6 316 316 316 316 31						-
								ه مانه مانه مانه مانه مان						-
								ه مانه مانه مانه مانه مانه د مانه مانه						
								یاد عاد عاد باد عاد عاد						-
								یادہ مادہ ماد مادہ مادہ م						
								ياده ماده ماده ماده ماده						4 _
						4.00		316 316 316 6 316 316						-
						4.20			Soft grey sa material rec	andy clayey Silt	. No undi ar vane te	sturbed lumps	of	
						4.40				End of	Pit at 4.4	- 00m		
Remark	(S:	No ground	water en	countered, flo	ow of su	rface water ir	nto exc	avation no	ted.				Plant Used	1:
		-												

Ļ	FEHILY	Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore Igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +35 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 : info@ftco.ie Web: shilytimoney.ie		•	Trial Pi	it Lo	bg		TrialPit N TP 9 Sheet 1 c	No 9 of 1
Project	Carrano		ind Earm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5838	391.00	823211.00	Date	
Name:	Carrane					1726		Level:				25/10/20)17
Location	Carrane	Hill Co	. Leitrim/Sli	go				Dimensions (m):	١			Scale 1:25	
Client:	Coillte							Depth				Logged	t
e é	Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		Denth			5.10				GK	
Wat Strij	Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum	n Descrip	tion		
					0.30 0.90 1.70 3.10			Firm brown fibro Soft, locally firm, strength recordir Firm, locally stiff, occasional cobble Stiff and very stiff frequent cobbles as angular & sut shale noted in ar	grey sandy grey sandy gs of 28, 3 grey sandy grey sandy es f grey slight and occas prounded a isings	y clayey 3 1, 29 & 4 y gravelly ional bot and fragm	Silt. Shear var ⁽⁰ kPa ⁽ Silt/Clay with ⁽ gravelly Silt/C lders. Cobble tents of weath	De Clay with s noted ered	
Remarks	: No ground	water en	countered, flo	w of su	rface water ir	nto exc	avation no	ted.				Plant Usec	4

	FEH		Fehily Th Sin Ba	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore Igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 l: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial I	Pit Lo	bg		TrialPit TP 1	No 10
	TIM	ONEY	R21	XA66 Ireland			Proiec	t No.	Coords (E.N):	585	419 00	822821.00) Date	of 1
Name:		Carrane	Hill Wi	ind Farm			1726		Level:				24/10/20	017
Locatio	on:	Carrane	Hill Co	l eitrim/Sli	ao				Dimensions				Scale	•
					3-				(m): Depth				1:25	d
Client:		Collite							3.40				GK	-
Water Strike		Samp Depth	les & In S Type	Situ Testing Results/Sam	ple Ref	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratu	m Descrip	otion		
						1.20 1.80 3.10 3.40		whe shie shie shie shie	Spongy brown of the second sec	wn and black fi d soft light grey th recordings o rey/grey sandy	//grey sau f 10, 12, clayey Si lt/Clay wi Pit at 3.4	d amorphous	t. Shear & 19kPa	
Remark	ks:	No ground	water en	countered, flo	ow of su	rface water i	nto exc	avation no	oted.				Plant Use	d:

	FEI		Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore Igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie		-	Trial F	Pit Lo	р		TrialPit I TP 1 Sheet 1 c	No 11 of 1
Project		Carrano		ind Earm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	585	661.00	822368.00) Date	
Name:		Carrane					1726		Level:		_		24/10/20	017
Locatio	n:	Carrane	Hill Co	. Leitrim/Sli	go				Dimensions (m):				Scale 1:25	
Client:		Coillte							Depth				Logge	d
20		Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		D "			3.20				GK	
Wa Stri		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legena مالد مالد ه مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد	Spongy brow	n and black fi	m Descrip brous and	d amorphous	Peat	
								مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد د مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد مالد						
						0.90		ble_stde_stdes × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Soft to firm li strength reco	ght grey/grey ordings of 38, 3	sandy cla 32 & 37kl	yey Silt. Shea Pa	ar vane	1
						1.40		× × × × × × × × × × ×	Firm light gre	ey/grey sandy	clayey Si	lt.		
						1.80			Firm grey sa	ndy gravelly S	ilt/Clay w	ith occasiona	I cobbles	2
						3.20			Stiff light bro cobbles	wn/grey sandy	y gravelly Pit at 3.2	Silt/Clay with	frequent	3
												1		4
Remark	ks:	No ground	water en	icountered, sig	gnifican	t flow of surfa	ice wat	er into exc	avation.				Plant Used	::

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS Particle Index Properties Bulk Cell Undrained Triaxial Tests Lab BH/TP <425um LL ΡL ΡI Compressive Strain at Vane Depth sample Moisture Density Density Presssure Remarks % % % No m No. % Mg/m3 % Mg/m3 kPa Stress kPa Failure % kPa В 76.4 52 TP3 N/A 53.3 33 19 TP15 N/A В 31.4 70.2 49 26 23 NMTL Notes : Job ref No. NMTL 2328 Table

1. All BS tests carried out using preferred (definitive) method unless otherwise stated.

Carrane Hill, Leitrim

Location







Photo 1 Trial pit TP1



Photo 2 Trial pit TP2



Photo 3 Underlying soft silt/clay at trial pit TP2



Photo 4 Trial pit TP3



Photo 5 Underlying soft silt/clay at trial pit TP3



Photo 6 Arisings from trial pit TP3



Photo 7 Trial pit TP4



Photo 8 Trial pit TP5



Photo 9 Arisings from trial pit TP6



Photo 10 Trial pit TP6



Photo 11 Arisings from trial pit TP7



Photo 12 Trial pit TP7



Photo 13 Trial pit TP8



Photo 14 Trial pit TP9



Photo 15 Arisings from trial pit TP9



Photo 16 Trial pit TP10



Photo 17 Trial pit TP11

Appendix C

Ground Investigation (2019/20) – Trial Pit Logs, Laboratory Testing & Photographs









		F	ehily Timoney & Co. The Grainstore Singletons Lane	Tel: +353-59-9723800 Email: info@ftco.ie		Trial Dit Log						T T			
	FEHILY		Bagenalstown Co. Carlow R21 XA66 Ireland	www.f	Web: ehilytimoney.ie			main it Luy				Sh	Sheet 1 of 1		
Drainat	TIMONEY	ONEY R21 XA00 Ireland				Proiect No.		Coords (E,N):	5832	51.00	823685.0	0 Date			
Name:	Croa	Croagh Wind Farm				P1989		Level:			888.2	25 01	/05/20	19	
Locatio		County Leitrim/Sligo						Dimensions				Scale			
							_(m): Depth					1:25			
Client:	McCa	McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan					3.20]	GK		
Water Strike	Depth	Samples &	In Situ Testing e Results/Sam	itu Testing Results/Sample Ref		Level (m)	Legend		Stratum Description						
Remark	Depth Type Results/Sample Ref 2.80 - 3.00 B B14			1.10 8 1.40 8 2.60 8 3.20 8	387.15 386.85 385.65 385.05		Spongy and pla amorphous Pea Very soft and so Clay with occas and rounded Stiff dark blue/g cobbles. Cobble rounded.	astic brown/bl at oft light brown ft, dark blue/c sional cobbles grey gravelly s es and boulde End of P	n/grey sa grey slig s. Cobbl Silt/Clay ers are s	andy clayey htly sandy g es are sub-i	Silt ravelly Silt ravelly Silt ounded	/	2		
	140 gh	anawald	choodinered.									Plar 13tN trac	ked exc	avator	
		1 .Y EY	Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane igenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial F	Pit Lo	bg		TrialF TP Sheet	Pit No 2A 1 of 1	
---------------	--------	---------------	---------------------------------------	--	----------------------------	---	--------	--	--	----------------------------------	------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	
Project	C	roagh V	Vind F	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	583	672.00	823360.0	0 Da	ate	
Name:		lough t		um			P1989)	Level:			939.7	01/05	/2019	
Locatio	n: Co	ounty Le	eitrim/S	Sligo					Dimensions (m):				1:	ale 25	
Client:	м	cCarthy	Kevill	e O'Sulliva	n				Depth				Log	ged	
5.0		Sample	es & In S	itu Testing					4.40				G	ιK	
Wate Strik	De	pth	Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratur	m Descrip	otion			
	4.00 -	• 4.20	В	Β12		0.70	939.05	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spongy brow Plastic brow Soft, locally cobbles. Col	n/black pseudo n/black pseudo	sandy cl.	and amorpho	pus Peat		
						4.40	zoo.35			End of	Pit at 4.4	00m			
Remark	ks: Gi	oundwate	er ingres	ss noted at 3.	5m bgl.	described as	s mode	rate flow					Plant U	sed:	
													13tN tracked	excavator	

	FEH		Fehily Th Sin Ba C R21	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie		•	Trial Pi	it Log		TrialPit TP3 Sheet 1	No A of 1
Project			Vind F	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	583836.00	823526.00) Date	
Name:				ann			P1989	I	Level:		940.53	3 01/05/20	019
Locatio	n:	County Le	eitrim/S	Sligo					Dimensions (m):			Scale 1:25	
Client:		McCarthy	/ Kevill	e O'Sullivar	n				Depth			Logge	d
ъэ		Sampl	es & In S	itu Testing		Donth			5.00			GK	
Strik		Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum Descri	ption		
						0.30 5	940.23	الله عالية عالية الم عالية عالية الم عالية عالية الم عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية الم عالية عالية الم عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية الم عالية عالية الم عالية عالية الم عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عالية عا	Spongy brown p	seudo-fibrous Peat			
						1.10 9	939.43	$(\times \times \times \times)$	Soft light brown/	grey sandy clayey	Silt		
						1.30 5	939.23		Firm brown/grey cobbles. Cobble angular fragmen	sandy very gravel s are rounded and ts of shale noted ir	y Silt/Clay witi angular. Loca I layer.	n frequent lised	
						2.10 \$	938.43		Stiff dark blue/gr cobbles and occ angular and rour noted in layer. C	ey very gravelly Si asional boulders. (nded. Localised an Drange mottling not	t/Clay with fre Cobbles and b gular fragmen ed in layer.	quent oulders are ts of shale	
						3.80 9	936.73			End of Pit at 3.8	300m		4
Remark	ks:	No groundv	vater en	countered, flo	w of su	rface water in	nto exc	avation no	oted.			Plant Use	d:
												13tN tracked ex	cavator

			Fehily Th Sin Ba	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Tel: +3 Email	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney ie			Trial Pi	t Log		TrialPit I	No A
1		NEY	R21	XA66 Ireland		eniny annoney.ie						Sheet 1 o	of 1
Project		Croadh V	Vind F	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	584234.00	823711.00	Date	
Name:							P1989)	Level:		955.50	30/04/20	019
Locatio	n: (County Le	eitrim/s	Sligo					Dimensions (m) [.]			Scale	•
Client		McCarthy		e O'Sullivar					Depth			Logge	d
		wiccartiny			1			1	4.10			GK	
Water Strike	[Sample Depth	es & In S Type	Results/Samp	le Ref	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratum Descri	otion		
	3.2	0 - 3.50	В	Β8		0.50 S 0.80 S 1.90 S 3.10 S	955.00 954.70 953.60 952.40		Firm brown fibrou	Ight brown/grey sordings of 18, 25, ey sandy very graves. Cobbles are and fragments of shales. Cobbles are solved and the solved state of the solv	andy clayey S 23 and 31kPa relly Silt/Clay of gular and rour e noted in lay with frequent ind boulders a dy very gravel al boulders. C led. Localised	illt. Shear with nded. er. cobbles re angular y Silt/Clay obbles angular	2
Remark	(S:	No groundv	vater en	countered, flo	w of su	rface water in	nto exc	avation no	ted.			Plant Used	 d:
												3tN tracked ex	cavator

	Γ	Feh	ily Timoney & Co. The Grainstore	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800							TrialPit	No
	FEHILY TIMONEY Ine Grainstore Singletons Lane Bagenalstown Co. Carlow Ine: + 333-39-97/2300 Email: info@ftco.ie Web: www.fehilytimoney.ie Trial Pit Log											TP	5A
Т	FEHILY IMONEY	R	21 XA66 Ireland	WWW.10	eniiytimoney.ie			1		U		Sheet 1	of 1
Project	Croaq	h Wind	Farm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5842	229.00	824031.0	0 Date	e
iname.						P1989)	Level:			832.0	9 30/04/2	2019
Location	i: County	/ Leitrim	/Sligo					(m):				1:2	5
Client:	McCar	thy Kevi	ille O'Sullivar	า				Depth				Logg	ed ,
ъ e	Sa	mples & In	Situ Testing		Donth			4.10				Gr	<u> </u>
Vat Strik	Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ble Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrij	otion		
	1.90 - 2.10	В	В9		0.90 E 1.30 E 2.40 E 4.10 E	331.19 330.79 329.69 327.99		Spongy brown Very soft and Firm, locally s with occasion: Localised ang mottling noted Stiff dark blue cobbles and o angular and ro noted in layer.	v/black pseud	vn/grey sar obbles at ts of shal ulders. C lised ang	andy clayey andy gravelly 3 re angular ar e noted in la //Clay with frr obbles and b jular fragmer	Silt Silt/Clay dd rounded. yer. Orange	
Remark	s: No arou	ndwater e	ncountered flo	w of su	Inface water in	nto exc	avation no	ted.				Diant Lie	d.
	110 9100			01 30								Piant US6	.
												13tN tracked e	xcavator

	FEH		Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Emai www.f	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial F	Pit Lo	bg		TrialF TP Sheet	iit No 6A 1 of 1
Project	t			• • • • • •			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5854	498.00	823282.0	0 Da	te
Name:		Croagn	wina F	arm			P1989		Level:			1002.1	9 29/04	2019
Locatio	on:	County Lo	eitrim/s	Sligo					Dimensions (m):				Sca 1.1	ale 25
Client:		McCarthy	/ Kevill	e O'Sulliva	n				Depth				Log	ged
50		Sampl	es & In S	Situ Testina					3.10				G	K
Wate Strike		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	. Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	0	Stratun	n Descrip	otion		
						0.40 1 0.50 1 2.10 1 3.10 9	001.79 001.69		Spongy brow	t brown /grey s blue/grey san bbles. Cobble jular fragment al boulders. C ders are sub-r nents of shale End of	sandy cla dy grave as are sul s of shal s of shal ly Silt/Cla ounded a ounded a noted in	ay with freque and rounded layer.	vith d angular. yer ent cobbles led and . Localised	
Remar	l ˈksː	No groundy	water en	countered, flo	w of su	Irface water i	nto exc	avation no	oted.				Plant Us	sed:
													13tN tracked	excavator

		Fehily Th Sir B R2 ⁻	y Timoney & Co. he Grainstore agletons Lane Co. Carlow Tel: + Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em Em	-353-59-9723800 ail: info@ftco.ie Web: v.fehilytimoney.ie			Trial Pi	t Log		TrialPit N TP7 Sheet 1 c	No A of 1
Project	Croach	Wind F	arm		Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	583494.00	823756.00	Date	
Name:	Croayn	wind i	aiiii		P1989)	Level:		893.82	01/05/20)19
Locatio	n: County	Leitrim/	Sligo				Dimensions (m) [.]			Scale	
Client	McCarth		le O'Sullivan				Depth			Logged	t
							3.50			GK	
Water Strike	Depth	Type	Results/Sample Ref	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratum Descrip	otion		
				0.50 8 0.70 8 1.70 8	393.32 393.12 392.12	alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle alle	Firm brown/black Very soft and sof Firm brown/grey occasional cobbl Localised angula mottling/staining Stiff, locally very occasional cobbl angular and sub-	t light brown/grey s sandy very gravelly es. Cobbles are any r fragments of shall noted in layer stiff, blue/grey very les and boulders. C rounded. Cobble a	andy clayey Si / Silt/Clay with gular and sub- e noted in laye noted in laye	It rounded. r. Orange lay with ulders are tent	
	2.70 - 2.90	В	B11	3.50 8	390.32			эрш 			2
				3.50 8	390.32			End of Pit at 3.50	00m		
											4
Remark	s: No groun	dwater er	ncountered.			1				Plant Used	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
	Ĵ,								1:	3tN tracked exe	cavator

	Γ		Fehily Th Sin	Timoney & Co. e Grainstore gletons Lane	Tel: +3 Emai	53-59-9723800 L: info@ftco.ie):+ ~			TrialPit	No
	FEI	HILY	Ba	agenalstown Co. Carlow	www.fe	Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Inal P		Jg		IPa	Α
1	ΓΙΜ	ONEY	R21	XA66 Ireland			Projec	t No	Coords (E NI):	5849	358.00	823400 0	Sneet 1	of 1
Project Name:		Croagh \	Nind F	arm			P1989	t NO.	Level:		556.00	976 (0 Date	019
Leastia		Country	a ituina /						Dimensions			0.000	Scale)
Lucatio			eiiiiii/a	Silgo					(m):				1:25	;
Client:		McCarthy	/ Kevill	e O'Sulliva	n				Lepth 4.00				Logge GK	d
ike		Samp	les & In S	itu Testing		Depth	Level	Legend		Stratun	n Descrir	otion		
Str		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Oliaton	n Desen			
						0.50 S	975.57		Spongy brown	black amorph	nous Peat	t		
														-
Remark	ks:	Groundwat	er ingres	ss noted at 3.2	2m bgl,	described as	moder	rate flow					Plant Use	d:
													13tN trooled -	(00) (0 ¹
													I SUN TRACKED EX	cavator

		Fehil T Si E	ly Timoney & Co. he Grainstore ngletons Lane Bagenalstown	Tel: +38 Email	53-59-9723800 : info@ftco.ie Web:			Trial P	Pit Lo	Da		TrialPit	No) A
.	FEHILY	R2	Co. Carlow 1 XA66 Ireland	www.fe	ehilytimoney.ie					9		Sheet 1	of 1
Project						Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5850	85.00	823414.0	0 Date	9
Name:	Croag	h Wind	Farm			P1989		Level:			1003.2	30/04/2	2019
Locatio		(Loitrim)	Sligo					Dimensions				Scal	е
		Leiuiiii	Silgo					(m):				1:2	5
Client:	McCar	thy Kevil	le O'Sullivar	า				Lepth 4.00				Logg GK	ed
er (e	Sa	mples & In	Situ Testing		Denth	ا مربوا							
Wat Stri	Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum	1 Descrip	tion		
	3.80 - 4.00	В	Β5		1.70 1 2.00 1 2.80 1 3.80 9 4.00 9	001.50 001.20 000.40 9999.40 9999.20	Alle sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile sile	Spongy brown Very soft and s vane strength Firm blue/grey occasional cot fragments of s Stiff blue/grey frequent cobbl fragments of s Weathered Sh	soft light brow recordings of v slightly sandy bbles. Cobbles whale noted in slightly sandy les. Cobbles a whale noted in shale noted in	n/grey si 18, 19, 2 y very gr s are ang layer.	andy clayey andy clayey 7 and 24kP avelly Silt/Cl avelly Silt/Cl ar. Localised avelly Silt/Cl ar. Localised	Silt. Shear a sed angular	
Remarl	ks: No grou	ndwater e	ncountered, flo	w of su	rface water in	nto exc	avation no	ted.				Plant Use 13tN tracked e	ed: xcavator
											L		

	FEHIL	l Y	Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore ligletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Tel: +353-59- Email: info@ Web: www.fehilytin	9723800 §ftco.ie noney.ie			Trial P	it Log	I	TrialPi	t No 0A 1 of 1
Project	TIMON	EY					Projec	ct No.	Coords (E,N):	584076.0	0 824034.	00 Dat	le
Name:	CI	oagh V	Vind F	arm			P1989	9	Level:		845.	53 30/04/2	2019
Locatio	on: Co	ounty L	eitrim/s	Sligo			_		Dimensions			Sca	le
Olivert	N4	Carthy	الاعينال						Depth			1:2 Logg	.5 jed
Client:		Canny	Kevili	e O Sullivan				1	4.10			Gł	<
Water Strike	Dej	Sampl oth	es & In S Type	Results/Sample	e Ref ^{(r}	pth n)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratum Des	scription		
					0.	40 8 60 8	345.13 844.93	dec site site site site site dic site site dic site site site site site dic site site	Firm brown/black	ck fibrous Peat i/grey sandy claye y very gravelly Sil	ey Silt It/Clay with occ	asional	
									cobbles. Cobble of shale noted i	es are angular. Lo n layer.	ocalised angula	r fragments	1
					1.	80 8	343.73		Stiff, locally firm Clay with freque Cobbles and bc fragments of sh	 blue/grey slightl ent cobbles and o sulders are angula lale noted in layer 	ly sandy very g occasional boul ar. Localised ar r.	ravelly Silt/ ders. ıgular	2
	3.20 -	3.40	В	B10	2.	90 E	342.63		Stiff blue/grey v and occasional angular. Localis layer.	rery gravelly Silt/C boulders. Cobble ₃ed angular fragm	Clay with freque es and boulders ients of shale n	ent cobbles e are oted in	3-
					4.	10 E	841.43			End of Pit at	4 100m		4 -
										End of Pit at	, 100111		-
													-
Remark	ks: No	o groundv	vater en	countered.								Plant Us 13tN tracked e	ed: excavator

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800						TrialPit	No
		1	Sin	igletons Lane	Email	: info@ftco.ie Web:		•	Trial P	it Loc	1	TP1 [′]	1A
	FEHIL	Y	R21	Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	www.fe	ehilytimoney.ie				•:)	Sheet 1	of 1
Project				•			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	585147.0	0 823241.0	0 Date	•
Name:	Cr	oagn v	vina F	arm			P1989	I	Level:		967.9	2 30/04/2	019
Locatio	n: Co	ountv Le	eitrim/s	Sliao					Dimensions			Scale	9
		,	12						(m): Depth			1:25 Logae	ed
Client:	MC	Carthy	Kevill	e O'Sulliva	n			1	3.50			GK	
ater trike		Sample	es & In S	Situ Testing		Depth	Level	Legend		Stratum Des	scription		
≤ö	Dep	oth	Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(11)	(11)		Co operative brown	nooudo fibrous a	-		1
	3.10 -	3.40	В	Β4		1.30 9 1.50 9 2.50 9 3.50 9	966.62 966.42 965.42		Spongy brown Very soft and se Firm blue/grey occasional cobi Localised angu Stiff, locally firm Clay with freque Cobbles are an noted in layer.	oft light brown/gre slightly sandy ver bles. Orange mot lar fragments of s n, blue/grey slight ent cobbles. Orar gular. Localised a	ey sandy clayey y gravelly Silt/Cl tling noted in lay shale noted in lay shale noted in lay angular fragment 3.500m	Silt ay with er. /er. avelly Silt/ ed in layer. is of shale	
Remark	ks: No	groundw	vater en	countered, flo	ow of su	rface water i	nto exc	avation no	ted.			Plant Use 13tN tracked ex	d: cavator

	Г	Fehil	y Timoney & Co.	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800								TrialPit N	No
		Si	ngletons Lane agenalstown	Emai	il: info@ftco.ie Web:		•	Trial F	Pit Lo	Ŋ			TP12	2A
	FEHILY <u>FIMONEY</u>	R2	1 XA66 Ireland	www.i	eriliytimoney.ie					<u> </u>			Sheet 1 c	of 1
Project	Croad	n Wind I	Farm			Projec	ct No.	Coords (E,N):	5849	963.00	823032.	00	Date	
iname:			-			P1989	9	Level:			970.	81	30/04/20)19
Locatio	n: County	Leitrim/	Sligo					Dimensions (m):				ר	Scale 1:25	
Client:	McCar	hy Kevil	le O'Sullivar	า				Depth					Logged	t
er ke	Sa	nples & In :	Situ Testing		Depth	l evel		1 4.40					GR	
Wat Stri	Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratur	m Descrip	otion			
					0.90	969.91	A silve silve si silve silve silve and the silve silve silve silve silve silve silve silve silve silve si	Plastic brown	n amorphous F	Peat				
0.90 969.91							r amorphous r					2 —		
					2.50	968.31	$ \begin{array}{c} \underline{a} \\ \underline{a} \\ \underline{b} \\ \underline$	Very soft and vane strengt	l soft light brov h recordings o	wn/grey s f 14, 32,	andy claye 16 and 21k	y Silt. Pa	Shear	
					2.80	968.01		Firm and stiff with occasior boulders are fragments of	f blue/grey slig nal cobbles an angular and s shale noted ir	htly sand d boulde ub-round h layer.	ly very grav rs. Cobbles led. Localis	elly S and ed an	ilt/Clay gular	3
	4.10 - 4.30	В	B3		3.70	967.11		Stiff blue/gre frequent cobi angular and shale noted i	y slightly sand bles and boulc sub-rounded. I n layer.	y very gr ders. Cob Localisec	avelly Silt/C bles and bo I angular fra	ilay w bulder agmer	ith s are tts of	4
					4.40	966.41	<u>r x x x x</u>		End of	Pit at 4.4	00m			-
Remarl	ks: No grou	ndwater er	ncountered.									13th	Plant Used	l: cavator

	Г		Fehily	/ Timoney & Co.	Tol: +3	53 50 0723800							TrialPit	No
			Sir	ngletons Lane	Emai	il: info@ftco.ie Web:		I	Trial Pi	it I c	na		TP1	3A
	FEI	HILY	R21	Co. Carlow	www.f	ehilytimoney.ie			i i i di i		9		Sheet 1	of 1
Project		UNET					Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5845	02.00	822527.0	0 Date	Ð
Name:	L	Croagh V	Nind F	arm			P1989	1	Level:			1042.8	29/04/2	2019
Locatio	on.	County L	oitrim/	Sligo					Dimensions				Scal	е
			Citiliti	oligo					(m):				1:2	5
Client:		McCarthy	/ Kevill	le O'Sullivar	I				2.80				GK	ea C
ter ke		Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		Depth	Level	Logond		Ctrature	Deserin	tion		
Str		Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	le Ref	(m)	(m)	Legenu		Stratum	Descrip	JUOT		
								, 316, 316, 316 6 , 316, 316	Spongy brown p	seudo-fibroi	us & am	orphous Pea	at	-
								ان مانی مانی مان به مانی مانی بر بر بر بر						-
								5072 5072 509 5 5012 5012 5012 5012 501						-
						0.50 1	042.30	a sila sila sila sila sila	Plastic black/bro	wn amornh		+		
								૬ કોદ કોદ હોદ હોદ હો		wir amorphi	Jus rea	L		-
								ક કોદિ કોદિ કોદ કોદ કોદ						-
								્યાલ આપ આંદ ઓદ ઓદ આંદ ઓદ સ્ટોલ						-
								ોડી દેક હરી દેક હરી છે. આ આ દેક હરી દેક						1 -
								ડ્ડોંટ, ડોંટ, ડોં ૬ ડોંટ, ડોંટ						-
								بالا مالا مالا مالا مالا م بلد بلد بلد						-
								5. 516 516 5. 516 516						-
								૬ કોદ કોદ કોદ કોદ કો						-
								ક ગોદ ગોદ ગોદ ગોદ ગો						-
								ه ماله ماله ماله ماله ماله برای مایه						-
								316 316 316 6 316 316						2
								ssta ssta ssti a ssta ssta						-
								ાં સાંદ સાંદ સાં આ સાંદ સાંદ આ ગામ ગામ						
								506 506 50 6 516 516 516 516 51						-
								e site site site site site						-
								૬ કોદ કોદ હોદ હોદ હો						-
						2.80 1	040.00	ક એદિ એદિ .એદિ એદિ એ		End of F	Dit at 2 90	000		
										End of P	11 at 2.00	John		-
														3 -
														-
														-
														_
														-
														-
														-
														4 -
														-
														-
														-
Remar	ks:	Groundwat	er ingre	ss noted 2.8m	bgl, de	escribed as s	teady fl	ow.	I				Plant Use	ed:
			-		-									
													13tN tracked e	xcavator
												L		

	FEH		Fehily Tr Sir Bi R21	/ Timoney & Co. le Grainstore ligletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Tel: +3 Email www.fe	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial P	it Lo	g		Tr TF Sh	ialPit No 214	4
Project							Projec	ct No.	Coords (E,N):	585478	8.00	822902.0	00	Date	
Name:		Croagin		ann			P1989	9	Level:			987.9	96 29	/04/2019	Э
Locatio	on:	County L	eitrim/	Sligo					Dimensions (m):				1	Scale 1:25	
Client:		McCarthy	y Kevill	e O'Sullivar	า				Depth					Logged	
er (e		Samp	les & In S	Situ Testing		Dopth			3.80					GK	
Wat Strik		Depth	Туре	Results/Samp	ole Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum D	Descrip	tion			
	2.	10 - 2.40	В	B1		0.80 2.10 2.40 3.80	987.16 985.86 985.56 984.16	A salke salke s a salke salke salke a salke	Spongy brown Plastic brown/b Very soft light b strength recordi Firm, locally stif with occasional in layer	Iack amorphou rown/grey san ings of 12, 13, f, blue/grey sa cobbles. Oran	dy clay is Peal 16, 15 indy ve ige/ligh	rey Silt. She kPa ry gravelly s t brown mo	at ar vane Silt/Clay ttling notec	1	2
Remark	ks:	No ground	water er	licountered.				<u> </u>					Plar 13tN track	it Used: (ed exca)	vator

Project Name Croagh Wind Farm Project Name Settler 0.821228.00 Date 0.0005/2019 Counts (E.M) Settler 0.9136 Date 0.0005/2019 Settler 0.0205/2019 Counts (E.M) Settler 0.9136 Date 0.0205/2019 Settler 0.021278.00 Settler 0.0205/2019 Counts (E.M) Settler 0.916 Settler 0.916 Settler Settler Settler 0.017 Settler	F	EHILY	Fehily T The Sing Bag Co R21 X	Fimoney & Co. Grainstore letons Lane jenalstown 5. Carlow KA66 Ireland	Tel: +353- Email: ir V www.fehi	-59-9723800 nfo@ftco.ie Veb: ilytimoney.ie			Trial P	it Lo	bg		•	TrialPit N TP15 Sheet 1 c	√0 5 A of 1
Name: Cough with Path Path Path Path Path Path Path Pa	Project	Croagh	Wind Ed	rm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5865	587.00	821278.0	0	Date	
Location: County Lettrin/Sligo Dippin Set for any part of the pa	Name:	Croagn		arm			P1989)	Level:			893.6	65	02/05/20)19
Clinit: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan Depth Loopd Loopd 3 80 Samples & In Stu Testing Depth Tree Bezing Statum Description 3 80 Depth Tree Results/Sample Ref Prim Image: Statum Description Image: Statum Description 3 80 Depth Tree Results/Sample Ref Prim Image: Statum Description Image: Statum Description 1 100 B92265 Soft Tocally firm. light brown Clary/Sit with frequent cobbins and statum of the coal of the statum of the statum of the coal of the statum of the statumof the statum of the statum of the statum of the statum o	Location:	County L	.eitrim/S	ligo					Dimensions	١				Scale	
B Count Count <thcount< th=""> Count Coun</thcount<>	Client:	McCarth	y Keville	O'Sullivan					Depth					Logged	t
S 6 Depth Type Results/Sample Ref (m)	ater	Samp	les & In Sit	tu Testing		Depth	Level	Legend	0.00	Stratum	n Descrir	ition			
Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Set 300 45 Set 4 as 300 mm and spongy blackbrown florous Peak 1 Remarks: No groundwater encountered. 100 880.75 Set 4 as 300 mm and spongy blackbrown florous Peak 1	St &	Depth	Туре	Results/Sample	e Ref	(m)	(m)	Logona		olididii	Decomp				
1.40 892.25 Fim dark blaeigrey sandy gravelly Silt/Clay with occasional object. Cobbles are angular. 2 - 3.20 890.45 Stiff dark blaeigrey slight sandy gravelly Silt/Clay with not satisfies and sub-rounded 3 - 3.20 890.45 Stiff dark blaeigrey slight sandy gravelly Silt/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded 3 - 3.20 890.45 Stiff dark blaeigrey slight sandy gravelly Silt/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded 4 - 3.90 889.75 End of Pit at 3.900m 4 - Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Used:						1.00 8	892.65	since solve a solve so	Soft, locally firm and boulders C	, light brown	n Clay/Si	it with freque	ent cob	obles	
Pirm dark blue/grey sandy gravely sin/Lday with occasional cobbles. Cobbles are angular. 2 - 3.20 890.45 3 - 3.20 890.45 Stiff dark blue/grey slight sandy gravely Slif/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded 3 - 3.20 890.45 End of Pit at 3.900m 4 - Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Used:						1.40	892.25		and boulders. C rounded	are sub-rou	Inded	and			
3.20 890.45 Stiff dark blue/grey slight sandy gravelly Slit/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded 3.90 889.75 Stiff dark blue/grey slight sandy gravelly Slit/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded 3.90 889.75 Stiff dark blue/grey slight sandy gravelly Slit/Clay with frequent cobbles. Cobbles are angular and sub-rounded Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Used: 13tN tracked excavator 13tN tracked excavator									Firm dark blue/ cobbles. Cobble	grey sandy <u>c</u> es are angul	gravelly S ar.	ilt/Clay with	occas	ional	2
3.90 889.75 End of Pit at 3.900m 4 - Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Used: 13tN tracked excavator						3.20	890.45		Stiff dark blue/g frequent cobble	rey slight sa s. Cobbles a	andy grav are angul	elly Silt/Cla ar and sub-	y with rounde	ed	
Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Used: 13tN tracked excavator						3.90	889.75			End of I	Pit at 3.90	00m			4
13tN tracked excavator	Remarks:	No ground	water enc	ountered.										Plant Used	1:
													13tN	tracked exe	cavator

	FEHILY	Fehil Ti Sii B R2	y Timoney & Co. ne Grainstore ngletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow 1 XA66 Ireland	Tel: +3 Emai www.f	53-59-9723800 I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial P	it Log		TrialPit I TP16 Sheet 1 d	No 5 A of 1
Project	Croach	Wind	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	585793.00	822604.00) Date	
Name:	Croage	wind r	ann			P1989)	Level:		1016.2 ⁻	1 29/04/20	019
Locatio	n: County	Leitrim/	Sligo					Dimensions (m):			Scale 1:25	
Client:	McCart	hy Kevil	le O'Sulliva	n				Depth			Logge	d
е е	San	ples & In S	Situ Testing		Denth						GR	
Wat Strij	Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legend		Stratum Desci	iption		
	3.50 - 3.70	в	Β2		1.30 1 1.90 1 3.80 1	014.91 014.31 012.41	sile sile	Spongy brown Firm blue/grey s cobbles. Cobble Stiff, locally ver cobbles and bo rounded and ro diameter. Local layer	sandy very gravelly sandy very gravelly as are sub-rounded y stiff, very gravelly ulders. Cobbles an unded. Boulders va ised angular fragmo End of Pit at 3.	Silt/Clay with and angular Silt/Clay with and angular Silt/Clay with a double of the second s	eat	
Remark	s: Groundw	ater ingre	ss noted at 1.	3m bgl,	described as	minor	ingress.				Plant Used	: :
											13tN tracked ex	cavator

	FEHILY	Fehi T Si E	y Timoney & Co. he Grainstore ngletons Lane Co. Carlow 1 XA66 Ireland	353-59-9723800 il: info@ftco.ie Web: fehilytimoney.ie			Trial Pi	t Lo	bg			TrialPit N TP17 Sheet 1 c	√o 7 A of 1
Project					Proje	ct No.	Coords (E,N):	584	623.00	823207	.00	Date	
Name:	Croag	n wina i	Farm		P1989	9	Level:			977	.60	30/04/20)19
Locatio	n: County	/ Leitrim/	Sligo				Dimensions		[-	Scale	
Olivert	MaCar	thu Kavil					Depth					Logged	b
Client:	MicCar	thy Kevi	le O'Sullivan				3.90					GK	
/ater trike	Sa	mples & In	Situ Testing	Depth	Level	Legend		Stratu	m Descrip	otion			
sω	Depth	Туре	Results/Sample Ref	(11)	(11)	الد عاد عاد	Spongy brown ps	oudo fibr					1
				0.70	976.90		Spongy and plasti Peat	ic brown	pseudo-fi	brous and	amorp	hous	1
				2.20 2.30	975.40 975.30		Very soft light brow Firm blue/dark gre occasional cobble fragments of shale	wn/grey s ey sandy s. Cobble s. Cobble e noted ir	sandy clar very grav es are an n layer.	yey Silt relly Silt/Cla gular. Loca	ay with llised a	ingular	
	3.50 - 3.70	В	B6	3.10	974.50		Stiff blue/dark gre cobbles. Cobbles of shale noted in I	y very gra are angu ayer.	avelly Silt ılar. Local	/Clay with t ised angula	freque ar frag	nt ments	
				3.90	973.70			End of	Pit at 3.90	00m	1		4
Remark	s: No grou	ndwater e	ncountered.									Plant Used	i:
											13tN	I tracked exe	cavator

Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm Project No. Coards (E.N): 584564.00 823007.00 Dis 300.418 Losaton: County Leittim/Sligo Unewei: 904.18 300.41 300.41 Citent: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan Depth Depth 1.0 B & B Samples & In Sta Testing Depth Legend Stretum Description B & B Depth Type Results/Sample Ref 0.0 003.68 C association: 0.00 003.68 Frim torownigrey samdy clayey Sill C association: Depth 0.00 003.68 Frim data biologyney sight particly very sight provides torous Plast Soft light brownigrey samdy clayey Sill 0.00 003.68 Frim data biologyney sight particly very sight provides and oxide clayer or provide biologyney sight provides and oxide clayer or provide biologyney sight provides and oxide clayer or provide biologyney sight provides and oxide classed or oxide classed		FEHILY	Fehily Th Sin Ba (R21	r Timoney & Co. le Grainstore T Igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow X XA66 Ireland	el: +353-59-9723800 Email: info@ftco.ie Web: www.fehilytimoney.ie		•	Trial Pi	it Lo	bg		Tri TF She	alPit N 18 eet 1 of	A
Name: Closeft (m) County Leitrim/Sligo Dimensions (m) Open (m) Ope	Project	Croach	Wind E	orm		Projec	ct No.	Coords (E,N):	584	564.00	823907.0	0	Date	
Location: County Leitrim/Silgo Dimensions (1:1) Depth Simplex & In Stu-Testing 4.20 Simplex & In Stu-Testing 4.20 Simplex & In Stu-Testing 4.20 Depth Lored 4.20 Image: Samplex & In Stu-Testing 2.00 Depth Type Results/Sample Ret Depth (m) Lored (m) Lored 4.20 Simplex & In Stu-Testing 4.20 Image: Simlex & In Stu-Testing 4.20 Image: Simle	Name:	Croayn	wind r	ann		P1989	Э	Level:			904.1	8 30/	04/20 ⁻	19
Client: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan Depth 3semples & In Stul Testing Depth 1.20 Depth Type Results/Sample Ref Depth 0.30 903.88	Locatio	n: County L	eitrim/s	Sligo				Dimensions]		Scale	
Solution Indicating inclusion Depth Depth Level (m) Level (m) Level (m) Soft light brownblack florous Peat 8 Depth Type Results/Sample Ref 0.30 903.88 Soft light brownblack florous Peat 4 2.10 902.06 Stratum Description Firm brownblack florous Peat 9 0.00 903.58 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey Sitt 9 0.00 903.58 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey Sitt 9 0.00 903.58 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey Sitt 9 0.00 903.58 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey Sitt 9 0.00 902.06 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey gravely Sitt 9 0.00 902.06 Firm dark bluegrey standy dayey gravely standy dayey firm dark bluegrey alightly very gravely standy dayey firm dark bluegrey alightly very gravely standy day were gravely stan	Client:	McCarth		e O'Sullivan				Depth				L	ogged	
Begin Samplers at 3-bit lessing Depth Level (m) Legend Statum Description Depth Type Results/Sampler Ref 0.30 903.88 Firm brown/black fibrous Pest Image: Sampler Sampler Ref 0.30 903.88 Soft light brown/black fibrous Pest Soft light brown/black fibrous Pest Image: Sampler Sampler Sampler Ref 0.30 903.88 Soft light brown/black fibrous Pest Image: Sampler S							1	4.20]		GK	
2.10 903.86 Soft light brownitizes (light year) still and year year) still (light year) year) still (light year)	Water Strike	Depth	Type	Results/Sample	Ref Depth	Level (m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrip	otion			
4.20 699.96 End of Pit at 4.200m Remarks: No groundwater encountered. Plant Lie		3.90 - 4.10	В	87	0.30 0.60 2.10	903.88 903.58 902.08		Firm brown/black	k fibrous P grey sandy rey slightly cobbles. C ts of shale stiff at dep with freque as are angu layer.	eat r clayey S r sandy vo obbles ar noted in oted in oted in oted in oted in oted in th, dark l ient cobb ular. Loca	Silt e angular. Lo layer.	ilt/Clay calised		
Remarks: No groundwater encountered.					4.∠∪	U99.90			End of	Pit at 4.2	00m			_
Remarks: No groundwater encountered.														-
13tN tracked	Remark	ks: No ground	water en	countered.								Plant 13tN track	Used:	avator

	Γ		Fehily	/ Timoney & Co. le Grainstore	Tel: +3	53-59-9723800			- ·				TrialP	it No
			Bi	igletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Emai www.f	I: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Irial F	rit Lo	bg		TP2	1 A
1		DNEY	R21	XA66 Ireland					Τ				Sheet	1 of 1
Project Name:		Croagh V	Nind F	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5860	026.00	821965.0		
		<u> </u>					F 1908)	Dimensions			910.8	Sca	le
Locatio	n:	County L	eitrim/s	Sligo					(m):				1:2	25
Client:		McCarthy	/ Kevill	e O'Sulliva	n				Depth 4.10				Logg	jed ≺
ike		Sampl	les & In S	Situ Testing		Depth	Level	Logond		Strotur	n Dogorir	tion		
Wa Str		Depth	Туре	Results/Sam	ple Ref	(m)	(m)	Legenu		Stratur				
								Marka Mile, and Mile, a						
						2.90	908.08		Soft light brov	vn/grey sandy	clayey S	silt		3
						3.50	907.48		Firm, locally s Clay with occ boulders are s	stiff, dark blue, asional cobble sub-rounded a	grey slig and bo and round	htly sandy g oulders. Cob ded	ravelly Silt/ bles and	4
						4.10	900.88			End of	Pit at 4.10	00m		
Remark	ks:	Groundwat	er ingre	ss noted at 2.	9m bgl,	described as	s mode	rate flow					Plant Us	ed:
													13tN tracked	excavator

	Г		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel: +3	53-50-0723800							TrialPi	it No
		1	Sin	gletons Lane Igenalstown	Emai	I: info@ftco.ie Web:		•	Trial F	Pit Lo	Da		TP2	2A
1	FEHIL' FIMONI	Y EY	R21	Co. Carlow XA66 Ireland	www.fe	ehilytimoney.ie					0		Sheet	1 of 1
Project	Cr	oadh M	/ind F	arm			Projec	t No.	Coords (E,N):	5842	238.00	823501.0	0 Dat	te
Name:		ought					P1989		Level:			959.2	28 30/04/	2019
Locatio	n: Co	ounty Le	eitrim/S	Sligo					Dimensions (m):				Sca 1:2	le !5
Client:	Mc	Carthy	Kevill	e O'Sullivar	<u>า</u>				Depth				Logg	jed
50		Sample	es & In S	itu Testing					3.50				GI	ζ
Wate Strike	Dep	oth	Туре	Results/Samp	le Ref	. Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratur	n Descrip	otion		
						1.20 s 3.20 s 3.50 s	958.08		Spongy brow Plastic brown	n pseudo-fibro n amorphous F n acordings o End of	vn/grey si f 17, 14 a Pit at 3.50	andy clayey nd 28kPa.	Silt. Shear	
Remark	ks: No	groundw	ater en	countered.									Plant Us 13tN tracked e	ed:
												L		

	Γ		Fehily	Timoney & Co.	Tel· +3	53-59-9723800								TrialPit N	No
	FEHILY		Sin Ba	gletons Lane agenalstown Co. Carlow	Email www.fe	l: info@ftco.ie Web: ehilytimoney.ie			Trial F	Pit Lo	рс		•	TP23	BA
	TIMONE	Y	R21	XA66 Ireland										Sheet 1 c	of 1
Project Name:	Cro	agh W	/ind F	arm			Projec	t NO.	Coords (E,N):	583	922.00	823194.	20	Date	10
							P 1985	,	Dimonsions			985.	39	Scale)19
Locatio	n: Cou	nty Le	itrim/S	Sligo					(m):				ר ר	1:25	
Client:	McC	Carthy	Kevill	e O'Sulliva	า				Depth 3.90					Logged GK	d
ater rike		Sample	s & In S	itu Testing		Depth	Level	Legend		Stratu	m Descrip	otion			
St	Depth		Туре	Results/Sam	ole Ref	(m)	(m)					<u> </u>			1
1.20 064.19 Image: Sector Sec															
Remark	ks: No g	roundw	ater en	countered.										Plant Usec	
													13tN 1	racked ex	cavator

Irish Drilling Ltd

Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

TEST SCHEDULE

			Soil					Rock			
Trial Pit	Sample	Depth	Moisture Content	Plastic	Liquid	Wet				Point Load Strength Tests	
No	No.	m		Limit	Limit	Sieve	Hydrometer	Min. Density	Max. Density		
TP1A	B14	2.8 - 3.0	1	1	1	1	1				
TP2A	B12	4.0 - 4.2	1	1	1	1	1				
TP3A											
TP4A	B8	3.2 - 3.5	1	1	1	1	1				
TP5A	B9	1.9 - 2.1	1	1	1	1	1				
TP6A											
TP7A	B11	2.7 - 2.9	1	1	1	1	1				
TP8A											
TP9A	B5	3.8 - 4.0				1	1			1 no. (set of 10)	
TP10A	B10	3.2 - 3.4	1	1	1	1	1				
TP11A	B4	3.1 - 3.3	1	1	1	1	1				
TP12A	B3	4.1 - 4.3	1	1	1	1	1				
TP13A											
TP14A	B1	2.1 - 2.4	1	1	1	1	1				
TP15A											
TP16A	B2	3.5 - 3.7	1	1	1	1	1				
TP17A	B6	3.5 - 3.7	1	1	1	1	1				
TP18A	B7	3.9 - 4.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
TP19A											
TP20A											
TP21A											
TP22A											
TP23A	B13	3.6 - 3.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
TP24A											
TP25A											
		Total	13	13	13	14	14	2	2	1 set	

Jan I	DR	LING				Summary	of Cla	assi	ficat	ion T	est R	lesu	ılts		
Project No. 2019	_M102	2	Project	Name	1		Croagh \	Nind I	Farm, (Co. Leitr	im				
		Sa	mple	1			Dens	ity	w	Passing	LL	PL	ΡI	Particle	
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре		Soil Description	Duik Mg/m	n3	%	423μm	%	%	%	Mg/m3	Remarks
TP01A	14	2.80	3.00	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy clayey SILT.			14.0	60	22	18	4		ML
TP02A	12	4.00	4.20	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy clayey SILT.			34.0	86	32	21	11		CL
TP04A	8	3.20	3.50	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slity CLAY.			13.0	65	34	19	15		CL
TP05A	9	1.90	2.10	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slity CLAY.			27.0	62	37	24	13		СІ
TP07A	11	2.70	2.90	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slightly CLAY.			9.1	61	29	19	10		CL
TP10A	10	3.20	3.40	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slightly CLAY.			14.0	64	28	21	7		CL
TP11A	4	3.10	3.30	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slightly.			18.0	84	33	17	16		CL
TP12A	3	4.10	4.30	В		Dark grey slightly gravelly sandy clayey SILT.			21.0	56	25	20	5		ML
TP14A	1	2.10	2.40	в		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy clayey SILT.			72.0	96	46	29	17		МІ
TP16A	2	3.50	3.70	в		Dark grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy slity CLAY.			17.0	60	26	20	6		CL
TP17A	6	3.50	3.70	В		Dark grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY.			28.0	56	31	24	7		ML
TP18A	7	3.90	4.10	В		Dark grey very silty very sandy GRAVEL.			11.0	38	27	18	9		CL
TP23A	13	3.60	3.80	В		Dark grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY.			11.0	53	29	19	10		CL
All tests perf	All tests performed in accordance with BS1377:1990 unless specified otherwise														
Key	test			Liquid	imit	De-st-st	e doncit :		Date F	Printed		Appr	oved	Ву	Table
Linear n	neasure	ment unles	s :	4pt con	e unless	Fartici	e uensity nall pyknom s iar	leter	07/0)2/2019	00:00				1 sheet
wd - wa wi - imr	nersion	acement in water	011.0	NP - No	ngie poli on Plast	n nesi gj-ga c		1/1/02	QC F	rom No	: R1				511eet 1

Tested in: Irish Drilling Ltd.(IDL), Old Galway Road, Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland. H62VX39 Approved Signatures: Dympna Darcy (DCD) Lab Manager, Declan Joyce (DJ) Chartered Geotechnical Engineer, Ronan Killeen (RK) Quality Manager.



QC Form: R1




























Tested in: Irish Drilling Ltd.(IDL), Old Galway Road, Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland. H62VX39

Approved Signatures: Dympna Darcy (DCD) Lab Manager, Declan Joyce (DJ) Chartered Geotechnical Engineer, Ronan Killeen (RK) Quality Manager.

				Su	mmary of Result	s for N	/ axim	um a	nd Mi	inimur	n Dry l	Density Tests
Project No.		Project Name										
2019	LM10	2				Cr	oagh Wir	nd Farm,	Co. Leit	rim		
		Sar	nple		Te		Oversize material Test (as appropriate)		Dry d	ensity		
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре	Soli Description	Method	>37.5mm %	>6.3mm %	>2mm %	Maximum Ma/m3	Minimum Ma/m3	Remarks
TP18A	7	3.90	4.10	в	Dark grey very silty very sandy GRAVEL.	4.3, 4.5				2.02	1.35	
TP23A	13	3.60	3.80	В	Dark grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY.	4.3, 4.5				1.99	1.18	
Notes												
Tests performed in accordance with BS 1377 : Part 4 : 1990								~ ~ ,				
and clauses : 4.2, 4.4 sandy soil 4.3, 4.5 gravelly soil				07/0	2/2019 0	0:00				1 sheet 1		



Photo 1 Trial pit TP1A



Photo 2 Trial pit TP2A



Photo 3 Trial pit TP3A



Photo 4 Trial Pit 4A



Photo 5 Arisings from trial pit TP4A



Photo 6 Trial pit TP5A



Photo 7 Trial pit TP6A



Photo 8 Arisings from trial pit TP6A



Photo 9 Trial pit TP7A



Photo 10 Trial pit TP9A



Photo 11 Arisings from trial pit TP9A



Photo 12 Trial pit TP10A



Photo 13 Trial pit TP11A



Photo 14 Trial pit TP12A



Photo 15 Trial pit TP13A



Photo 16 Trial pit TP14A



Photo 17 Trial pit TP15A



Photo 18 Trial pit TP16A



Photo 19 Trial pit TP17A



Photo 20 Trial pit TP18A



Photo 21 Trial pit TP17A



Photo 22 Trial pit TP18A



Photo 23 Trial pit TP22A



Photo 24 Trial pit TP23A



RECORD OF TRIAL PIT TP01_MKO

Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm
Trial Pit Number: TP01_MKO	Trial Pit Location: N E
Date: 13 September 2019	Logged by: MW (MKO)

Depth		Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth
From (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)
0	0.30	Soft brown PEAT		
0.30	0.85	Grey sandy clayey Silt		
0.85	2.9	Firm blue/grey slightly sandy very gravelly Silt/Clay		
2.9	3.4	Weathered shale in a silt/clay matrix		

Notes:

Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



TP01_MKO



RECORD OF TRIAL PIT TP03_MKO

Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm
Trial Pit Number: TP03_MKO	Trial Pit Location: N E
Date: 13 September 2019	Logged by: MW (MKO)

Depth From To		Soil Description	Sample No	Sample Depth
(m)	(m)		110.	(m)
0	0.35	Soft brown PEAT		
0.35	0.85	Very soft to soft light brown/grey sandy clayey Silt		
0.85	2.2	Firm blue/grey slightly sandy very gravelly Silt/Clay with occasional cobbles		
2.2	3.3	Weathered shale in a silt/clay matrix		

Notes:

Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



TP03_MKO



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm
Trial Pit Number: TPAR1	Trial Pit Location: E 587701 N 823093
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)

Depth		Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth
From	To (m)		No.	(m)
(111)	(11)			(11)
0	1.30	Made Ground – clayey gravel (shale)		
1.30	2.20	Firm brown fibrous PEAT		
2.20	4.10	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY		
4.1		End of trial pit		

Notes:

Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm		
Trial Pit Number: TPAR2	Trial Pit Location: E 588267 N 823162		
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)		

Depth		Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth	
From	To (m)		No.	(m)	
(111)	(11)			(11)	
0	0.60	Peaty topsoil			
0.60	1.50	Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY			
1.50	3.20	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY with occasional cobbles, becomes very stiff with depth			
4.1		End of trial pit			

- Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm		
Trial Pit Number: TPAR3	Trial Pit Location: E 588807 N 822991		
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)		

Depth		Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth
From (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)
	(,			()
0	0.25	Topsoil		
0.25	0.80	Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY		
0.80	4.10	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY with occasional cobbles, becomes very stiff with depth		
4.1		End of trial pit		

- Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm		
Trial Pit Number: TPAR4	Trial Pit Location: E 589127 N 822863		
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)		

Dep	oth	Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth						
From (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)						
	(11)			(11)						
0	0.20	Topsoil								
0.00	0.70									
0.20	0.70	Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY								
0.70	2.50	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY with occasional cobbles, becomes very stiff with depth								
2.50		End of trial pit (Boulder)								

- Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm						
Trial Pit Number: TPAR5	Trial Pit Location: E 590217 N 823253						
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)						

Dep	oth	Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth						
From (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)						
0	0.20	Topsoil								
0.20	0.70	Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY								
0.70	2.20	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY with occasional cobbles, becomes very stiff with depth								
2.20		End of trial pit (Boulder)								

- Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.



Project Number: P1989	Project Name: Croagh Wind Farm						
Trial Pit Number: TPAR6	Trial Pit Location: E 589504 N 822084						
Date: 12 March 2020	Logged by: CmcG (Coillte)						

Dep	oth	Soil Description	Sample	Sample Depth						
From	To (m)		No.	(m)						
	(11)			(11)						
0	0.20	Topsoil								
0.20	0.80	Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY								
0.70	2.50	Stiff dark blue/grey SILT/CLAY with occasional cobbles, becomes very stiff with depth								
2.50		End of trial pit (Boulder)								

- Trial pit dry upon completion.
 Trial pit backfilled upon completion.

Appendix D

Ground Investigation (2019) – Borehole Logs, Laboratory Testing & Photographs









IRISH DRILLING LIMITED



LOUGHREA, CO. GALWAY, IRELAND

CONTRACT DRILLING SITE INVESTIGATION

Phone:(091) 841 274Fax:(091) 847 687

email: <u>info@irishdrilling.ie</u>

CROAGH WIND FARM

SITE INVESTIGATION CONTRACT FACTUAL REPORT

MKO, Tuam Road, Galway. Fehily Timoney & Company, Consulting Engineers, Singleton's Lane, Bagenalstown, Carlow.

	Prepared by	Approved by	Rev. Issue Date:	Revision No.
	Ronan Killeen	Declan Joyce	16 th August 2019	19
				_LM_102/001
Signature				

FOREWORD

The borehole records have been compiled from an examination of the samples by a Geotechnical Engineer and from the Drillers' descriptions.

The report presents an opinion on the configuration of the strata within the site based on the borehole results. The assumptions, though reasonable, are given for guidance only and no liability can be accepted for changes in conditions not revealed by the boreholes.

The fieldwork was carried out in accordance with IS EN 1997-2 and BS5930, 2015 Code of Practice for Site Investigations with precedence given to IS EN 1997-2 where applicable.



Contents:

1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0	Introduction The Site & Geology Fieldwork Laboratory Testing
Book 1 of 1	
Appendix 1	Borehole Records (Rotary Core)
Appendix 2	Permeability Test Records
Appendix 3	Groundwater Readings
Appendix 4	Laboratory Test Results
Appendix 5	Laboratory Test Results (Trial Pits)
Appendix 6	Photographs (Rotary Core)
Appendix 7	AGS Data
Appendix 8	Site Plan



1.0 Introduction.

Irish Drilling Ltd. (IDL) was instructed by Fehiliy Timoney & Partners, Consulting Engineers, on behalf of KMO, to carry out a site investigation at the site of the proposed Croagh Wind Farm Project.

This site investigation was carried out to provide detailed factual geotechnical information of the underlying ground conditions at the location of the proposed works.

The fieldwork commenced on May 29th 2019 and was completed on June 25th 2019.

2.0 Site & Geology

The site is located within lands currently owned by Coillte, close to the boundary of County Sligo and County Leitrim.

Geological Survey of Ireland Maps for the region indicate that the site is underlain by the Carboniferous Limestone and Siltstone Rock Formations.

The fieldwork was carried out predominantly on accessible existing tracks within densely forested woodlands.

A Site Plan, prepared by the client's representatives to show approximate 'as-built' locations, is included with this report.

3.0 Fieldwork.

The following plant was mobilised to site to carry out fieldwork operations:

GT1100 GoTract Rotary Core Drilling Rig.

Fieldwork carried out to date has included the following:

Four rotary core boreholes were carried out to establish overburden conditions and rockhead and to establish the nature and integrity of the underlying rock.

Wireline drilling techniques, using HQ size drill strings, were carried out to recover soil and rock core samples. The core samples recovered consisted of the following core diameters: 64mm (HQ).

The samples were stored in wooden boxes and returned to the laboratory where there were logged and photographed by a Geotechnical Engineer and presented for testing.

The rotary core boreholes were carried out to depths ranging from 30.20m to 30.30m below ground level.

In–Situ testing consisting of Standard Penetration Tests were carried out in the overburden at regular intervals (predominantly 1.0m intervals) or as instructed by the client's representatives.

A 50mm diameter standpipe was installed in all the boreholes and as instructed by the Client's Engineer, to allow for monitoring of groundwater levels over a prolonged period of time.

In-Situ tests consisting of Rising and/or Falling Head Permeability Tests were carried out in the boreholes and the records of same are included as appendix 2 of this factual report.



Bedding planes are defined as the surface that separates one stratum, layer or bed stratified rock from another. Discontinuity is defined as the plane of physical weakness where the tensile strength perpendicular to the discontinuity or the shear strength along the discontinuity is lower than that of the surrounding soil or rock material.

The following Key Legend Table details the symbology used on the engineering logs to describe ground conditions encountered:



Ground conditions encountered during the completion of the fieldwork were typical and as expected for this region and predominantly consisted of Glacial Tills overlying bedrock.

The Glacial Tills (where recovered) in general consisted of slightly sandy gravelly silty clay with cobbles and boulders.

Dark green brown silt was also recovered in borehole BH 02 at a depth of 4.20m to 4.80m below ground level.

Possible weathered bedrock was encountered in borehole BH 03 at a depth of 10.70m to 14.90m below ground level and in borehole BH 04 at a depth of 6.20m to 9.80m below ground level.

Intact bedrock was also encountered in all of the rotary core boreholes at depths ranging from 4.80m to 17.40m below ground level.

For detailed descriptions of bedrock please refer to the engineering logs included in the appendices to this report.

The fieldwork was carried out in accordance with IS EN 1997-2 and BS5930, 2015 Code of Practice for Site Investigations with precedence given to IS EN 1997-2 where applicable.



Where possible the borehole locations were set out on site using a Trimble CU Bluetooth GPS Surveying Unit and the co-ordinates are included on the logs presented in the appendices.

All fieldwork co-ordinates are reported to Irish Transverse Mercator (ITM) with Reduced Levels recorded relative to Malin Head Datum and with an accuracy level of + or - 0.10m. Co-ordinates for borehole BH 04 may be compromised due to the presence of dense forestation which may have affected the use of the Bluetooth GPS Surveying Unit.

4.0 Laboratory Testing

Representative samples recovered from the boreholes were scheduled for testing in the laboratory. The test schedules were prepared by the Client's Engineer and included some or all of the following tests on rock core samples:

- * Point Load.
- * UCS Test.
- * Slake Durability.
- * Magnesium Sulphate Soundness.
- * LAĂ.

The records of these laboratory tests are included as Appendix 4 of this factual report.

The soil and rock descriptions as noted on the borehole logs are in general visual descriptions as observed and logged by our Engineers and are described in accordance with IS EN 1997-2 and BS5930, 2015 Code of Practice for Site Investigations.

Soils descriptions (cohesive or otherwise) are also initially assessed based on the texture and 'feel' of the soil materials as witnessed by our Geotechnical Engineers and in accordance with IS EN 1997-2 and BS5930.

Where laboratory classification tests have been carried out on soil or rock samples then these visual descriptions have been amended accordingly to take into account the results of these classification tests.

Representative samples recovered from trial pits that were carried out on site by others (and on behalf of the client) were also scheduled for testing in the laboratory.

The test schedules were prepared by the Client's Engineer and included some or all of the following tests on disturbed soil samples:

- * Natural Moisture Content.
- * Atterberg Limits.
- * Particle Size Distribution.
- * Sedimentation.
- Density.
- * Point Load (Set of 10).

The records of these laboratory tests carried out on trial pit soil samples are included as Appendix 5 of this factual report.



The records of all fieldwork, laboratory test results and photographs are included in the appendices of this Factual Report.

Ronan Killeen Chartered Engineer Irish Drilling Limited August 16th 2019



Project	Croag	gh Wind I	Farm			Location							Ι	DRILLHOLE No			
Jah Na			Data			Crow	nd Laval (m	Co	Leitrim	atas ()				_	BH	1 01	
20	19I M	102	Date 11-	-06-19		Grou	296.90	n (UD)	F 58	33,929	8 N 83	23 187	7 2				
Enginee	er	102	15	00 17			270.77	, 	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.0 11 02	25,10	.2	S	heet	1 of	3
1	Fehily	Timoney	& Co											R	ev. REV		
RU	N DE'	TAILS						S	TRATA						1		ent/
Depth	TCR (SCP)	(SPT) Fracture	Red'cd	T	Dept	h			DES	SCRIP	TION					logy	rum(
Date	RQD	Spacing	Level	Legend	ness)	Dis	scontinuitie	s	Detail Ma			Aain			Gec	Inst	
11-060.00					- - - - - -	0.0	0.00 - 17.40 : overburden. Open hole					drilling	- no r	ecover	y.		
1.50	0 (-) -	(5) NA			(4.20)												
3.00		(30/30mm	1)														
4.20	50		292.79	¥~ · ×	- 4.2	0						1					
<u>4.60</u> 11-06 <u>5.10</u> 11-065.10 <u>6.10</u>	(-) 80 (-) 20 (-) -	(15/30mn	n)	~ Q, Q ~Q, XO, Q 5						v gb is gr an as C b	ravelly stiff d ravelly silf oulders. S subangul rey and bl nd brown ssorted lig fore run - 4 rown sand	ark gre ty CLA and is t ar fine ack silt sandsto ht brow 4.60m t lstone b	AY with fine to to coa stone one. Co vn san to 5.10 poulde	htly sai h cobb coarse irse of a and lin obbles dstone)m: 1 N r 390m	ndy les and e. Gravel assorted nestone are of t. No light nm in		
7.80	29 (-)			۵ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰ ۵۰						le C bi le	ength. Fore run - 4 rown sand ength.	5.10m t Istone b	to 6.10 boulde	0m: 1 N r 290m	No light nm in		
11-06/.80	29 (-)	(20/30mn	1)	\$.\$\; \$.\$.\$\; \$.\$.	- - - - - - - - - - - -												
9.20 11-06 9.60 11-069.60	100 (-) 100 (-)	(50/0mm)	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×													
10.80	-	NA		*` * ? ? ? ?	(13.20))											
	Dri	lling Pro	gress and	Wate	r Obse	ervatio	ons			Rotarv	/ Flush				GENE	RAI	
Date	Tii	me Dep	th Dept	Casing h D	ia Co	re Dia mm	Wat Strike	er Standing	From (m)	To (n	n) Type	Retur	n (%)		REMA	RKS	
11-06-19	9 17.	00 10.8	30 4.50) 99	9	63			0	30.30	0 water	10	00	50mr Resp 13.60	n standpip onse zone Om to 30.3	e insta from 0m bg	ılled. I.
All dime me Scale	All dimensions in metres Scale 1:68.75 Client: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan					Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Plant Used Design PMcG				ler G	Logged	By EA	Т				



Project	Croag	h Wind	Farm			Location								Ι	DRILLHOLE No		
Joh No			Data			Grou	nd Laval (Co	Leitrim	atas ()					BH	101	
	101 M1	102	Date 11	-06-19		Grou	nd Level (206 0	m OD) a	E 58	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	8 N 83	2 1 8 7	12				
Enginee	er	102	15	-00-19			290.9	9	E Je	5,929	.0 11 02	.5,107	.2	S	heet	$\frac{2}{2}$ of	3
l	Fehily ⁷	Timoney	v & Co											R	ev. REV	2 01	5
RU	N DET	FAILS						S	TRATA						-1	_	ent/
Depth	TCR (SCR)	(SPT) Fracture	Red'cd	Lagand	Dept (Thick-	h			DES	SCRIP	TION					ology	trum ckfill
Date	RQD	Spacing	Level		ness)	Dis	scontinuiti	es	Det	ail	r	N	1ain	.1		Ge	Insi Bae
<u>12.20</u> 11-06	50 (-) - - 88 (-)			~ Q, Q .Q ×Q ×Q Q Q v ×Q *Q *Q ×Q *Q Q v ×Q *Q *Q *Q *Q *Q *Q	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					V gy bo is gy au as (C C li	Yery stiff d ravelly silf oulders. S subangul rey and bla nd brown i ssorted lig <i>continued</i>) core run - 1 ght brown	ark gre y CLA and is f ar fine f ack silt: sandsto ht brow 2.20m sandst	Y slight Y with ine to to coan stone a one. Co yn sand to 13. one bo	ntly san n cobb coarse rse of a and lin obbles dstone 80m:	ndy les and c. Gravel assorted nestone are of 1 No 560mm		
<u>13.80</u> 11-06	100			°. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °. °							i lengtn.						
11-06 16.80 11-06	69 (-) -			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	-												
<u>18.20</u> 11-06	100 (39) 14	3 NI	279.59		17.4	0 17. spa loc 10° thio	.40 - 30.30 aced, local ally mediu ⁹ , planar, s ck dark gr) Disconting ly very clos im spaced, mooth, with ey silt smea	uities, close ely spaced, dipping 8 to 1 0.5 to 3mi r.	ely M bl o fi m	fedium str lackish gro ne grainec	ong thi ey sligh l LIME	nly lar tly bic STON	ninate oclastic IE.	d dark c silty		
<u>19.60</u> 11-06	100 (94) 35	15			-	17. wea 18. pla thio	.60 - 18.0(athered ro .80 - 19.1(.nar, smoo ck dark gr) Non-intac ck.) Joint, sub th, with 0.5 ey silt smea	t as vertical dip, to 2mm r, open.	,							
21.20	100 (84) 35	28				20. pla thic sur ope	.30 - 20.50 nar, smoo ck dark gr ficial fine en.) Joint, sub th, with 0.5 ey silt smea ly dissemin	vertical dip, to 2mm r and mino ated pyrite,	, r							
	100	20			-												
		ling Dro	oress and		r Obac	21.	.80 - 22.10) 2 No para	llel joints,	Rotor	7 Fluch				CENT		ŀ∙⊟••
Date	Tin	ne De	oth Dept			re Dia	UIIS Va Strike	ter Standing	From (m)	To (n	n) Type	Retur	n (%)		GENE	kal RKS	
			Dept	<u>n</u> [-D]		<u>mm</u>	Strike	Standing						50mr Resp 13.60	n standpip onse zone)m to 30.3	e insta from 0m bgl	lled.
All dime me Scale	All dimensions in metres Scale 1:68.75 Client: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan					Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Lo Plant Used Design PMcG						Logged	Logged By EAT				



Project	Croag	h Wind I	Farm		Location								DRILLHOLE No				
			D (o Leitrim					BH01					
Job No	101 M1	102	Date 11	-06-19	Gro	206 00	Co-Ordina	()	0 N 02	2 1 9 7	r						
Engine	er	102	15	-00-19		290.99	E Jo	5,929	.0 IN 023	5,107	2	Sł	neet	3 of	3		
]	Fehily '	Timoney	v & Co									Re	ev. REV	5 01	5		
RU	N DEI	TAILS					STRATA					1	1		nt/		
Depth	TCR	(SPT)	Red'cd	De	pth		DES	SCRIP	TION					logy	rume kfill		
Date	RQD	Fracture Spacing	Level	Legend (Thic ness)	k- D	Discontinuities	Det	ail		Geo	Insti Bacl						
<u>22.80</u> 11-06	(97) 32	25			su 0. m oj 2.	ubvertical dip, planar 0.5 to 1mm thick grey ninor finely dissemina pen. 2.20 - 22.75 Joint, su	, smooth, with silt smear and ted pyrite, bvertical dip,	h M d bl fi 22	ledium stro lackish grey ne grained 2.45m to 22	ng thinl y slightl LIMES 2.47m: c	ly lan y bio TON cubic	ninateo clastic E. <i>(co</i> pyrite	d dark c silty <i>ntinued)</i> c.				
	100 (93) 51	10			90) u	blanar, smooth, with 0 hick dark grey silt sm 3.50 - 23.65 Joint, ve indulating, rough, wit lark grey silt smear ar	.5 to 1mm ear, open. rtical dip, n 0.5mm thic d minor	r, open. ical dip, 0.5mm thick minor									
<u>24.40</u> 11-06	100	11			sı oj	urficial finely dissem pen.	inated pyrite, 24.10m to 24.15m:					grey si	ilt.				
<u>25.60</u> 11-06	74	13			2: u	5.00 - 25.20 Joint, su indulating, tight.	bvertical dip,	overtical dip,									
27.20	100 (95) 50	11			20 ui gi	26.45 - 26.70 Joint, vertical dip, undulating, rough, with 0.5mm thick grey silt smear and minor orange											
11-06	100 (86)	20			bi	vrown iron stain, open	rtical din	2	7.85m to 27	7.90m: l	light ;	grey si	ilt.				
<u>28.80</u> 11-06	36	16	_		ui th m 23	indulating, smooth, w hick grey silt smear, c noderately wide. 8.85 - 29.10 Joint, su	ith 0.5 to 3m pen to bvertical dip,	n									
/08/19	100 (91) 47	12			st th	tepped, smooth, with hick grey silt smear, c	0.5 to 2mm pen.										
* <u>30.30</u>		5	266.69		.30			В	H terminat	ed at 30	.30m	n bgl o	n REs		:.8:		
ILY 24 2019.GPJ IDL TP TEMPLATE.GI								in	struction.				_				
	Dril	ling Pro	gress and	Water Ob	servat	tions ia Water		Rotary	r Flush	D :			GENE	RAL			
Date 12-06-1 13-06-1 13-06-1 13-06-1	Date Time Depth Depth Depth Dia Corn 12-06-19 17.00 28.80 10.50 99 6 13-06-19 13.00 30.30 10.50 99 6				63 63	Dia Water <u>Strike Standing</u> Strike Standing				Return	(%)	50mm Respo 13.60	REMA n standpip onse zone 0m to 30.3	KKS e insta from 0m bgl	lled.		
Scale	All dimensions in Client: McCarthy Keville metres Scale 1:68.75 O'Sullivan					Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Drille Plant Used PMcC						ler Logged By G EAT					



Project	Croag	h Wind	Farm			Location								DRILLHOLE No			
		, 					Co	Leitrim					BH02				
Job No	1073.6		Date 06-	-06-19	Grou	nd Level ((m OD)	Co-Ordina	ates ()	0. NT 00					102		
20	19LM	102	10-	-06-19		304.6	8	E 58	5,103.	.0 N 82	23,398	8.3		haat	1 . f	2	
Engine	er Fehilv	Timonev	& Co										5	neet	1 01	3	
			aco					TDATA					K	$\frac{ev. KEv}{l}$		5	
KU	IN DE	(SPT)			enth			DES		TION					gy	imen fill	
Depth	(SCR) ROD	Fracture	Level	Legend (Thi	ck-	scontinuiti	ies	DEC			N	Main			Geold	nstru 3ack	
06-060.00	KQD	spacing			0.0	DiscontinuitiesDetail0.00 - 4.80 : overburden.Open hole drilling - no							ecover	y.	+	88	
1.50	0 (-) -	(11) NA (41)			20)												
<u>4.50</u> 06-064.50		(30/30mn NA	300.48 n) 299.88		4.20 50) 4.80	0.010.	T		St	iff dark g	reenish	1 brow	n SILT	ſ.			
6.10	100 (70) 0				4.8 ver 4.8 ext spa loc	30 - 9.10 N ry closely s 31 - 30.30 tremely clo aced to 6.9 cally very c	Non-intact a spaced disc Discontinui osely and ve 20m, then cl closely and ved dipping	iscontinuities. nuities, very closely spaced, a closely spaced, ind locally ing 10 to 12°					minate oclastic NE. Loo les.				
7.60	100 (81) 0	NI			pla thic bro	blanar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm hick dark grey silt smear and orange prown iron stain to 6.90m.											
	100 (49) 0								8.	60m to 9.	00m: v	veak th	ninly la	uminated			
9.10 06-06 9.50	25 (0) 0	NR			9.1 9.3 and	0 - 9.30 C 0 - 18.40 d very clos	CAVITY. Non-intact sely spaced	as closely	gr	cy sin as	possio	ie resid	10110	СК.			
	100 (67) 0				dis	continuitie	es.										
	Dri	lling Pro	gress and	Water Ob	oservati	ons		I	Rotary	Flush				GENE	RAL		
Date	Date Time Depth Casing Co						ater Standing	From (m)	To (m	i) Type	Retur	m (%)		REMA	RKS		
06-06-1	9 17.	00 11.	00 4.50) 99	63			0	30.30) polyme	r 10	00	2 gall 50mr Resp to 30	lons polyc n standpip onse zone .30m bgl.	rill use be insta from 4	d. lled. ⊦.00m	
Image: Second control of the second control of th						Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Log Plant Used Design PMcG						Logged	ged By EAT				



Project	Croag	h Wind	Farm			Location								DRILLHOLE No		
Joh No			Data		Cro	und Loval (Co	Leitrim	atas ()					BH	102	
JOD NO)19I M1	102	Date 06	-06-19 -06-19	GIOL	304 69	m () () 8	F 58	$\frac{1}{103}$	0 N 82	2 208	23				
Engine	er	102	10	-00-17		504.00	5	L 50	55,105.	.0 10 02	23,370		S	heet	$\frac{1}{2 \text{ of}}$	3
	Fehily '	Timoney	v & Co										R	ev. REV	_ 01	2
RU	IN DET	TAILS					S	TRATA							>	ent/
Depth	TCR (SCR)	(SPT) Fracture	Red'cd	D Legend (Thi	epth ck-			DES	SCRIP	TION					olog	trum ckfill
Date 06-06	RQD	Spacing	Level	ness) Di	viscontinuitie	es	Detail Main						d darls	Ge	L Ba
12.50 06-06	100 (98) 58				11 pla thi ora	1.10 - 11.35 lanar, smoot nick grey silt range brown	Joint, sub h, with 0.5 t smear and h iron stain,	vertical dip, to 1mm 1 minor , open.	y bl fii w	ackish gra ne grainec eak along	ong thi ey sligh I LIME discon	tinuitio	innate oclastic IE. Lo es. <i>(co</i>	c silty cally <i>ontinued</i>)		
<u>14.00</u> 06-06	100 (92) 34	NI														
<u>15.40</u> 06-06	100 (80) 0				I I <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>											
17.40	100 (71) 18				thi 16 pla	iick dark gre 6.90 - 17.40 lanar, smoot	Joint, sub h, with 0.5	vertical dip	,							
06-06 18.40 06-06	100 (72) 15				.50) 17 pla thi	7.50 - 18.05 lanar, smoot nick dark gre 8.40 - 19.90	Joint, sub h, with 0.5 ey silt smea	vertical dip to 1mm ur, open.	,							
E.GDT 14/08/19	93 (47) 0	NR/NI			an dis wa rec	nd very close iscontinuitie vashout of firecord of cave	ely spaced s. No reco nes during ity.	very as drilling. No	5							
19.90 06-06 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	100 (86) 0				pk thi 19 an dis	lanar, smoot lanar, smoot sick dark gre 9.90 - 30.30 nd very closs iscontinuitie	h, with 0.5 ey silt smea Non-intac ely spaced s.	to 1mm tr, open. t as closely								
201 00-00																
	100	lin - P							D at -	. F1 -1]	[[:日:
Date	Dril Tin	ne Der	oth Dorth	a water Ot	Core Dia	a Wa	ter	From (m)	Kotary To (m	Type	Retur	n (%)		GENE REMA	KAL RKS	
UK DH CROAGH WF RC FILE R			Dept	h Dia	mm	Strike	Standing		10 (11			II (70)	2 gal 50mr Resp to 30	lons polyd n standpip onse zone .30m bgl.	rill use insta from 4	d. Iled. .00m
All dim	All dimensions in metres Scale 1:68.75 Client: McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan					Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Plant Used PMcG						er Logged By G EAT				



Project Croagh Wind Farm													Ι	DRILLHOLE No				
Lob No. Dot-						Co Leitrim									BH02			
Job No	101 M	102	Date 06-06-19			Ground Level (m OD)			Co-Ordinates () E 585 102 0 N 822 208 2))					
Engineer						E 303,103.0 IN 823,39							5.5	S	Sheet 3 of 3			
	 Fehily '	Timoney	% Co											R	ev REV	5 01	5	
RUN DETAILS STRATA													1.1	1		nt/		
Depth TCR (SPT)			Red'cd		Dept	h DESCRIPTION									logy	kfill		
Date	Date (SCR) Fracture RQD Spacing			Legend	(Thick- ness)	Dis	Discontinuities Detail Main								Geo	Instr Bacl		
<u>22.90</u> 06-06	(83) 29 100 (96) 24						Medium strong thinly lam blackish grey slightly bloc fine grained LIMESTONI weak along discontinuities								d dark c silty cally <i>ntinued)</i>			
<u>24.40</u> 06-06 <u>26.00</u> 06-06	100 (89) 43	NI				23. plat thic 24. plat thic	 23.90 - 24.10 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm thick grey silt smear, open. 24.70 - 25.10 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm thick grey silt smear, open. 											
<u>27.40</u> 06-06	100 (88) 23																	
29.00 06-06 30.30	100 (96) 24		274.38		30.30	28.30 - 29.00 2 No para subvertical dip, planar, 0.5 to 1 mm thick dark g smear, open. 30.00 - 30.30 Joint, sub planar, smooth, with 0.3			llel joints, mooth, wit rey silt vertical dip, to 1mm	h	H termina	ted at 3	30.30r	n bgl o	n REs			
									п, ореп.	/ in	instruction.							
Drilling Progress and Water Obs							ervations Ro				ary Flush				GENERAL			
Date 07-06-11 12-06-11 12-06-11	Date Time Dep .06-19 17.00 29.0 .06-19 12.00 30.0		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		re Dia mm 63 63	Mater <u>m Strike Standi</u> 3 3		From (m)	To (m	i) Type	Retur	n (%)	REMA 2 gallons polydi 50mm standpip Response zone to 30.30m bgl.		rill used. pe installed. from 4.00m			
All dime	ensions i etres 1:68.75	n Client:	McCarthy Keville O'Sullivan			Method/ Hydreq Plant Used					Bit HQ Drille Design PMcC		ler G	Logged By EAT				


Project	Croag	gh Wind I	Farm					Loca	ation					Ι	ORILLH	IOLE	No
<u> </u>		-						Co	Leitrim					_	BH	103	
Job No	101 14	102	Date 31	-05-19		Groun	d Level (m OD)	Co-Ordina	ates ()	17 10	22.210	•				
Enging	JI9LM	102	05	-06-19			284.3	2	E 38	\$9,96	1./ N 8.	22,212	2.9		haat	1 of	2
Lingine	zı Fehilv	Timonev	& Co													1 01	3
DI			<u>a co</u>											K	$\frac{ev. \text{ KEV}}{1}$		Ę
Donth	TCR	(SPT)	D - 11 - 1		Depth				DES	SCRI	PTION					ogy	fill
Date	(SCR)	Fracture	Level	Legend (T	Thick-	Disc	ontinuiti	es	Det	ail		Ν	<i>I</i> ain			Geol	nstr 3ack
31-050.00)	Spacing				0.00	- 10.70	: overburde	en.		Open hole	drilling	- no re	ecover	у.		
1.50 3.00	0 (-) -	(76/150mi NA (50/225mi	n) n)		4.40)												
4.40 ³¹⁻⁰⁵ 4.50) 17 (-) -	(50/75mn	279.92 n)	× 0, 0 × 0, 0 × 0, 0 × 0, 0	4.40						Very stiff of gravelly sil Sand is fin subangular grey and bl	lark gre ty CLA fine to coa	ey sligh Y with rse. G coarse	ntly san h cobb ravel is e of ass	ndy les. s sorted		
<u>5.60</u> 31-05 6.00) 40 (-) -	(50/75mn	n)	×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×, ×							and brown assorted lig	sandsto the brow	one. Co vn san	dstone	are of		
7.10 31-05 8.00 31-05) 100 (-) -	NA		© × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0	6.30)												
9.00 31-05 31-05 31-05 31-05 10.00 31-05	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ (-) \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	-		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$													
Y 24 2019.1	19 (0)		273.62		10.70	10.7	0 - 14.90) Non-intac	et as								
	Dri	lling Pro	gress and	l Water (Observ	vatio	ns]	Rota	ry Flush				GENE	RAL	··• *
Date	Ti	me Dep	oth Dept	Casing hDia	Core	e Dia m	Wa Strike	ter <u>Stand</u> ing	From (m)	То ((m) Type	Retur	n (%)		REMA	RKS	
31-05-1 31-05-1	1-05-19 17.00 7.10 4.50 99 63						0 10	10. 30.	00 polyme 20 polyme	er 1(er 1(00 00	2 gal 50mr Resp 10.00	lons polyd m standpip onse zone Om to 30.2	rill use e insta from 0m bgl	d. lled.		
All dim	ensions etres 1:68.75	in Client:	McCarthy I O'Sullivan	Keville	Me	ethod/ ant Us	Hyd Sed	Ireq			Bit I Design	IQ	Drill PMc	er G	Logged	By EA	Г



	Project	Croag	h Wind	Farm	tion					Ι	ORILLH	IOLE	No					
	* 1								Co	Leitrim					_	BH	103	
	Job No	101 M	102	Date 31	-05-19		Grour	nd Level (mOD)	Co-Ordina	ates ()	7 110	12 212	0				
	Enginee	19LM	102	03	-00-19			284.3	2	E 38	9,901	./ IN 82	22,212	9	S	heet	$\frac{1}{2}$ of	3
	Ingine	Fehilv <i>'</i>	Timones	/ & Co												av DEV	2 01	5
] [, u ee											K	$\frac{ev. \text{ KEV}}{1}$		4
	KU.	TCR	(SPT)			Dent	h		3			TION					gy	ill
	Depth Date	(SCR)	Fracture	Red'cd Level	Legend	(Thick-	Dia	oontinuiti		DEC		HON	N	lain			ieolc	nstru tackf
•	<u>11.60</u> 31-05 <u>13.10</u> 31-05	67 (0) 0 67 (0) 0	NI	2		(4.20)	wez	thered ro	es		W R si st sc rc	⁷ eathered ecovered zed clasts rong black me black cck. <i>(conti</i>	SILTST as fine f of wea k fine g silt as j inued)	TONE to coar k loca rained probat	rock. rse gra lly me siltsto ble resi	ivel dium one with idual	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	<u>14.60</u> 31-05	0 100 (86) 41	12	269.42		14.9	0 14.9 spa loca 10°	90 - 30.2(ced, local ally mediu , planar, s) Discontini ly very clos im spaced, imooth, with	uities, close ely spaced, dipping 8 to 0.5 to 1 mr	ly M bl o Si m di	ledium str ackish gr LTSTON scontinui	ong thi ey calca IE. Loca ties.	nly lar areous ally we	ninate fine g eak alc	d dark rained ong		
	<u>16.10</u> 31-05	100 (96) 35	18	_	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	16. plan thic 17.0 plan	50 - 16.65 par, smoo k dark gr 00 - 17.40 par, smoo	5 Joint, subv th, with 0.5 ey silt smea) Joint, subv th, with 0.5	vertical dip, to 1mm r, open. vertical dip, to 1mm								
4/08/19	10.20	100 (94) 78	9	_			thic	k dark gr	ey silt smea	r, open.								
EMPLATE.GDT 1	<u>19.50</u> 31-05	100 (90) 38	15		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	- - - - - - - - - - -	19. plan thic 19.0 'U' s to 1	10 - 19.85 nar, smoo k dark gr 50 - 19.85 shaped, p mm thick	th, with 0.5 ey silt smea 5 Joint, sub lanar, smoo	to 1mm r, open. vertical dip, th, with 0.5 silt smear.								
GPJ IDL TP T	<u>20.90</u> 31-05		28	_		- - - - - - - -	ope	n.			20 20).60m to 2).90m to 2	20.64m 21.10m	: weak : weak	residu residu	ual rock. ual rock.		
JLY 24 2019		100 (51) 15	NI			-												
<u>111</u>		Dril	ling Pro	ogress and	Wate	r Obse	rvatio	ons	tor	I	Rotary	Flush	1_			GENE	RAL	
3 UK DH CROAGH WF RC FILE RE	Date 04-06-19	Date Time Depth Depth Depth 06-19 17.00 19.30 9.00 99					re Dia mm 63	Strike	iter Standing	From (m)	To (m	i) Type	Return	n (%)	2 gall 50mr Resp 10.00	REMA lons polyd n standpip onse zone 0m to 30.2	IRKS rill use instal from 0m bgl	d. lled.
IDL AGS.	All dime me Scale	ensions i etres 1:68.75	n Client:	McCarthy O'Sullivan	Keville]	Method Plant U	Hyd Hyd	lreq			Bit H Design	IQ	Drill PMc	er G	Logged	By EA	Г



Image: constraint of the set of	Project	Project Croagh Wind Farm Location													Ι	DRILLE	IOLE	No	
Joh No Date 21-05-19 Cound Level (mOD) E 589,961.7 N 822,212.9 Engineer Steet 3 of 3 Rev Rev Rev RUN DETAILS Departing Departing Departing Departing Date J. 66, 10 Parting Rev Rev Rev Date J. 66, 10 Parting Rev Rev Rev Rev Date J. 66, 10 Parting Rev Rev Rev Rev Date J. 66, 10 Parting Rev Rev Rev Rev Date J. 66, 11 Statistics Departing Discontinuities Deat Main Rev Rev ROB Spacing Rev Rev Rev Rev Rev Rev J. 66 11 Statistics Rev	<u> </u>								Co	Leitrim						B⊦	103		
Disperit Constraint Observation Constraint Sheet 3 of 3 Figure Rev	Job No	101 M	102	Date 31	-05-19		Grou	nd Level ((m OD)	Co-Ordin	ates ()	7 11 97	00 010	0					
Pehily Timoney & Co Rev. PEV	Engine	er	102	03	-00-19			204.3	2	E 36	59,901	./ 18 02	22,212	2.9	S	heet	3 of	3	
STRATA Depth Discontinuities Discontinuities Detail Discontinuities Detail Discontinuities Detail Discontinuities Detail Multinuities Discontinuities Detail Multinuities Detail Multinuities Detail Multinuities Continued Sign colspan="2" Discontinuities Detail Multinuities Continue Discontinuities Detail Multinuities Continue Multinuities Continue Discontinuities Detail Multinuities Continue Multinuities Continue Multinuities Continue Multinuities Continue Multinuities Continue Continue Multinuities Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue <th colsp<="" td=""><td>]</td><td>Fehily'</td><td>Timoney</td><td>/ & Co</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>R</td><td>ev. REV</td><td>5 01</td><td>5</td></th>	<td>]</td> <td>Fehily'</td> <td>Timoney</td> <td>/ & Co</td> <td></td> <td>R</td> <td>ev. REV</td> <td>5 01</td> <td>5</td>]	Fehily'	Timoney	/ & Co											R	ev. REV	5 01	5
Depth TCR (SQ) Refut Spacing Refut Level Depth (scontinuities Depth DESCRIPTION Space Space </td <td>RU</td> <td>N DE</td> <td>FAILS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S</td> <td>TRATA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>nt/</td>	RU	N DE	FAILS						S	TRATA						1		nt/	
Date Fracture System Tevel result Obscontinuities Detail Main § § § 22.50 (17) (10) (14) (14) (15) (14) (15) (14) (15) (14) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16	Depth	TCR	(SPT)	Red'cd		Dept	h			DES	SCRIF	PTION					logy	kfill	
22.50 1100 171 100 171 100 100 100 100 100 1	Date	-(SCR) RQD	Fracture Spacing	Level	Legend	(Thick- ness)	Dis	scontinuiti	ies	Det	ail		N	<i>I</i> ain			Geo	Insti Bacl	
Image: Second	22.50		28		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	(15.30	0				N b S	Aedium str lackish gro SILTSTON	ong thi ey calca E. Loc	inly lar areous ally w	ninate fine g eak alc	d dark grained			
140 15 15 15 16 17 16	51 05	100	20	_							d	iscontinui	ties. (co	ontinue	ed)	0			
31.05 100 8 100 100 8 100		(74) 41	15		× × × × × × × × ×														
I 00 (10) (110) (110) (11) (10) (11) (10) (11) (10) (10	24.10 31-05																		
31-65 11 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		100 (96)	8																
31-05 11 11 11 10	25.60	89			× × × × × × × × ×														
100 31.65 10 100 (96) 31.65 10 100 (96) 28 26 80 27.50 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm thick dark grey silt smear, open. 28.60 29 28 29 28 29 20	31-05			_	X X X X X X X X X X X X						U	JCS @ 25.	60m to	27.10	m: stro	ong.			
27.10 NI 31.65 NI 28.60 29 28.60 29 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		100 (75) 41	10		× × × × × × × × ×														
100 (99) 31-05 28 29 100 (85) 20 28 28 100 254.12 100 254.12 28 254.12 28 254.12 29 254.12 29 254.12 30.20 29 10 30.20 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. 30.20 10 254.12 30.20 30.20 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. 20 10<	27.10		NI		× × × × × × × × ×		26. pla	80 - 27.50 nar, smoo) Joint, sub th, with 0.5	vertical dip to 1mm	,								
28.00 29 29 28.00 - 28.70 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm thick dark grey silt smear, open. 100 29 29 29 29.15 - 30.20 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to 1mm thick dark grey silt smear, open. 30.20 20 254.12 30.20 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. 30.20 10 254.12 30.20 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. 30.20 10 254.12 30.20 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs instruction. 20 10 254.12 50.20 Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		100	28				thic	ck dark gr	ey silt smea	ar, open.									
Z8.60 29 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		39		_			28. pla	00 - 28.70 nar, smoo) Joint, sub th, with 0.5	vertical dip to 1mm	,								
100 (85) 20 28 29.15 - 30.20 Joint, subvertical dip, planar, smooth, with 0.5 to Imm thick dark grey silt smear, open. 30.20 10 254.12 30.20 10 10 10 105-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63	<u>28.60</u> 31-05		29		× × × × × × × × ×		thio	ek dark gr	ey silt smea	ar, open.									
30.20 23 24 254.12		100 (85) 20	20		× × × × × × × × ×		29. pla	15 - 30.20 nar, smoo) Joint, sub th, with 0.5	vertical dip to 1mm	,								
Jobson 10 Lotiniz V V V Jobson 10 BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs Init V V V BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs Init V V V Init V V V BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V BH terminated at 30.20m bgl on REs Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Date Drilling Progress and Water Observations Rotary Flush REMARKS Date Time Depth Depth Init V V V Strike 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) Quarter Init V V V Quarter Init V V V Quarter Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Init V V V Quarter Init V V V V Init V V V V	61/80/1 30.20	20	28	254.12	× × × × × × × × ×	E 30.2	thio	ck dark gr	ey silt smea	ar, open.									
All dimensions in Client: McCarthy Keville Method/, Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By All dimensions in Client: McCarthy Keville Method/, Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By			10			50.2					E	BH termina	ted at 3	30.20n	n bgl o	on REs			
Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia mm Strike Stading 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 04 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Stading From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) Remarks 04 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Stading From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) Remarks 041 dimensions in metres Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By Metres OSUlling n Osulling n Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By	ATE.G										11	istruction.							
Drilling Progress and Water Observations Rotary Flush GENERAL REMARKS Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia Strike Stading 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 2 gallons polydrill used. 50mm standpipe installed. Response zone from 10.00m to 30.20m bgl. All dimensions in metrees Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By	EMPLA																		
Drilling Progress and Water Observations Rotary Flush Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia mm Water Strike From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike	E d																		
Open Drilling Progress and Water Observations Rotary Flush Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia mm Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) REMARKS 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) REMARKS 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Standing Image:																			
Drilling Progress and Water Observations Rotary Flush Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia min Strike Strike From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 2 gallons polydrill used. 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Strike Image: Strike	019.61																		
Drilling Progress and Water Observations Date Time Depth Depth Core Dia mm Water Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) GENERAL REMARKS 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63 Image: Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) 2 gallons polydrill used. Somm standpipe installed. Response zone from 10.00m to 30.20m bgl. Very Marker Strike All dimensions in metres Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By	7 24 2																		
Date Time Depth Depth Depth Depth Depth Mail Strike Standing From (m) To (m) Type Return (%) REMARKS 05-06-19 17.00 30.20 9.00 99 63		Dril	lling Pro	gress and	l Wate	r Obse	rvati	ons	atar		Rotary	y Flush	-			GENE	RAL		
All dimensions in metres Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By Part Liged Design Differ Logged By	\mathbb{Z} Date	9 17	$\begin{array}{c c} ne & Dep \\ \hline 00 & 30 \end{array}$	20 0 0	$h \mid D$	$\frac{1}{9}$	<u>mm</u> 63	Strike	Standing	From (m)	To (n	n) Type	Retur	n (%)	2 001	KEMA		d	
All dimensions in metres Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By Plant Used Plant Used Design DMac EAT		<i>y</i> 17.		20 9.00			05								50mr Resp	m standpip	e insta	lled.	
Solution Solution Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By Solution OSullivan Plant Used Bit HQ Driller Logged By	8 HD														10.00	0m to 30.2	0m bgl	l.	
All dimensions in metres Client: McCarthy Keville Method/ Hydreq Bit HQ Driller Logged By Bit HQ Driller CSullivan Design DMac EAT	CROA																		
Image: Second state Image: Second st	H																		
\overline{c}	All dime	ensions i etres	n Client:	McCarthy I O'Sullivan	Keville		Metho Plant I	d/ Hyc Jsed	lreq		<u> </u>	Bit H Design	IQ	Drill	er G	Logged	By EA	Г	



Project	Croag	gh Wind I	Farm					Loca	ation					Ι	ORILLH	OLE	No	,
L-h N-			Dete			C		Co	Leitrim	- + 0				_	BH	104		
JOD NO	101 M	102	Date 29-	-05-19		Grour	$\frac{10}{270}$	(m OD)	Co-Ordina E 59	ates () 26-73	72 N 8'	21 250	0 0					
Enginee	er	102	50	-05-17			219.2	.4	Е Эс	50,75	7.2 1002	21,20		Sł	heet	1 of	3	
	Fehily	Timoney	& Co											R	ev. REV		2	
RU	N DE	TAILS						S	TRATA						1		nt/	
Depth	TCR	(SPT)	Red'cd		Dept	h			DES	SCRI	PTION					logy	ume	kfill
Date	(SCR) RQD	Fracture Spacing	Level	Legend	(Thick- ness)	Dis	continuit	ies	Det	ail		N	Main			Geo	Insti	Bac
²⁹⁻⁰⁵ 0.00						0.0	0 - 6.20 :	overburden	l.		Open hole	drilling	; - no re	cover	у.		\mathbb{X}	Ň
1.50	0 (-)	(20/\$Ømm	1)		(3.00)													
3.00			276.24		3.0	0												
29-053.00		(50/0mm))	10. ×							Very stiff of gravelly sil	lark gre ty CLA	ey sligh Y with	tly sar cobbl	ndy les.			
<u>4.50</u> 29-054.50	80 (-) -	(50 <u>/</u> 0,mm))	0, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(3.20)						Sand is find subangular grey and bl and brown assorted lig	e to coa fine to ack silt sandsto th brow	arse. Gr coarse tstone a one. Co wn sand	avel is of ass and lim obbles lstone.	sorted nestone are of			
				ÂXX. O														
6.00 6.40	32 (0) 0	<u>(50/30mm</u>	<u>n) 273.04</u>		6.2	0 6.20	0 - 9.80 1	Non-intact a	s weathered	1	Weathered	SILTS	TONE	rock.				
29-05	100 (10) 0					rocl	K.				Recovered black fine g residual roo	as wea grained ck.	k thinly silts as	y lamii s proba	nated able			
9.30	100 (30) 0	NI			(3.60)													
- 29-03			269.44		9.8	0											Ē	
10.70 29-05	100 (24) 0			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×		9.80 extr clos clos dip	0 - 30.20 remely clo sely space sely, loca ping 10 to	Discontinut osely spaced ed to 26.00r lly medium o 12°, plana	ities, d, locally ve n, then spaced, r, smooth,	ery	Medium str blackish gr grained SII along disco	rong the ey fissi LTSTO ontinuit	inly lan ile calca NE. Lo ies.	ninateo areous ocally v	d dark fine weak			
	Dri	lling Pros	gress and	Wate	r Obse	rvatio	ons			Rota	y Flush				GENE	RAT	▶.* ⊨	<u>+ · ·</u>
Date	Tir	ne Dep	th Dept	Casing		re Dia nm	Wa Strike	ater Standing	From (m)	To (m) Type	Retur	m (%)		REMA	RKS		
				D	<u></u>		Suite	Sunding	0	30.	20 polyme	er 10	00	2 gall 50mm Respo to 30. may t cover	lons polyd n standpip onse zone .20m bgl. o be incorrect	rill use e instal from 3 Co-ord ct due t	d. lled. .20r inat o tro	n es ee
All dime	ensions i	in Client:	McCarthy I O'Sullivan	Keville	1 I	Method Plant U	V Hyc	dreq			Bit H Design	IQ	Drille PMc0	er G	Logged	By EA	Г	_
$\exists Scale$	1:08.75												1	-			-	



Project	Croag	h Wind	Farm					Loca	tion					Ι	ORILLI	HOLE	No
	Clough	ii vviina .	am					Co	Leitrim						Ы	۸UL	
Job No			Date 29	-05-19		Grou	nd Level (1	m OD)	Co-Ordina	ates ()					DI	104	
20	19LM1	.02	30-	-05-19			279.24	4	E 58	36,737	.2 N 82	21,259	.9				
Engine	er Fabiler 7	Firm o m o m	e Co											SI	heet	2 of	3
	Fenily	1 imoney	<i>a</i> co											R	ev. REV	/	
RU	N DEI	AILS (SPT)			Donth			S			TION					gy	ment
Depth Date	(SCR)	Fracture	Red'cd	Legend (Thick-				DES		TION		, ·			eolo	istru: ackf
	RQD	Spacing		n x x x -	iess)	Dis	continuitie	es nm thick d	ark grev silt	t M	ledium str	ong thi	laın 11v laı	ninate	d dark	0	
<u>12.30</u> 29-05	100 (25) 0 100 (27) 0					sme 9.8 clos spa spa	ear. 1 - 30.20 l sely space ced to 26. ced, locall	Non-intact d, locally v 00m, then o ly medium	as extremel ery closely closely spaced.	y gr al	lackish gre rained SIL long disco	ey fissil TSTOM ntinuitio	e calc NE. La es. (co	areous ocally ontinue	fine weak ed)		
<u>13.80</u> 29-05	100 (50) 0																
<u>16.80</u> 29-05	100 (31) 0																
<u>18.30</u> 29-05	100 (35) 0	NI		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×						18	8.30m to 2	25.70m:	black	c thinly	7		
19.80 29-05	100 (35) 0				(20.40)					in	nterlamina	ted grey	γ.				
21.20	100 (38) 0				(20.40)												
	100																
		ling Dro	group on c	<u> × × ×</u>	Ohaa					Potor	, Fluch						<u>ı.H</u> .
Date	Tim	ning PTO	gress and	Casing		e Dia	JIIS Wa	ter .	From (m)	To (m) Type	Return	າ (%)		GENE REMA	KAL RKS	
29-05-1	Date Time Depth Depth Dia -05-19 17.00 17.70 3.00 99					<u>nm</u> 53	Strike	Standing	rioiii (m)	10 (m		Ketuff	1 (70)	2 gall 50mr Resp to 30 may l cover	lons polyo n standpi onse zone .20m bgl. be incorre	drill use pe insta e from 3 Co-ord ect due t	ed. lled. 5.20m linates to tree
All dime Scale	ensions in etres 1:68.75	n Client:	McCarthy l O'Sullivan	Keville		Aethoc Plant U	l/ Hyd Ised	req			Bit H Design	IQ	Drill PMc	er G	Logge	d By EA	Г



Project	Project Croagh Wind Farm Location													Ι	DRILLH	IOLE	No
		, 	-					Co	Leitrim						BH	104	
Job No	101 M	102	Date 29	-05-19		Grou	nd Level ((m OD)	Co-Ordina	ates ()		01 750	0				
Engine	er	102	30	-03-19			219.2	.4	E 38	50,737	.2 IN 82	21,235	9.9		heet	$\frac{3}{3}$ of	3
	Fehily	Timonev	/ & Co											R	ev RFV	5 01	5
RU	N DE							S	TRATA					10	1		nt/
Denth	TCR	(SPT)	Red'cd		Dept	h			DES	SCRIF	PTION					logy	ume dill
Date	(SCR) RQD	Fracture Spacing	g Level	Legend	(Thick- ness)	- Dis	continuiti	ies	Det	ail		Ν	/lain			Geo	Instr Bacl
22.80 29-05 24.10 29-05	(25) 0 100 (87) 0			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×						N b g a	Aedium str lackish gra rained SIL long disco	ong thi ey fissi TSTO ntinuiti	nly lan le calc NE. Lo ies. <i>(co</i>	minated areous ocally ontinue	d dark s fine weak ed)		
<u>25.70</u> 29-05	100 (64) 0				-												
27 20	100 (84) 0	28		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	-	27	00 - 27 30) loint sub	vertical din	2 la	6.00m to 3 aminated.	30.20m	: dark	grey th	hickly		
29-05	100 (84) 38	20		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	- - - - - - - -	pla thic 28.	nar, smoo ck black s 00 - 28.40	th, with 0.5 ilt smear, op 0 Joint, sub	to 1mm pen.	,							
<u>28.80</u> 29-05		22	_	^ × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	- - - - - - -	pla thic	nar, smoo ck black s	th, with 0.5 ilt smear, oj	to 1mm pen.								
BL/80/4 30.20	100 (85) 26	15	249.04	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	30.2	29. pla dril 29. 0 pla	10 - 29.50 nar, smoo lling indue 60 - 30.00 nar, smoo	0 Joint, sub th, clean, op ced? 0 Joint, sub th, with 0.5	vertical dip, pen as vertical dip, to 1mm	,							
					-	\tnic	CK DIACK S	ilt smear, oj	pen.	/ B ir	BH terminanstruction.	ited at 3	30.20r	n bgl o	n REs		
	Dri	lling Pro	ogress and	Water	r Obse	ervatio	ons	tor		Rotary	y Flush	-			GENE	RAL	
Date 30-05-1	DateTimeDepthCasing Depth30-05-1917.0030.206.0099				ia Co	63	Strike	ter Standing	From (m)	To (n	n) Type	Retur	n (%)	2 gall 50mr Resp to 30 may l cover	REMA lons polyd n standpip onse zone .20m bgl. be incorre r.	RKS rill use from 3 Co-ord ct due t	d. lled. .20m linates to tree
All dime	ensions i etres 1:68.75	in Client:	McCarthy O'Sullivan	Keville]	Methoo Plant U	d/ Hyc Jsed	lreq			Bit H Design	IQ	Drill PMc	ler G	Logged	By EA	Г















IRISH DRILLING LTD.		Contract:	Croagh Wind Fa	ırm
Loughrea Co. Galway		Client:	МКО	
		Engineer:	Fehily Timoney	
Tel: (091) 841274 Fax: (091)) 880 861	Date:	24/06/2019	
		Tested by:	DK	
RISING HEAD F	PERMEABILITY	TEST		
Borehole:	BH 01	Ground Leve	<i>I:</i> 296	.99mOD
Bottom of Borehole:	30.30m	Weather;	Fair	
Top of Filter Material	13.60m	Length of Fi	<i>er;</i> 16.7	70m
Boonanaa Zanai	10.00.00.00	Diamatan of	-:// 0.0/	



Remarks: Water pumped out of borehole at commencement of test at rate of 0.25I per second.

IRISH DRILLING LTD.		Contract:	Croagh Wind Farm
Loughrea Co. Galway		Client:	МКО
		Engineer:	Fehily Timoney
Tel: (091) 841274 Fax: (091) 880 8	61	Date:	24/06/2019
		Tested by:	DK
FALLING HEAD PEI	RMEABILITY	TEST	
FALLING HEAD PEI Borehole:	RMEABILITY	TEST Ground Lev	<i>el:</i> 304.68mOD
FALLING HEAD PEI Borehole: Bottom of Borehole:	RMEABILITY вн 02 30.30m	TEST Ground Leve Weather;	<i>el:</i> 304.68mOD Fair
FALLING HEAD PEI Borehole: Bottom of Borehole: Top of Filter Material	RMEABILITY вн 02 30.30m 4.00m	TEST Ground Leve Weather; Length of Fi	<i>el:</i> 304.68mOD Fair <i>ilter;</i> 26.30m



IRISH DRILLING LTD.		Contract:	Croagh Wind Farm
Loughrea Co. Galway		Client:	МКО
		Engineer:	Fehily Timoney
Tel: (091) 841274 Fax: (091)	880 861	Date:	25/06/2019
		Tested by:	DK
FALLING HEAD	PERMEABILITY	Y TEST	
Borehole:	BH 03	Ground Leve	el: 284.32mOD
Bottom of Borehole:	30.20m	Weather;	Fair
Top of Filter Material	10.00m	Length of Fil	<i>ter;</i> 20.20m
Response Zone:	10.00-30.20m	Diameter of	Filter: 0.05m



IRISH DRILLING LTD.		Contract:	Croagh Wind	d Farm
Loughrea Co. Galway		Client:	мко	
		Engineer:	Fehily Timon	iey
Tel: (091) 841274 Fax: (091) 8	80 861	Date:	24/06/2019	
		Tested by:	DK	
RISING HEAD PE Borehole:	RMEABILITY BH 04	TEST Ground Leve	el:	279.24mOD
Bottom of Borehole:	30.20m	Weather;		Fair
Top of Filter Material	3.20m	Length of Fi	ter;	27.00m
Response Zone:	3.20m-30.20m	Diameter of	Filter: (0.05m
Initial Ground Water Level:	1.76m	Installation	vpe:	50mm Standpipe.



IRISH DR Loughrea Co.	ILLING I Galway	LTD.				
Tel: (091) 841274	1 Fax: (091) 8	80861			Operator Checked:	DK RK
Water L	evels ir	ו Standp	ipe Pi	ezometers		
	Date 24.06.2019	Date 25.06.2019				
Boreholes	Τ		Resp	onse Zone (m bgl)	Installation Ty	/pe
BH 01	5.42m		13	.60m -30.30m	50mm Stan	dpipe
BH 02	12.65m		4.(00m - 30.30m	50mm Stan	dpipe
BH 03	+ +	9.66m	10	.00m - 30.20m	50mm Stan	Idpipe
BH 04		1.76m	3.2	20m - 30.20m	50mm Stan	dpipe
	╞───┤					
	1					-
	·		-			
Remarks: All readings	record dep	th from groun	id level to) top of static wat	ter level (m bgl)).

	Sample Details							ar th ive s)	R	ock	Othe	er						
cation	(m) the	ase Depth	ample Type	ample Ref	ate Sampled	onsolidated Drained Triaxial Test	onsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	onsolidated Undrained Triaxial Multis	ock Uniaxial compression	bint Load (set of 10)	\A Test	agnesium Sulphate Soundness	ake Durability					
	<u> </u>	<u>8</u>	- S	Š	11/06/10	ŏ	ŏ	ŭ	Ř	Рс	4	Ě	ö					+
BH01 BH01	4.20	4.20	c		11/06/19	-												+
BH01	4.60	5.10	С		11/06/19													1
BH01 BH01	5.10 6.10	6.10 7.80	<u> </u>		11/06/19	_												+
BH01	7.80	9.20	C		11/06/19													1
BH01	9.20	9.60	С		11/06/19													
BH01	9.60	10.80	С		11/06/19													-
BH01	10.80	12.20	C		12/06/19	-												4
BH01 BH01	13.80	15.20	c		12/06/19	-												4
BH01	15.20	16.80	С		12/06/19													1
BH01	16.80	18.20	С		12/06/19]
BH01	18.20	19.60	C		12/06/19	_												ł
BH01 BH01	21.20	21.20	с С		12/06/19	-												+
BH01	22.80	24.40	C		12/06/19													1
BH01	24.40	25.60	С		12/06/19]
BH01	25.60	27.20	C		12/06/19	_												ł
BH01 BH01	27.20	28.80	С С		12/06/19	-												+
BH02	0.00	4.50	C		06/06/19													-
BH02	4.50	6.10	С		06/06/19					5							Not possible to test 10 pieces	idl
BH02	6.10	7.60	C		06/06/19				0*								*not suitable	idl
BH02 BH02	7.60	9.10	C		06/06/19	-					1							Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH02	9.50	11.00	c		06/06/19							1						Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH02	9.50	11.00	С		06/06/19					5							Not possible to test 10 pieces	idl
BH02	11.00	12.50	С		07/06/19													4
BH02	12.50	14.00	<u>с</u>		07/06/19	-			0*				1				*not suitable	Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH02	15.40	17.40	c		07/06/19				Ŭ		1							Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH02	17.40	18.40	С		07/06/19													1
BH02	18.40	19.90	С		07/06/19													4
BH02	19.90	21.30	C		07/06/19	-				5							Not possible to test 10 pieces	idi
BH02 BH02	22.90	22.90	c		07/06/19					5								
BH02	24.40	26.00	С		07/06/19													1
BH02	26.00	27.40	С		07/06/19	L		\vdash	\vdash		<u> </u>			<u> </u>				4
BH02	27.40	29.00	<u>с</u>		07/06/19	-	-	-	-		-							+
BH03	0.00	4.40	c	<u> </u>	31/05/19			\vdash	\vdash		1							1
BH03	4.40	5.60	С		31/05/19													1
BH03	5.60	7.10	С		31/05/19	Ľ	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash									4
BH03	7.10	8.00	C		04/06/19	-	-	-	-		-							+
BH03	9.00	9.50	c		04/06/19	⊢	-	\vdash	\vdash		-					-		1
BH03	9.50	10.00	C		04/06/19	L												1
BH03	10.00	11.60	С		04/06/19							1						Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH03	11.60	13.10	C		04/06/19	-	╞	-	-	0*	4						^too soft to test	idl
BH03	14.60	16.10	c		04/06/19	⊢	-	\vdash	0*		 					-	*not suitable	idl
BH03	16.10	17.70	С		04/06/19	L	L	L	Ē	5							Not possible to test 10 pieces	idl
BH03	17.70	19.30	С		04/06/19								1					Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH03	19.30	20.90	C		05/06/19	-		-	-	<u> </u>	4	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Coltopt and 10 7 10
BH03	20.90	22.50 24.10	0 C		05/06/19	-	┢	┝	┝	5	<u> </u>	-				-	Not possible to test 10 pieces	idl
BH03	24.10	25.60	c	1	05/06/19	t				Ē	1				-			1
BH03	25.60	27.10	С		05/06/19				1									idl
BH03	27.10	28.60	С		05/06/19					<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			4
BH04	28.60	30.20	<u>с</u>		05/06/19	<u> </u>	╞	-	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		+
BH04	3.00	4.50	c		29/05/19	-		┢	┢		1							1
BH04	4.50	6.40	С		29/05/19		1				1							1

Turnaround

Project ID 2019LM102	Turnaround	
roject Name Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim		-
Schedule ID 2019LM102_2		

		Sar	nple Deta	ails		S (Ei S	Shear tregt ffectiv tress	r h ve s)	R	ock	Othe	۰r				
-ocation	Jepth (m)	3ase Depth	Sample Type	Sample Ref	Date Sampled	Consolidated Drained Triaxial Test	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Multi	Rock Uniaxial compression	Point Load (set of 10)	-AA Test	Magnesium Sulphate Soundness	Slake Durability			
BH04	6.40	7.70	C		29/05/19	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ		0*			0,		*too soft to test	idl
BH04	7.70	9.30	С		29/05/19							1				Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH04	7.70	9.30	С		29/05/19				0*						*not suitable	idl
BH04	9.30	10.70	С		29/05/19						1					Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH04	10.70	12.30	С		29/05/19					0*					*too soft to test	idl
BH04	12.30	13.80	С		29/05/19								1			Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH04	13.80	15.30	С		29/05/19				0*						*not suitable	idl
BH04	15.30	16.80	С		29/05/19						1					Celtest sent 16.7.19
BH04	16.80	18.30	С		30/05/19					0*					*too soft to test	idl
BH04	18.30	19.80	С		30/05/19											
BH04	19.80	21.20	С		30/05/19											1
BH04	21.20	22.80	С		30/05/19											1
BH04	22.80	24.10	С		30/05/19											
BH04	24.10	25.70	С		30/05/19											1
BH04	25.70	27.20	С		30/05/19											1
BH04	27.20	28.80	С		30/05/19											1
BH04	28.80	30.20	С		30/05/19											1

Number Completed: 15.08.19

1 25 6 3 3

IDL		IRIG	RILLING	Point Load Strength Index Tests Summary of Results														
Project No.	0101 M102		T E D	Proje	ct Nam	9			Cro	a a h M	lind Fo		Loitrir	~				
2	Sa	ample		Spe	cimen		Test see	Type ISRM		agn vv	Dime	nni, Co.	Leithir	Force	diameter,	Point Strengt	Load h Index	Remarks
Borehole No.	Top Depth	Base Depth	Туре	Ref	Depth	Rock Type and Test condition	ype A, I, B)	ection or U)	ailure Valid (Lne	w	Dps	Dps'	P	Equivalent o	Is	Is(50)	(including water content if measured)
	m	m		m	m		(D, T	C, F	<u>ц</u>	mm	mm	mm	mm	kN	mm	MPa	MPa	
BH02	4.50	6.1	С	5.12	5.15		A	Р	YES		63.1		22.1	3.6	42.1	2.0	1.9	Med Strong
BH02	4.50	6.1	С	5.58	5.61		A	P	YES		63.1		27.0	4.2	46.6	2.0	1.9	Med Strong
BH02 BH02	4.50	6.1	с с	5.72	5.75		A	P	YES		63.1		21.2	1.2	41.3	1.3	1.2	Med Strong
BH02	4.50	6.1	c	6	6.03		A	P	YES		63.1		19.5	1.9	39.6	1.2	1.1	Weak
BH02	9.50	11	С	10.3	10.30		D	L	YES		63.1		54.2	0.3	58.5	0.1	0.1	Very Weak
BH02	9.50	11	с	10.5	10.60		А	Р	YES		63.1		31.0	0.5	49.9	0.2	0.2	Weak
BH02	9.50	11	с	10.8	11.00		D	L	YES		63.1		82.0	0.2	71.9	0.0	0.0	Very Weak
BH02	9.50	11	с	9.6	9.63		А	Р	YES		63.1		21.0	0.1	41.1	0.0	0.0	Very Weak
BH02	9.50	11	с	9.65	9.70		А	Ρ	YES		63.1		23.4	0.1	43.4	0.1	0.1	Very Weak
BH02	21.30	22.9	с	21.3	21.36		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	0.4	63.1	0.1	0.1	Very Weak
BH02	21.30	22.9	с	21.5	21.54		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	1.1	63.1	0.3	0.3	Weak
BH02	21.30	22.9	с	22.1	22.14		A	Р	YES		63.1		54.0	1.3	65.9	0.3	0.3	Weak
BH02	21.30	22.9	С	22.6	22.71		A	Р	YES		63.1		42.5	1.1	58.4	0.3	0.4	Weak
BH02	21.30	22.9	С	22.7	22.80		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	1.7	63.1	0.4	0.5	Weak
BH03	16.10	17.7	С	16.3	16.37		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	0.2	63.1	0.0	0.0	Very Weak
BH03	16.10	17.7	С	16.7	16.74		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	0.3	63.1	0.1	0.1	Very Weak
BH03	16.10	17.7	c	17.1	17.14		D		YES		63.1		63.1	2.3	63.1	0.6	0.6	Weak
BH03	16.10	17.7	C C	17.2	17.30		D		YES		63.1		63.1	2.1	63.1	0.5	0.6	Weak
Test Type D - Diametral, A Direction L - parallel to pla P - perpendicula U - unknown or Dimensions Dps - Distance I Dps' - at failure Lne - Length fro W - Width of s	A - Axial, I - In anes of weal ar to planes random between pla (see ISRM m platens to hortest dime	rregular kness of weakr tens (pla note 6) o neares ension pe	Lump, ness aten se t free e erpend	B - Blo eparatio	7.5 17.59 D L YES 63.1 63.1 2.3 63.1 0.6 0.6 Weak - Block Diametral Axial Block/irregular lump D_{ps} D_{ps} W									Weak				
Test performed Detailed legend Size factor, F =	in accordan for test and (De/50)0.45	ce with I dimensi 5 for all	SRM S ions, bi tests.	Sugges ased o	ted Meth n ISRM,	ods : 2007, unless r is shown above.	noted of	therwis	e		Date F	97inted	19	Appro	Ved B ED 10:56 am, Au	y ng 15, 2019	Table sheet	1

Tested in: Irish Drilling Ltd.(IDL), Old Galway Road, Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland. H62VX39

Approved Signatures: Dympna Darcy (DCD) Lab Manager, Declan Joyce (DJ) Chartered Geotechnical Engineer, Ronan Killeen (RK) Quality Manager.

IDL		IRIG	RILLING	Point Load Strength Index Tests Summary of Results														
Project No. 2	019LM102			Proje	ect Nam	e			Croa	agh W	ind Fa	rm, Co	. Leitrir	n				
Borehole	Si	ample		Spe	ecimen	Rock Type	Test see	Type ISRM	alid (Y/N)		Dime	nsions		Force P	ent diameter, De	Point Streng	: Load th Index	Remarks (including water
No.	Top Depth	Base Depth	Туре	Ref	Depth	Test condition	Type (D, A, I, B)	Direction (L, P or U)	Failure Va	Lne	W	Dps mm	Dps' mm	kN	a Equival	Is MPa	Is(50) ^{MPa}	content if measured)
BH03	22.50	24.1	с	22.5	22.54		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	0.4	63.1	0.1	0.1	Very Weak
BH03	22.50	24.1	С	22.5	22.64		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	3.2	63.1	0.8	0.9	Weak
BH03	22.50	24.1	с	22.8	22.86		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	4.1	63.1	1.0	1.1	Med Strong
BH03	22.50	24.1	с	24	24.10		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	3.1	63.1	0.8	0.9	Weak
BH03	22.50	24.1	с	232	23.54		D	L	YES		63.1		63.1	3.2	63.1	0.8	0.9	Weak
Test Type D - Diametral, A Direction L - parallel to pla P - perpendicula U - unknown or Dimensions Dps - Distance t Dps' - at failure Lne - Length fro W - Width of sl	- Axial, I - In anes of weal ar to planes random petween pla (see ISRM m platens to hortest dime	rregular kness of weakt tens (pl note 6) o neares ension pr	Lump, ness aten so t free e erpend	B - Blo eparati end licular t	ock on) to load, F	9	D _{ps}	Diamet	ral ↓P ▶		D _{ps}	Axia		L,	B	lock/irre	gular lu	mp ₽ ↓ D _{ps}
Test performed Detailed legend Size factor, F =	in accordan for test and (De/50)0.45	ce with I dimens 5 for all	ISRM S ions, b tests.	Sugges ased o	ated Meth	ods : 2007, unless r is shown above.	noted of	therwis	e		Date F	Printed 6/07/20	19	Appro	D 0:56 am, Aug	y g 15, 2019	Table sheet	1

Tested in: Irish Drilling Ltd.(IDL), Old Galway Road, Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland. H62VX39

Approved Signatures: Dympna Darcy (DCD) Lab Manager, Declan Joyce (DJ) Chartered Geotechnical Engineer, Ronan Killeen (RK) Quality Manager.

IDL	IRIS,	DRILLIN		UN	IIAXIAL CO	MPF	RESS	ION	TEST	ON RC	DCK - 8	SUMM	ARY	OF	RESULTS
Project No. 2019	9LM10	2	Projec	t Nam	e			Cro	oagh Wind	Farm, Co	o. Leitrim				
		Sar	mple			S Di	Specime mensior	en ns2	Bulk	Water	Unia	xial Com	pressio	n3	
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре	Specimen Depth (m)	Dia.	Length	H/D	Density2	1	Condition	Stress Rate	Mode of	UCS	Remarks
			<u> </u>			mm	mm		Mg/m3	%		MPa/s	Tallure	MPa	
BH03		25.60	27.10	С	25.66-25.88	63.4	166.6	2.6	2.49		as received	0.3673	F	80.1	Strong
									ļ						
Notes 1 2 3	I ISRM p 2 ISRM p 3 ISRM p above	087 test 1, 086 clause 0153 part notes app	, water con e (vii), Cal 1, determi oly unless	ntent at liper met ination o annotate	105 ± 3 oC, specimen hod used for determin of Uniaxial Compressive ed otherwise in the rem	as tested ation of bu e Strength narks	for UCS Ilk volume I (UCS) o	and deriv f Rock Ma	vation of bulk	density		Mode of fa S - Single AC - Axial	ilure : shear cleavage	MS - mul F - Fragr	tiple shear nented
Test Speci	ficatior Interr meth	n national ods for	l Societ Rock C	y for R Charac	cock Mechanics, terization Testing	SRM sı g, 2007	uggested	Date Printed Ap 16/07/2019				pproved By Table WED at 10:56 am, Aug 15, 2019 Sheet			
															1

t

1

Tested in: Irish Drilling Ltd.(IDL), Old Galway Road, Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland. H62VX39 Approved Signatures: Dympna Darcy (DCD) Lab Manager, Declan Joyce (DJ) Chartered Geotechnical Engineer, Ronan Killeen (RK) Quality Manager.



Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684237

Order No: 7479

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Slake Durability Index of an aggregate sample in accordance with **ISRM guidelines**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	Νο
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. :	BH02 - 12.50 - 14.00
Date and Time of Sampling:	07/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	

RESULTS:

Slake Durability Index = 83.2 %

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator

Trefelin Bangor Gwynedd LL57 4LH T +44 (0)1248 355269 F +44 (0)1248 351563 E postmaster@celtest.com W www.celtest.com



Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684238

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with BS EN 1097-2: 2010

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH02 - 15.40 - 17.40
Date and Time of Sampling:	07/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

48

12.5mm to 10.0mm

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcote
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator

Trefelin Bangor Gwynedd LL57 4LH T +44 (0)1248 355269 F +44 (0)1248 351563 E postmaster@celtest.com W www.celtest.com





Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684242

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with BS EN 1097-2: 2010

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH02 - 7.60 - 9.10
Date and Time of Sampling:	06/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

48

12.5mm to 10.0mm

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcote
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 13 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684243

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS: To determine the Magnesium Sulfate Value of aggregate sample within the size range 10mm to 14mm in accordance with **BS EN 1367-2 : 2009**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

S81941
BH02 - 9.50 - 11.00
06/06/2019
18/07/2019
30/07/2019
Unknown
Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Unknown
Client
Rock Core
N/A

RESULTS:

Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 1 (MS 1) =	79.8
Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 2 (<i>MS</i> ₂) =	94.6

Mean Magnesium Sulfate Value (MS) = 87

<u>Comments</u>

Proportion by mass of laboratory sample used for the test portion = 5% (nearest 5%)

Report checked and approved by: - Didcote Parry

Sharon Parry-Didcote Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 13 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684239

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS: To determine the Magnesium Sulfate Value of aggregate sample within the size range 10mm to 14mm in accordance with **BS EN 1367-2 : 2009**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH03 - 10.00 - 11.60
Date and Time of Sampling:	04/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	30/07/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 1 (MS ₁) =	89.7
Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 2 (MS ₂) =	91.4

Mean Magnesium Sulfate Value (MS) = 91

<u>Comments</u>

Proportion by mass of laboratory sample used for the test portion = 20% (nearest 5%)

Report checked and approved by: - Didcote

Sharon Parry-Didcote Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684240

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with BS EN 1097-2: 2010

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH03 - 13.10 - 14.60
Date and Time of Sampling:	04/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

46

12.5mm to 10.0mm

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcote
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684241

Order No: 7479

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Slake Durability Index of an aggregate sample in accordance with **ISRM guidelines**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. :	BH03 - 17.70 - 19.30
Date and Time of Sampling:	04/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	

RESULTS:

Slake Durability Index = 98.3 %

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator

Trefelin Bangor Gwynedd LL57 4LH T +44 (0)1248 355269 F +44 (0)1248 351563 E postmaster@celtest.com W www.celtest.com



Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684230

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with BS EN 1097-2: 2010

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH03 - 20.90 - 22.50
Date and Time of Sampling:	05/06/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

44

12.5mm to 10.0mm

<u>Comments:</u>	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684234

Order No: 7479

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Slake Durability Index of an aggregate sample in accordance with **ISRM guidelines**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. :	BH04 - 12.30 - 13.80
Date and Time of Sampling:	29/05/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	

RESULTS:

Slake Durability Index = 70.5 %

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator

Trefelin Bangor Gwynedd LL57 4LH T +44 (0)1248 355269 F +44 (0)1248 351563 E postmaster@celtest.com W www.celtest.com



Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684236

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with **BS EN 1097-2: 2010**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH04 - 15.30 - 16.80
Date and Time of Sampling:	29/05/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm 12.5mm to 10.0mm

33

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

Comments:	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 13 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684231

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS: To determine the Magnesium Sulfate Value of aggregate sample within the size range 10mm to 14mm in accordance with **BS EN 1367-2 : 2009**

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH04 - 7.70 - 9.30
Date and Time of Sampling:	29/05/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	30/07/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 1 (MS 1) =	54.3
Magnesium Sulfate Value Portion 2 (<i>MS</i> ₂) =	55.5

Mean Magnesium Sulfate Value (MS) = 55

<u>Comments</u>

Proportion by mass of laboratory sample used for the test portion = 5% (nearest 5%)

Report checked and approved by: - Didcote Parry

Sharon Parry-Didcote Aggregate Team Coordinator





Date: 14 August 2019 Test Report Ref: TR 684232

Order No: 7479

Page 1 of 1

Contract: Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

TEST REQUIREMENTS:

To determine the Fragmentation of Aggregate - Los Angeles Test Method in accordance with BS EN 1097-2: 2010

SAMPLE DETAILS:

Certificate of sampling received:	No
Laboratory Ref. No:	S81941
Client Ref. No:	BH04 - 9.30 - 10.70
Date and Time of Sampling:	29/05/2019
Date of Receipt at Lab:	18/07/2019
Date of Start of Test:	15/08/2019
Sampling Location:	Unknown
Name of Source:	Croagh Wind Farm, Co. Leitrim
Method of Sampling:	Unknown
Sampled By:	Client
Material Description:	Rock Core
Target Specification:	N/A

RESULTS:

Size fraction from which the test portion was obtained: 14mm to 12.5mm

Los Angeles Coefficient (LA) =

40

12.5mm to 10.0mm

<u>Comments:</u>	Report checked and approved by:
None	S Parry-Didcoke
	Sharon Parry-Didcote
	Aggregate Team Coordinator



Appendix E

Peat Stability Risk Register








Location:	Tur	Turbine T1			
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	583322	823639			
Distance to Watercourse (m)	>	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.8	1.8 to 2.2			
ontrol Required: No					

_		Pre-Control Measure Implementation						Post-Control Measure Implementation							
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating				
1	FOS = 3.59 (u), 3.48 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No						1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible				
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible				
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible				
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T1

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbine T2							
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	583831	824112						
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150							
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.8 to 2.8							
Control Required: Yes								

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation					Post-Control Measure Implementation							
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating				
1	FOS = 4.53 (u), 4.10 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No						1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
3	Evidence of surface water flow	2	1	2	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
5	Type of vegetation	3	1	3	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible				
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible				
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
11	Relatively deep peat	2	1	2	Negligible	Yes		1	1	1	Negligible				

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T2
i ii iv v	Due to relatively deep peat at this turbine location, additional construction measures such as the following may be required: - excavation side walls to be supported (eg. boulders, retaining wall units) or excavation face battered to shallow angle - temporary works designer may be required to provide excavation support design - daily detailed inspection of excavation faces - potential for greater water inflow into excavation requiring removal of water using pumping - increased exclusion zone around excavation to avoid accidental loading of crest of slope Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible; Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation; Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work; Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbine T3						
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	583648	823314					
Distance to Watercourse (m)	50 - 100						
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.9 to 2.8						
ntrol Required: Yes							

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation					Post-Control Measure Implementation				
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	
1	FOS = 4.53 (u), 4.10 (d)	1	3	3	Negligible	No			1	3	3	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	3	3	Negligible	No		1	3	3	Negligible	
3	Evidence of surface water flow	2	3	6	Low	No		1	3	3	Negligible	
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable	
5	Type of vegetation	2	3	6	Low	No		2	3	6	Low	
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	3	3	Negligible	No	See Below	1	3	3	Negligible	
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	3	9	Low	No		1	3	3	Negligible	
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable	
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable	
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable	
11	Relatively deep peat	2	3	6	Low	Yes		1	3	3	Negligible	

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T3
- 	Due to relatively deep peat at this turbine location, additional construction measures such as the following may be required: - excavation side walls to be supported (eg. boulders, retaining wall units) or excavation face battered to shallow angle - temporary works designer may be required to provide excavation support design - daily detailed inspection of excavation faces - potential for greater water inflow into excavation requiring removal of water using pumping - increased exclusion zone around excavation to avoid accidental loading of crest of slope - possibly construct using piled foundation due to depth of peat and soft underlying deposits, TBC following ground investigation at detailed design stage Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible; Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation; Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work; Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbi	Turbine T4					
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584223	823820					
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150						
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	0.5 to 1.0						
Control Required:	No						

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Imple	ementation			Post	t-Control N	leasure Im	plementation				
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating				
1	FOS = 1.48 (u), 1.97 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No						1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible				
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	3	1	3	Negligible	No	See Below	2	1	2	Negligible				
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible				
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable				

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T4

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbine T5						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584259 82334						
Distance to Watercourse (m)	100 - 150						
lin & Max Measured Peat Depth (m): 0.3 to 1.3							
Control Required:	No						

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation					Post-Control Measure Implementation			
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 7.48 (u), 8.82 (d)	1	2	2	Negligible	No		1	2	2	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	2	2	Negligible	No		1	2	2	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	2	2	Negligible	No		1	2	2	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	2	0	Not Applicable	No		0	2	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	2	4	Negligible	No		2	2	4	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	2	2	Negligible	No	See Below	1	2	2	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	2	6	Low	No		1	2	2	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	2	0	Not Applicable	No		0	2	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	2	0	Not Applicable	No		0	2	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	2	0	Not Applicable	No		0	2	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	2	0	Not Applicable	No		0	2	0	Not Applicable

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T5

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbine T6			
		1		
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584841	823616		
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.8 to 2.4			
Control Required:	No			

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation				Post-Control Measure Implementation				
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 5.06 (u), 4.78 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	2	1	2	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No]	0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T6

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbine T7			
	-			
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584968	823032		
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	2.0 t	o 2.8		
Control Required:	Yes			

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation				Post-Control Measure Implementation				
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 8.23 (u), 7.44 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	2	1	2	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	3	1	3	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	2	1	2	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	3	1	3	Negligible	Yes		1	1	1	Negligible
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Relatively deep peat	2	1	2	Negligible	Yes		1	1	1	Negligible

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T7
i ii iv v	Due to relatively deep peat at this turbine location, additional construction measures such as the following may be required: - excavation side walls to be supported (eg. boulders, retaining wall units) or excavation face battered to shallow angle - temporary works designer may be required to provide excavation support design - daily detailed inspection of excavation faces - potential for greater water inflow into excavation requiring removal of water using pumping - increased exclusion zone around excavation to avoid accidental loading of crest of slope Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible; Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation; Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work; Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Turbi	Turbine T8			
	-				
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	585523	822935			
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150				
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	3.3 t	o 3.9			
Control Required:	Yes				

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation					Post-Control Measure Implementation			
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 1.90 (u), 1.59 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	3	1	3	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Deep peat	3	1	3	Negligible	Yes		1	1	1	Negligible

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T8
i	Due to deep peat at this turbine location, additional construction measures such as the following may be required:
	- access the working area possibly formed using bog mats with the addition of temporary working platform
	- detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties for design stage
	- detailed design of access platforms and temporary working platforms to be carried out in advance of construction works
	- possibly construct using piled foundation due to depth of peat and soft underlying deposits, TBC following ground investigation at detailed design stage
	- install piling/working platform required for the construction of turbine base foundation, as required
	- monitoring (in the form of timber stakes as sightlines) to be installed in area of turbine base and to be monitored regularly during the construction works
	- where piling is adopted, site trial of piling works and potential issues to be identified prior to commencing construction
	- where piling is adopted, testing of piles to be carried out in accordance with latest standards to ensure design assumptions are satisified
ii	Use of experienced geotechnical staff for construction supervision, monitoring works, etc.;
iii	Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;
iv	Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible.

Location:	Turbine T9			
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	586144	822595		
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	2.1 t	o 4.5		
Control Required:	Yes			

_		Pre-	Pre-Control Measure Implementation				Post-Control Measure Implementation				
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 2.09 (u), 1.69 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	3	1	3	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No]	0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Deep peat	3	1	3	Negligible	Yes		1	1	1	Negligible

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turbine T9
i	Due to deep peat at this turbine location, additional construction measures such as the following may be required:
	- access the working area possibly formed using bog mats with the addition of temporary working platform
	- detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties for design stage
	- detailed design of access platforms and temporary working platforms to be carried out in advance of construction works
	- possibly construct using piled foundation due to depth of peat and soft underlying deposits, TBC following ground investigation at detailed design stage
	- install piling/working platform required for the construction of turbine base foundation, as required
	- monitoring (in the form of timber stakes as sightlines) to be installed in area of turbine base and to be monitored regularly during the construction works
	- where piling is adopted, site trial of piling works and potential issues to be identified prior to commencing construction
	- where piling is adopted, testing of piles to be carried out in accordance with latest standards to ensure design assumptions are satisified
ii	Use of experienced geotechnical staff for construction supervision, monitoring works, etc.;
iii	Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;
iv	Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible.

Location:	Turbine T10				
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584676	822493			
Distance to Watercourse (m)	50 - 100				
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	0.8 t	o 1.0			
Control Required:	No				

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Imple	ementation			Post	-Control N	leasure Im	plementation
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 2.89 (u), 3.85 (d)	1	3	3	Negligible	No		1	3	3	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	3	3	Negligible	No		1	3	3	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	3	3	Negligible	No		1	3	3	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	3	6	Low	No		2	3	6	Low
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	2	3	6	Low	No	See Below	1	3	3	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	3	9	Low	No		1	3	3	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No]	0	3	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	3	0	Not Applicable	No		0	3	0	Not Applicable

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Turb	ine T10
--	---------

i Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location: Substa				
Grid Reference (Fastings, Northings):	584584 823867			
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	0.9 to 1.7			
Control Required:	No			

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Implementation				Post-Control Measure Implementation			
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 5.13 (u), 7.39 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	2	1	2	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Substation

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Con	st. Comp.
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584170	823980
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> '	150
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	0.7 t	o 1.6
Control Required:	N	lo

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Imple	ementation			Post	-Control N	leasure Im	plementation
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 1.92 (u), 2.56 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	3	1	3	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Construction Compound 1
i	Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;
ii	Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;
iii	Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;
iv	Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Con	st. Comp.
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	585150	823232
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> '	150
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.3 t	o 1.9
Control Required:	N	lo

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Imple	ementation			Post	-Control M	leasure Implementation	
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating
1	FOS = 2.19 (u), 2.58 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
3	Evidence of surface water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable

	Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Construction Compound 2
i	Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;
ii	Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;
iii	Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;
iv	Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Location:	Met.	Met. Mast			
Grid Reference (Eastings, Northings):	584059	823136			
Distance to Watercourse (m)	> 1	> 150			
Min & Max Measured Peat Depth (m):	1.0 t	o 1.2			
Control Required:	N	lo			

_		Pre-	Control Mea	sure Imple	Pre-Control Measure Implementation					Post-Control Measure Implementation			
Ref.	Contributory/Qualitative Factors to Potential Peat Failure	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating	Control Required	Control measures to be implemented during construction	Prob (Note 2)	Impact (Note 3)	Risk	Risk Rating		
1	FOS = 1.98 (u), 2.42 (d)	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible		
2	Evidence of sub peat water flow	1	1	1	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible		
3	Evidence of surface water flow	2	1	2	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible		
4	Evidence of previous failures/slips	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable		
5	Type of vegetation	2	1	2	Negligible	No		2	1	2	Negligible		
6	General slope characteristics upslope/downslope from infrastructure location	1	1	1	Negligible	No	See Below	1	1	1	Negligible		
7	Evidence of very soft/soft clay at base of peat	3	1	3	Negligible	No		1	1	1	Negligible		
8	Evidence of mechanically cut peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable		
9	Evidence of quaking or buoyant peat	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable		
10	Evidence of bog pools	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable		
11	Other	0	1	0	Not Applicable	No		0	1	0	Not Applicable		

Control Measures to be Implemented Prior to/and During Construction for Met. Mast

i. Maintain hydrology of area as far as possible;

ii Use of experienced geotechnical staff for site investigation;

iii Use of experienced contractors and trained operators to carry out the work;

iv Detailed ground investigation to determine peat, mineral soil and bedrock condition and properties.

Appendix F

Calculated FOS For Peat Slopes









Calculated FoS of Natural Peat Slopes for Croagh Wind Farm - Undrained Analysis										
Turbine Easting No./Waypoint		Northing	Slope	Undrained shear	Bulk unit weight of	Peat Depth	Surcharge Equivalent Placed	Factor of Safety	for Load Condition	
			ß (den)	strength	Peat	(m)	Fill Depth (m)	Condition (1)	Condition (2)	
			p (deg)	C _u (KFu)	Υ (KN/III)	()	condition (2)	condition (1)		
AT1 AT2	583322 583831	823639 824112	3	6 6	10 10	2.2 2.8	3.2 3.8	5.22 6.14	3.59 4.53	
AT3 AT4	583648 584223	823314 823820	2	6	10	2.8	3.8	6.14 2.95	4.53 1.48	
AT5	584259	823347	2	6	10	1.3	2.3	13.23	7.48	
AT6 AT7	584968	823032	1.1	6	10	2.4	3.8	11.16	8.23	
AT8 AT9	585523 586144	822935 822595	3.7 3	6	10 10	3.9 4.5	4.9	2.39 2.55	1.90 2.09	
AT10	584676 583166	822493 823847	6	6	10	1	2.0	5.77	2.89	
Amet - SS	584503	823160	1.2	6	10	8.2	9.2	3.49	3.11	
AT1 - SS AT2 - SS	583313 583799	823651 824070	4.5	6	10 10	1.8	2.8 3.0	4.26 6.88	2.74 4.59	
AT5 - SS AT9 - SS	584263 586026	823319 822642	5.6 3	6	10 10	1.3	2.3	4.75	2.69	
A54	586897	821078	9.8	6	10	0.2	1.2	17.89	2.98	
A50 A57	586660	821210	1.8	6	10	0.3	1.7	63.70	14.70	
A58 A59	586570 586475	821298 821330	9.8 7	6	10 10	0.4	1.4	8.94 24.80	2.56 4.13	
A60	586383 586305	821369 821427	10	6	10	0.6	1.6	5.85	2.19	
A62	586244	821504	4.7	6	10	1.3	2.3	5.65	3.19	
A63 A64	586216 586205	821600 821699	7.2	6	10	0.9	2.4	3.45 5.51	2.01 2.61	
A82 A91	585214 584771	823103 823851	0.4	6	10	1.7	2.7	50.56 6.32	31.83	
A93	584587	823894	4.2	6	10	0.6	1.6	13.69	5.13	
A94 A96	584340	823762	8.7	6	10	0.2	1.2	17.54	3.09	
A98 A112	584225 584991	823914 822592	7.5 4.1	6	10 10	0.9	1.9 2.7	5.15 4.95	2.44 3.12	
A113 A114	584892 584794	822597 822615	1.9	6	10 10	2.6	3.6 2.9	6.96 5.67	5.03 3.71	
A118	585353	822956	3.7	6	10	2.6	3.6	3.58	2.59	
A119 A120	585544	622936 822904	1.8 3	ь 6	10	2.7	3.7	3.96	5.17 2.94	
A135 A136	584296 584239	823698 823617	14.6 9.6	6	10 10	0.2	1.2	4.05	2.05 1.92	
A146	583873	823500	3	6	10	3.2	4.2	3.59	2.73	
A160	584813	823323	0.6	6	10	4.5	5.5	12.73	10.42	
A168 A169	584723 584638	823281 823228	2.1 2.5	6	10 10	2.2	6.0 3.2	3.28 6.26	2.73	
A170 WP007	584572 587148	823193 821010	1.1 9.1	6	10	2.6	3.6	12.02 3.84	8.68 1.92	
WP008	587028	821024	6.8	6	10	1.1	2.1	4.64	2.43	
WP010 WP011	585013	823067 823251	7.6	6	10	2.8	2.9	5.34 2.41	3.94	
WP012 WP013	585159 585147	823246 823265	7.6 5.2	6 6	10 10	1.4	2.4	3.27 3.69	1.91 2.37	
WP014 WP017	584747 584190	823868 824022	5.7	6	10	0.5	1.5	12.14	4.05	
WP018	584187	824047	6	6	10	0.7	1.7	8.25	3.40	
WP022 WP023	583833	823524	5.6	6	10	1.8	2.8	6.18	3.09	
WP024 WP025	584274 584280	823299 823343	4.1 4.6	6	10 10	2.6	3.6	3.24 9.38	2.34 4.17	
WP027	585363 584960	822726	4.7	6	10	2.2	3.2	3.34	2.30	
mk0v1_93	584955	823020	2.9	6	10	3.8	4.8	3.12	2.47	
mk0v1_112 mk0v1_114	583295 583364	823649 823610	4.6	6	10	2.2	2.9	3.41 3.95	2.35	
mk0v1_115 mk0v1 116	583340 583394	823607 823575	5.5 7.4	6	10 10	2	3.0 2.6	3.14 2.94	2.10 1.81	
mk0v1_117	583444 584310	823588	6.7	6	10	1.6	2.6	3.24	1.99	
mk0v1_120	584319	823672	4.2	6	10	1.8	2.8	4.56	2.93	
mk0v1_133 mk0v1_147	584295 584532	823365 823182	3.3 0.1	6	10	0.9 5.7	6.7	11.60 60.31	5.49 51.31	
mk0v1_156 mk0v1_160	584627 585128	823162 823069	5.1	6	10	1.5	2.5	4.52 4.02	2.71	
mk0v1_172	585113	823352	5	6	10	2.7	3.7	2.56	1.87	
mk0v1_173 mk0v1_174	584999	823448	0.8	6	10	3.1	4.1	13.86	10.48	
mk0v1_178 mk0v1 186	584733 585401	823819 822975	6.5 2.1	6	10 10	1.8	2.8	2.96 4.00	1.91 3.21	
mk0v1_190	585629 584532	822579 823182	7.9	6	10	0.9	1.9	4.90	2.32	
mk0v1_397	584235	823654	9.9	6	10	1.6	2.6	2.21	1.36	
тк0v1_398 mk0v1_399	584234 584238	823562 823475	8.2 6.7	6 6	10 10	1.2 2.2	3.2	3.54 2.35	1.93 1.62	
mk0v1_400 mk0v1 401	584233 584229	823375 823270	2.4	6	10 10	1.6 5.8	2.6	8.96 5.39	5.52 4.60	
mk0v1_410	584125	823985	3.4	6	10	1.7	2.7	5.96	3.75	
mk0v1_411 mk0v1_427	584734	823263	1.7	6	10	5.7	6.7	3.55	3.02	
nol1_312 nol1_313	584854 584897	823616 823542	2.9	6 6	10 10	3 5.5	4.0	3.96 2.61	2.97	
nol1_317 nol1_318	583317 583314	823657 823684	3.1	6	10	3.6	4.6	3.09	2.42	
nol1_320	583859	823518	1.7	6	10	2.75	3.8	7.36	5.40	
nol1_322	564227 584032	62331/ 823997	3.9 10.9	6	10	0.3	3.0	4.42 10.77	2.95	
nol1_329 nol1_332	584058 584116	823959 823992	10.6 6.5	6	10 10	0.9	1.9 1.9	3.69 5.93	1.75 2.81	
nol1_333	584096	824006	8.4	6	10	1.2	2.2	3.46	1.89	
nol1_335	584227	824022	4.9	6	10	0.7	1.7	10.07	4.15	
nol1_338 nol1_340	584197 584309	824031 823725	5.7 10.6	6 6	10 10	1.5 0.8	2.5 1.8	4.05 4.15	2.43 1.84	
nol1_343 nol1_344	584717 584705	823874 823845	4.5	6	10	5	6.0	1.53 6.91	1.28 3.46	
nol2_345	585021	823396	4.8	6	10	1.5	2.5	4.80	2.88	
nol2_346 nol2_347	585086	623436 823403	2.7	ь 6	10	1.8	2.8	7.08 8.31	4.55 5.34	
nol2_348 nol2_349	585043 585198	823356 823317	8.1 4.2	6	10 10	1.5 2.2	2.5	2.87 3.73	1.72 2.57	
nol2_350	585079 585100	823242	3.4	6	10	3.3	4.3	3.07	2.36	
nol2_352	585132	823182	5	6	10	3.6	4.6	1.92	1.50	
nol2_353 nol2_356	585053 584971	823073 823050	3.4 0.8	6 6	10 10	3.3 3.6	4.3	3.07 11.94	2.36 9.34	
nol2_357 nol2_358	584924 584922	823035 822994	3.5 3	6	10 10	3.6 3.6	4.6	2.74 3.19	2.14 2.50	
nol2_359	585037	823019 8228°E	3.1	6	10	2.5	3.5	4.44	3.17	
nol2_362	585492	822960	2.0	6	10	5.5	7.0	1.98	1.00	

Calculated FoS of Natural Peat Slopes for Croagh Wind Farm - Undrained Analysis										
Turbine Easting No./Waypoint		Northing	Slope	Undrained shear	Bulk unit weight of	Peat Depth	Surcharge Equivalent Placed	Factor of Safety	IOF LOAD CONDITION	
			ß (deg)	strength	Peat	(m)	Fill Depth (m)	Condition (1)	Condition (2)	
nol2_363	585527	822979	2.1	6	10	4.8	5.8	3.41	2.82	
nol2_364 nol2_366	585415 584867	822677 822629	3.4	6 6	10 10	1.8 3.6	2.8 4.6	5.63 8.68	3.62 6.80	
nol2_236 nol2_239	585412 585610	822802 822634	4.6	6	10 10	2.5	3.5 3.2	3.00 2.42	2.14 1.67	
nol2_240	585959 585986	822635	4.1	6	10	4.9	5.9	1.72	1.43	
nol2_242	586002	822633	2.9	6	10	5.5	6.5	2.16	1.83	
nol2_243 nol2_244	586025 586024	822621 822646	2.6	6	10	5.5 3.7	6.5 4.7	2.41 3.10	2.04 2.44	
nol2_245 nol2_246	586031 586012	822667 822666	3.3 4.6	6	10 10	3.7	4.7	2.82	2.22	
nol2_250	585529 585510	822943	2.2	6	10	4.5	5.5	3.48	2.84	
nol2_252	585509	822929	3	6	10	4.1	5.1	2.80	2.25	
nol2_253 nol2_254	585513 585475	822912 822930	3.6 2.9	6	10	3.4 3.6	4.4 4.6	2.82 3.30	2.18 2.58	
eg2_189 eg2_190	583818 583799	823444 823431	7.6	6	10 10	2.1	3.1	2.18 2.10	1.48 1.69	
eg2_201	583871	823287	2.2	6	10	2.7	3.7	5.79	4.23	
eg2_204 eg2_205	584200	823339	3.1	6	10	1.9	2.9	5.85	3.83	
eg2_206 eg2_207	584222 584269	823303 823306	2.3 4.3	6	10	2.7	3.7 3.1	5.54 3.82	4.04 2.59	
eg2_208 eg2_209	584264 584247	823336 823325	8.6 5.7	6	10 10	2	3.0	2.03 3.20	1.35 2.09	
eg2_210	584199 584011	823318 824106	1.2	6	10	2.5	3.5	11.46	8.19	
eg2_212 eg2_213	583958	824105	3.4	6	10	2.5	3.5	4.05	2.90	
eg2_215 eg2_217	583909 583856	824085 824075	2.3	6 6	10	2.9	3.9 4.0	5.16 5.73	3.84 4.30	
eg2_218 eg2_223	583816 583800	824066 824066	3.3 2.5	6	10 10	1.9 2.9	2.9	5.49 4.75	3.60 3.53	
eg2_227	583875 58474E	823957	10.8	6	10	1.1	2.1	2.96	1.55	
eg2_235	584727	823829	7.4	6	10	2	3.0	2.35	1.57	
T2 T4	583789 584433	823872 823809	9 2.9	6 6	10	0.6 2.4	1.6 3.4	6.47 4.95	2.43 3.49	
T7 P40	585063 584612	823067 823926	3.4 8.3	6	10	3.3	4.3	3.07 14.00	2.36	
P41	584521	823899	8.3	6	10	2.7	3.7	1.56	1.14	
P42 P43	584374	623830 823774	ь. <i>3</i> 11.9	6	10	2.3	2.8	1.65	1.67	
P44 P45	584286 584234	823815 823888	9.8 5.8	6	10 10	0.6	1.6	5.96 7.46	2.24 3.32	
P47	584145	824040 824077	11.9 8 1	6	10	1.7	2.7	1.75	1.10 2.26	
P56	583834	823908	11.7	6	10	0.3	1.3	10.07	2.32	
P70 P71	583795 583800	823676 823576	3.3 6.2	6	10	1.6 0.9	2.6	6.53 6.21	4.02 2.94	
P72 P83	583832 584767	823485 823792	5 4.3	6	10 10	1.8	2.8	3.84 4.72	2.47 2.97	
P84	584785	823697	2.3	6	10	3.3	4.3	4.53	3.48	
P85 P86	584873	823517	3.5	6	10	3.8	4.8	2.59	2.05	
P87 P88	584917 584987	823427 823356	2.5	6	10 10	2.8	3.8	4.92 3.61	3.62 2.17	
P89 P90	585057 585127	823285 823213	3.1	6	10 10	1.6	2.6	6.94 3.73	4.27	
P91	585183	823131	0.7	6	10	3.8	4.8	12.93	10.23	
P92 P93	585286	822960	5.3	6	10	1.7	2.7	4.35	2.61	
P94 P95	585332 585378	822871 822782	4.2 6.8	6 6	10 10	2	3.0 3.2	4.11 2.32	2.74	
P96	585424 585470	822693 822605	6.5	6	10	2.2	3.2	2.42	1.67	
P98	585517	822516	7	6	10	0.6	1.6	8.27	3.10	
P100	585636	822369	5.1	6	10	1.4	2.4	4.84	2.82	
P101 P102	585679 585673	822278 822186	6.7 2.9	6 6	10 10	2.3 3.3	3.3 4.3	2.25 3.60	1.57 2.76	
P103 P114	585619 585936	822102 822137	1.7	6	10	2.5	3.5	8.09 6.32	5.78 3.68	
P115	585995	822057	2.9	6	10	1	2.0	11.87	5.94	
P116 P119	586050	821974 821732	4.9	6	10	2.3	3.3	2.64 5.04	2.94	
P120 WP007	586198 584205	821639 823981	9.1 10.4	6	10 10	1.4	2.4	2.74 6.76	1.60 2.25	
WP009 WP011	584727 584874	823878 823509	5.6	6	10	0.5	1.5	12.36	4.12	
WP013	584002	823980	9.4	6	10	0.7	1.7	5.32	2.19	
WP014 WP015	583940 583814	823766	3.9	6	10	0.8	2.0	8.84 14.35	4.42 6.38	
WP019 WP031	583741 585821	823834 822218	6.4 5.9	6	10 10	1	2.0	5.42 11.74	2.71 3.91	
WP033 WP034	586127 586177	821871 821793	7	6	10	0.4	1.4	12.40 5.65	3.54 2.51	
agec_16	585703	822331	5.4	6	10	0.6	1.6	10.67	4.00	
agec_23 agec_24	585778	822606	8.5 3	ь 6	10	0.1	1.1 1.3	41.04 38.27	3./3 8.83	
agec_25 agec_26	585878 585978	822606 822606	3.1 8.5	6	10 10	0.5	1.5	22.22 41.04	7.41 3.73	
agec_38	585594 586178	822906 822615	2.6	6	10	3.7	4.7	3.58	2.82	
agec_122	585365	822234	3.9	6	10	4.5	5.5	1.96	1.61	
agec_130 agec_134	584665 584665	822434 822522	6.2 11	6 6	10	2./	3./ 2.4	2.07	1.51	
agec_365 agec_WP003	585489 585180	822211 822324	0.9	6	10 10	3.8 1.4	4.8	10.05 11.70	7.96 6.83	
agec_WP004	585112 585478	822350	5.7	6	10	1.9	2.9	3.20	2.09	
agec_wP033 agec_WP042	585700	822608	4.7	6	10	0.1	1.1	73.47	6.68	
agec_WP043 agec_WP044	585773 585880	822609 822621	4.5	6	10	3.2 2.9	4.2 3.9	2.40	1.83 1.46	
agec_WP045 agec_WP046	585969 586077	822582 822627	5.9	6	10	3.8 2.7	4.8	1.54 4.12	1.22 3.00	
agec_WP047	586066	822558	2.3	6	10	4.8	5.8	3.12	2.58	
agec_WP052 agec_WP054a	583814	824106	3.3	6	10	1.9	2.9	5.49	3.60	
agec_WP061 agec_WP062	583995 583844	823988 823909	11.2 9.4	6 6	10 10	0.5	1.5	6.30 4.14	2.10 1.96	
TP14A TP16A	585478 585793	822902 822604	3.3	6	10	2.1	3.1 2.3	4.97 7.17	3.37 4.05	
TP12A	584963	823032	1.1	6	10	2.5	3.5	12.50	8.93	
TP9A	585085	823414	1.9	6	10	1.5	2.5	3.87 10.65	6.71	
TP17A TP8A	584623 584858	823207 823499	3	6	10 10	2.2	3.2	5.22 4.78	3.59 <u>3.82</u>	
TP18A TP22A	584564 584238	823907 823501	9.6	6	10	0.3	1.3	12.16	2.81	

Ca	alculate	d FoS o	f Natura	al Peat Sid	opes for C	roagh Win	d Farm - Und	Irained Anal	ysis
Turbine	Easting	Northing	Slope	Undrained	Bulk unit	Peat Depth	Surcharge	Factor of Safety	for Load Condition
No./Waypoint				shear	Peat		Fill Depth (m)		
			β (deg)	c _u (kPa)	γ (kN/m ³)	(m)	Condition (2)	Condition (1)	Condition (2)
TP10A	584076	824034	8.8	6	10	0.4	1.4	9.92	2.83
TP3A TP21A	583836 586026	823526	6.1	6	10	1.1	2.1	5.16	2.70
TP15A	586587	821278	9.9	6	10	1	2.0	3.54	1.77
WP006b	583643	823324	3.7	6	10	2.8	3.8	3.33	2.45
WP007b WP008b	583645	823315 823318	3.7	6	10	1.9	3.0	4.66	3.11 1.84
WP009b	583844	824110	1.7	6	10	2.8	3.8	7.23	5.32
WP010b	583853	824113	2.6	6	10	1.8	2.8	7.36	4.73
WP011b WP012b	584866	823560	2.6	6	10	3.7	4.7	3.58	2.82
WP013b	584881	823563	2.5	6	10	3.8	4.8	3.62	2.87
WP014b WP017b	584882 584637	823563	2.5	6	10	3./	4./	3.72	2.93
WP018b	584648	823212	3.3	6	10	2.1	3.1	4.97	3.37
WP020b	586160	822535	1.2	6	10	2.1	3.1	13.65	9.24
WP021b WP022b	586156	822578	1.2	6	10	3.5	4.5	8.19	6.37
WP023b	586138	822582	2.1	6	10	4.5	5.5	3.64	2.98
WP024b WP025b	583324	823640	4.6	6	10	2.1	3.1	3.57	2.42
WP026b	583314	823652	4.5	6	10	2	3.0	3.84	2.56
WP027b	583327	823624	4.7	6	10	1.8	2.8	4.08	2.62
WP028b WP029b	583327	823624	3.3	6	10	0.7	3.1	3.29	2.23
WP030b	584835	823638	2.5	6	10	1.7	2.7	8.10	5.10
WP031b	584699	822496	7.4	6	10	0.8	1.8	5.87	2.61
WP032b	584665	822501	8.6	6	10	1	2.0	4.06	2.03
WP034b	584668	822488	11.7	6	10	0.8	1.8	3.78	1.68
WP035b CM379	584674 583829	822476 823299	10.5 3.5	6	10	0.8	1.8 3.4	4.19 4.10	1.86
CM380	583801	823309	2	6	10	2.4	3.4	7.17	5.06
CM381	583776	823318	1.3	6	10	2.5	3.5	10.58	7.56
CM390 CM391	583640	823333	4.8	6	10	1.2	3.1	3.43 6.85	3.73
CM392	583674	823329	2.2	6	10	1.8	2.8	8.69	5.59
CM393	583669	823298	4.2	6	10	1	2.0	8.21	4.11
CM394 CM397	583727	823230	5.1	6	10	0.6	1.6	11.29	4.24
CM398	583747	823283	7.9	6	10	1	2.0	4.41	2.20
CM399 CM400	583755	823260	5.6	6	10	0.2	1.2	30.89	5.15
CM401	583807	823248	0.1		10	No peat reco	rded at location	10100	5101
CM422	585955	822586	7.2	6	10	2.3	3.3	2.10	1.46
CM423 CM424	585872	822609	8.1	6	10	1.1	2.0	4.30	2.47
CM425	585828	822605	3.3	6	10	1.6	2.6	6.53	4.02
CM426 CM427	585777 585731	822597	10.4	6	10	1.1	2.1	3.07	1.61
CM429	585647	822609	6.5	6	10	1.5	2.5	3.56	2.13
CM430	585603	822638	7.1	6	10	1.5	2.5	3.26	1.96
PP PP10	583700	823628	4.9	6	10	1.6	2.6	4.41	2.71
PP11	583646	823639	5.7	6	10	1.1	2.1	5.52	2.89
PP12 PP13	583526 583490	823648	4.2	6	10	2.2	2.0	8.21	4.11
PP14	583387	823633	3.8	6	10	2.4	3.4	3.78	2.67
PP15	583358	823622	4.6	6	10	2.7	3.7	2.78	2.03
PP4 PP5	584796	822595	4	6	10	2	3.0	4.31	2.87
PP6	584906	822595	2.7	6	10	2.85	3.9	4.47	3.31
PP7 PP9	584986 583754	822621	4.7	6	10	2	3.0	3.67	2.45
T10	584676	822493	8.6	6	10	1.5	2.5	2.71	1.62
WP001c	583746	823619	5.3	6	10	1.6	2.6	4.08	2.51
WP002c WP003c	583691	823605	4.4	6	10	0.8	2.4	9.80	4.30
WP004c	583660	823627	4.9	6	10	1.2	2.2	5.88	3.20
WP005c WP006c	583638	823638	6.2 7.6	6	10	0.9	1.9	6.21 5.09	2.94
WP009c	586757	821108	13.1	6	10	0.9	1.9	3.02	1.43
WP010c	586733	821124	15.1	6	10	0.7	1.7	3.41	1.40
WP011c WP013c	586609	821141 821257	10 9.6	6	10	0.9	2.4	4.05	1.46
WP018c	585449	822222	3.8	6	10	2.6	3.6	3.49	2.52
WP019c	585425	822227	5.3	6	10	1.6	2.6	4.08	2.51
WP020C WP021c	585326	822209	5.2	6	10	1.9	2.9	3.50	2.29
WP022c	585170	822334	5.3	6	10	1.5	2.5	4.35	2.61
WP023c	585132	822369	8	6	10	1	2.0	4.35	2.18
WP024c WP025c	585118	822404	13.9	6	10	1.3	1.6	4.29	1.61
WP026c	585042	822507	8.5	6	10	0.8	1.8	5.13	2.28
WP027c	585038	822585	3.3	6	10	1.6	2.6	6.53	4.02
mk0v3_563	584124	823131	8.2	6	10	1.2	2.2	4.25	2.13
mk0v3_564	584071	823091	3.9	6	10	3.5	4.5	2.53	1.96
mk0v3_565 mk0v3_566	584043 584012	823102	3.3	6	10	2.7	3.7	3.87	2.82
mk0v3_567	583984	823121	2.4	6	10	3	4.0	4.78	3.59
mk0v3_568	583944	823138	5.8	6	10	3.4	4.4	1.76	1.36
1116042 208	202209	020101	0.2	U	10	3.4	4.4	1.04	1.27
							Minimum =	1.53	1.05
							Average =	6.97	3.73

	1.00	
Maximum =	73.47	51.
Average =	6.97	3.7

Notes:
(1) Assuming a bulk unit weight for peat of 10kN/m³
(2) Assuming a surcharge equivalent to fill depth of 1m of peat i.e. 10kPa.
(3) Stope inclination (β) based on site readings and site contour plans.
(4) A lower bound undrained shear strength, cu for the peat of 6kPa was selected for the assessment. It should be noted that a cu of 6kPa for the peat is considered a conservative value for the analysis and is not representative of all peat present across the site. In reality the peat has a significantly higher undrained strength.
(5) Peat depths based on probes carried out by FT, Coillte, HES and MKO.
(6) For load conditions see report text.

Ca	alcula Slope	Design c'	5 of Natu Bulk unit	ral Peat	Slopes for	Croagh	Wind Friction	Farm - Dra	Factor of Sa	ysis Ifety for Load	
No./Waypoint	Diope	Designic	weight of Peat	of Water	height of Peat	situ Peat	Angle	Depth of Peat (m)	Condition		
	a (deg)	c' (kPa)	γ (kN/m ³)	$\gamma_{\rm w}(kN/m^3)$	(m)	(m)	ø' (deg)	Condition (2)	Condition (1)	Condition (2)	
								•	100% Water	100% Water	
AT1	3	4	10.0	10.0	2.2	2.2	25	3.2	3.48	5.17	
AT2 AT3	2	4	10.0	10.0	2.8	2.8	25	3.8	4.10 4.10	6.53 6.53	
AT4 AT5	12	4	10.0	10.0	1.0	1 1.3	25 25	2.0 2.3	1.97 8.82	2.08	
AT6	2	4	10.0	10.0	2.4	2.4	25	3.4	4.78	7.30	
AT8	3.7	4	10.0	10.0	3.9	3.9	25	4.9	1.59	2.74	
AT10	6	4	10.0	10.0	4.5	4.54	25	2.0	3.85	4.14	
Amet Amet - SS	2.3	4	10.0	10.0	0.6 8.2	0.6 8.2	25 25	1.6 9.2	16.63 2.33	13.49 4.50	
AT1 - SS AT2 - SS	4.5 2.5	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	1.8	1.8	25 25	2.8 3.0	2.84 4.59	3.94 6.62	
AT5 - SS	5.6	4	10.0	10.0	1.3	1.3	25	2.3	3.17	3.86	
A54	9.8	4	10.0	10.0	0.2	0.2	25	1.2	11.92	4.24	
A56	1.8	4	10.0	10.0	0.7	0.7	25	1.7	42.47	21.21	
A58 A59	9.8 7	4	10.0	10.0	0.4	0.4	25 25	1.4	5.96 16.53	3.63 5.92	
A60 A61	10 10	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	0.6	0.6	25 25	1.6	3.90 2.60	3.11 2.62	
A62	4.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.3	1.3	25	2.3	3.77	4.60	
A64	7	4	10.0	10.0	0.9	0.9	25	1.9	3.67	3.74	
A91	4.2	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.7	4.21	5.14	
A93 A94	4.2	4	10.0	10.0	0.6	0.6	25 25	1.6 1.2	9.13 11.70	7.39 4.15	
A96 A98	8.7 7.5	4	10.0	10.0	0.3	0.3	25	1.3	8.92 3.43	4.40	
A112	4.1	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.7	3.30	4.49	
A113 A114	3.2	4	10.0	10.0	2.6	2.6	25	3.6 2.9	4.64 3.78	5.35	
A118 A119	3.7 1.8	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	2.6	2.6 2.7	25 25	3.6 3.7	2.39 4.72	3.73 7.45	
A120 A135	3 14.6	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	2.9	2.9	25 25	3.9 1.2	2.64 8.20	4.24 2.86	
A136	9.6	4	10.0	10.0	0.9	0.9	25	1.9	2.70	2.73	
A166	0.2	4	10.0	10.0	2.7	2.7	25	3.7	42.44	67.08	
A167 A168	2.1	4	10.0	10.0	4.5	4.5	25	5.5	8.49 2.18	3.94	
A169 A170	2.5	4	10.0	10.0	2.2 2.6	2.2 2.6	25 25	3.2 3.6	4.17 8.02	6.21 12.53	
WP007 WP008	9.1 6.8	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	1.0	1	25 25	2.0	2.56 3.09	2.74 3.48	
WP010	2.3	4	10.0	10.0	2.8	2.8	25	3.8	3.56	5.68	
WP011 WP012	7.6	4	10.0	10.0	1.5	1.5	25	2.5	2.18	2.73	
WP013 WP014	5.2	4	10.0	10.0	1.8	1.8	25	2.8	2.46 8.09	3.41 5.81	
WP017 WP018	12 6	4	10.0	10.0	0.4	0.4	25 25	1.4	4.92 5.50	2.97 4.87	
WP022 WP023	7.1	4	10.0	10.0	1.8	1.8	25	2.8	1.81	2.50	
WP024	4.1	4	10.0	10.0	2.6	2.6	25	3.6	2.16	3.37	
WP025 WP027	4.0	4	10.0	10.0	2.2	2.2	25	3.2	2.23	3.30	
mk0v1_79 mk0v1_93	2.1 2.9	4	10.0	10.0	3.0 3.8	3 3.8	25 25	4.0 4.8	3.64 2.08	5.91 3.57	
mk0v1_112 mk0v1_114	4.6 4.6	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	2.2	2.2	25 25	3.2 2.9	2.27 2.63	3.37 3.72	
mk0v1_115 mk0v1_116	5.5 7.4	4	10.0	10.0	2.0	2	25 25	3.0	2.10	3.01 2.59	
mk0v1_117	6.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.6	1.6	25	2.6	2.16	2.85	
mk0v1_129	4.2	4	10.0	10.0	1.8	1.8	25	2.8	3.04	4.22	
mk0v1_133 mk0v1_147	3.3 0.1	4	10.0	10.0	0.9 5.7	0.9 5.7	25	1.9 6.7	7.73 40.21	7.92	
mk0v1_156 mk0v1_160	5.1 1.5	4	10.0	10.0	1.5 5.7	1.5	25 25	2.5 6.7	3.01 2.68	3.90 4.94	
mk0v1_172	5	4	10.0	10.0	2.7	2.7	25	3.7	1.71	2.69	
mk0v1_174	0.8	4	10.0	10.0	3.1	3.1	25	4.1	9.24	15.13	
mk0v1_178 mk0v1_186	2.1	4	10.0	10.0	4.1	4.1	25	5.1	2.66	4.64	
mk0v1_190 mk0v1_394	7.9	4	10.0	10.0	0.9 5.7	0.9	25 25	1.9 6.7	3.26 40.21	3.32 74.08	
mk0v1_397 mk0v1_398	9.9 8.2	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	1.6	1.6 1.2	25 25	2.6	1.48 2.36	1.94 2.76	
mk0v1_399	6.7 2.4	4	10.0	10.0	2.2	2.2	25	3.2	1.57	2.32	
mk0v1_401	1.1	4	10.0	10.0	5.8	5.8	25	6.8	3.59	6.64	
mk0v1_410 mk0v1_411	7.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.0	3.01	3.23	
mk0v1_427 nol1_312	1.7 2.9	4	10.0	10.0	5.7 3.0	5.7	25 25	6.7 4.0	2.37 2.64	4.36 4.28	
nol1_313 nol1_317	2.4 3.1	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	5.5 3.6	5.5 3.6	25 25	6.5 4.6	1.74 2.06	3.18 3.48	
nol1_318	4.8	4	10.0	10.0	3.0	3	25	4.0	1.60	2.59	
nol1_322	3.9	4	10.0	10.0	2.0	2	25	3.0	2.95	4.24	
nol1_328 nol1_329	10.9	4	10.0	10.0	0.3	0.3	25	1.3	2.46	3.52 2.48	
nol1_332 nol1_333	6.5 8.4	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	0.9	0.9	25 25	1.9 2.2	3.95 2.31	4.03 2.69	
nol1_334 nol1_335	7.6	4 4	10.0	10.0	3.0 0.7	3	25 25	4.0	1.02 6.71	1.64	
nol1_338	5.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.5	1.5	25	2.5	2.70	3.49	
nol1_343	4.5	4	10.0	10.0	5.0	5	25	6.0	1.02	1.84	
nol2_345	5 4.8	4	10.0	10.0	1.0	1 1.5	25	2.0	4.61 3.20	4.97 4.14	
nol2_346 nol2_347	2.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.8	1.8	25 25	2.8	4.72	6.57 7.71	
nol2_348	8.1 4.2	4	10.0	10.0	1.5	1.5	25	2.5	1.91	2.46	
nol2_350	3.4	4	10.0	10.0	3.3	3.3	25	4.3	2.05	3.40	
nol2_351 nol2_352	3.2	4	10.0	10.0	4.5 3.6	4.5 3.6	25	5.5 4.6	1.89 1.99	3.34 3.37	
nol2_353 nol2_356	3.4 0.8	4 4	10.0	10.0 10.0	3.3 3.6	3.3 3.6	25 25	4.3 4.6	2.05 7.96	3.40 13.49	
nol2_357 nol2_358	3.5 3	4	10.0	10.0	3.6 3.6	3.6 3.6	25 25	4.6 4.6	1.82 2.13	3.08 3.60	
nol2_359	3.1	4	10.0	10.0	2.5	2.5	25	3.5	2.96 2.4F	4.58	
nol2 362	2.0	4	10.0	10.0	5.0	0.C 6	25	4.0	2.45	4.15	

Ca	aicula	tea Fos	or Natu	rai Peat	Slopes for	croagh	wind	rarm - Dra	inea Analy	SIS
Turbine Io./Waypoint	Slope	Design c'	Bulk unit weight of	Unit weight of Water	100% Water to height of Peat	Depth of In situ Peat	Friction Angle	Equivalent Total Depth of Peat	Factor of Sa Cone	fety for Load dition
			Peat					(m)		
	a (deg)	c' (kPa)	γ (kN/m ³)	$\gamma_{\rm w}(kN/m^3)$	(m)	(m)	ø' (deg)	Condition (2)	Condition (1)	Condition (2)
nol2 363	21	4	10.0	10.0	4.8	4.8	25	5.8	100% Water	100% Water
nol2_364	3.4	4	10.0	10.0	1.8	1.8	25	2.8	3.75	5.22
nol2_366 nol2_236	1.1 4.6	4	10.0	10.0	3.6 2.5	3.6	25	4.6	5.79 2.00	9.81 3.09
nol2_239	6.5	4	10.0	10.0	2.2	2.2	25	3.2	1.62	2.39
nol2_240	3.3	4	10.0	10.0	4.9	4.9	25	5.0	1.14	3.01
nol2_242 nol2_243	2.9	4	10.0	10.0	5.5	5.5	25 25	6.5 6.5	1.44 1.60	2.63
nol2_244	3	4	10.0	10.0	3.7	3.7	25	4.7	2.07	3.52
nol2_245 nol2_246	3.3	4	10.0	10.0	3.7	3.7	25	4./	1.88	3.20
nol2_250	2.2	4	10.0	10.0	4.5	4.5	25	5.5	2.32	4.10
nol2_251	3	4	10.0	10.0	4.1	4.1	25	5.1	1.87	3.25
nol2_253 nol2_254	3.6	4	10.0	10.0	3.4	3.4	25 25	4.4	1.88 2.20	3.14
eg2_189	7.6	4	10.0	10.0	2.1	2.1	25	3.1	1.45	2.11
eg2_190 eg2_201	2.2	4	10.0	10.0	2.7	2.7	25	3.7	3.86	6.10
eg2_204	1.9	4	10.0	10.0	5.5	5.5	25	6.5	2.19	4.02
eg2_206	2.3	4	10.0	10.0	2.7	2.7	25	3.7	3.69	5.83
eg2_207 eg2_208	4.3	4	10.0	10.0	2.1	2.1	25	3.1 3.0	2.55	3.73
eg2_209	5.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.9	1.9	25	2.9	2.13	3.01
eg2_210 eg2_212	5.4	4	10.0	10.0	2.1	2.5	25	3.1	2.03	2.97
eg2_213 eg2_215	3.4	4	10.0	10.0	2.5	2.5	25 25	3.5 3.9	2.70	4.17
eg2_217	2	4	10.0	10.0	3.0	3	25	4.0	3.82	6.21
eg2_218 eg2_223	2.5	4	10.0	10.0	2.9	2.9	25	3.9	3.66	5.09
eg2_227	10.8	4	10.0	10.0	1.1	1.1	25	2.1	1.98	2.20
eg2_235	7.4	4	10.0	10.0	2.0	2	25	3.0	1.57	2.24
T2 T4	9 2.9	4	10.0	10.0	0.6	0.6	25 25	1.6 3.4	4.31 3.30	3.46 5.04
T7	3.4	4	10.0	10.0	3.3	3.3	25	4.3	2.05	3.40
P40 P41	0.3 8.3	4	10.0	10.0	2.7	2.7	25	3.7	9.55	4.01
P42 P43	6.3 11.9	4	10.0	10.0	2.3	2.3	25	3.3	1.59	2.39
P44	9.8	4	10.0	10.0	0.6	0.6	25	1.6	3.97	3.18
P45 P47	5.8 11.9	4	10.0	10.0	0.8	0.8	25	1.8 2.7	4.97 1.17	4.76
P48	8.1	4	10.0	10.0	0.9	0.9	25	1.9	3.19	3.23
P70	3.3	4	10.0	10.0	1.6	1.6	25	2.6	4.35	5.79
P71 P72	6.2 5	4	10.0	10.0	0.9	0.9	25	1.9	4.14	4.22
P83	4.3	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.7	3.15	4.28
P84 P85	3.2	4	10.0	10.0	2.3	2.3	25	4.3	3.02	5.02 4.70
P86	3.5	4	10.0	10.0	3.8	3.8	25	4.8	1.73	2.96
P88	6.4	4	10.0	10.0	1.5	1.5	25	2.5	2.41	3.11
P89 P90	3.1 3.3	4	10.0	10.0	1.6	1.6 2.8	25 25	2.6	4.63 2.49	6.16 3.96
P91	0.7	4	10.0	10.0	3.8	3.8	25	4.8	8.62	14.77
P92 P93	2.6	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.7	2.90	3.75
P94	4.2	4	10.0	10.0	2.0	2	25	3.0	2.74	3.94
P96	6.5	4	10.0	10.0	2.2	2.2	25	3.2	1.62	2.39
P97 P98	9.5	4	10.0	10.0	0.9	0.9	25	1.9	2.73 5.51	2.76
P99	11.8	4	10.0	10.0	0.2	0.2	25	1.2	9.99	3.53
P100 P101	6.7	4	10.0	10.0	2.3	2.3	25	3.3	1.50	2.25
P102 P103	2.9	4	10.0	10.0	3.3	3.3	25	4.3	2.40	3.98 8.34
P114	3.9	4	10.0	10.0	1.4	1.4	25	2.4	4.21	5.31
P115 P116	2.9	4	10.0	10.0	2.3	2.3	25	3.3	7.92	2.64
P119 P120	4.9	4	10.0	10.0	1.4	1.4	25	2.4	3.36	4.22
WP007	10.4	4	10.0	10.0	0.5	0.5	25	1.5	4.51	3.20
WP009 WP011	5.6 3.5	4	10.0	10.0	0.5	0.5	25 25	1.5 3.4	8.24 2.74	5.92 4.17
WP013	9.4	4	10.0	10.0	0.7	0.7	25	1.7	3.55	3.12
WP014 WP015	3.9 3	4	10.0	10.0	0.8	0.8	25	2.0	5.89 9.57	6.37 9.20
WP019 WP031	6.4 5.9	4	10.0	10.0	1.0	1	25	2.0	3.61	3.88
WP033	7	4	10.0	10.0	0.4	0.4	25	1.4	8.27	5.07
WP034 agec 16	7.7 5.4	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	0.8	0.8	25 25	1.8	3.77 7.12	3.59 5.75
agec_23	8.5	4	10.0	10.0	0.1	0.1	25	1.1	27.36	5.32
agec_24 agec_25	3.1	4	10.0	10.0	0.3	0.3	25	1.3	25.51 14.81	12./3
agec_26	8.5 2.6	4	10.0	10.0	0.1	0.1	25	1.1	27.36	5.32
agec_81	5.7	4	10.0	10.0	2.1	2.1	25	3.1	1.93	2.81
agec_122 agec_130	3.9 6.2	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	4.5 2.7	4.5 2.7	25 25	5.5 3.7	1.31 1.38	2.32 2.17
agec_134	11	4	10.0	10.0	1.4	1.4	25	2.4	1.53	1.89
agec_305 agec_WP003	2.1	4	10.0	10.0	5.0 1.4	5.0 1.4	25	2.4	7.80	9.85
agec_WP004	5.7	4 4	10.0	10.0	1.9	1.9	25	2.9	2.13	3.01
gec_WP042	4.7	4	10.0	10.0	0.1	0.1	25	1.1	48.98	9.61
agec_WP043 agec_WP044	4.5 6.1	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	3.2 2.9	3.2 2.9	25 25	4.2 3.9	1.60 1.31	2.63
agec_WP045	5.9	4	10.0	10.0	3.8	3.8	25	4.8	1.03	1.76
agec_WP046 agec_WP047	3.1 2.3	4	10.0	10.0	4.8	4.8	25	3.7	2.74	4.33
agec_WP052	2.9	4	10.0	10.0	1.9	1.9	25	2.9	4.17	5.90
gec_WP061	11.2	4	10.0	10.0	0.5	0.5	25	1.5	4.20	2.97
gec_WP062 TP14A	9.4 3.3	4	10.0	10.0 10.0	0.9	0.9	25 25	1.9 3.1	2.76	2.79 4.85
TP16A	3.7	4	10.0	10.0	1.3	1.3	25	2.3	4.78	5.84
TP12A TP11A	1.1 6.9	4	10.0	10.0	2.5	2.5	25	3.5 2.3	8.34 2.58	12.89 3.13
TP9A TP174	1.9	4	10.0	10.0	1.7	1.7	25	2.7	7.10	9.68
TP8A	1.8	4	10.0	10.0	4.0	4	25	5.0	3.19	5.52
TP22A	9.6	4	10.0	10.0	0.3	0.3	25	1.3	8.11	3.99